Natural numbers N

We denote by \mathbf{N} the set of *counting numbers*

 $1, 2, 3, \ldots$

The natural numbers (counting numbers, positive integers) \mathbf{N} satisfy the *Principle of Mathematical Induction*: (PMI):

If A is a subset of **N** such that

$$\begin{cases} 1 \in A, \\ \text{Whenever } k \in A, \ k+1 \in A, \end{cases}$$

then

$$A = \mathbf{N}.$$

PMI is also stated as

Suppose P(n) is a statement for each natural number n. If

$$\begin{cases} P(1) \text{ is true,} \\ \text{Whenever } P(k) \text{ is true, } P(k+1) \text{ is true.} \end{cases}$$

then

P(n) is true for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

PMI is used :

• Proving formulas such as

$$1^{2} + 2^{2} + \ldots + n^{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

• Recursive definitions:

$$\begin{cases} 1! = 1 \\ n! = n \cdot (n-1)!, \quad n > 1. \end{cases}$$

There are two statements which are equivalent to PMI.

- Well Ordering Principle (WOP): Every nonempty subset A of N has a least element a number $a \in A$ such that $a \leq x$ for all $x \in A$.
- Principle of Complete Induction (PCI):

If A is a subset of **N** such that

$$\begin{cases} 1 \in A, \\ \text{Whenever } 1, \dots, k \in A, \ k+1 \in A, \end{cases}$$

then

$$A = \mathbf{N}.$$

Integers Z and Rational Numbers Q

The natural numbers ${\bf N}$ seem to satisfy

- P1 (addition is associative) Yes
- P2 (zero) No
- P3 (additive inverse) No
- P4 (commutative addition) Yes
- P5 (multiplication is associative) Yes
- P6 (one) Yes
- P7 (multiplicative inverse) No
- P8 (commutative multiplication) Yes
- P9 (distributive) Yes

The positive set $P = \mathbf{N}$ satisfies

- P10 (trichotomy) Yes
- P11 $(P + P \subset P)$ Yes
- P12 $(P \cdot P \subset P)$ Yes

By adjoining 0 and the *negative integers*, with some effort we can recover P2 and P3. For the *integers*, \mathbf{Z} , the positive set which satisfies P10, P11, and P12 is still \mathbf{N} .

The rational numbers, \mathbf{Q} , are objects of the form $\frac{p}{q}$, p, q integers, $q \neq 0$. The set P of positive rational numbers is ... (left to the reader).

The Rationals are not All Real Numbers

We shall rely on the following fact outlined in Chapter 2, Problem 17:

Every natural number n satisfies one and only one of the following

 $\begin{cases} n = 1, \\ n \text{ is a prime number}, \\ n = ab, a, b \in \mathbf{N}, 1 < a, b < n. \end{cases}$

The definition of a prime number is given in Chapter 2, Problem 17.

Definition. A natural number p is called a prime number if it is impossible to write p = ab for natural numbers a and b unless one of these is p and the other 1. For convenience, we also agree that 1 is not a prime number.

Theorem. Every natural number n > 1 can be written as a product of primes. The factorization is unique except for the order of the factors (not proved here).

Thus every (positive) rational number r can be written as

$$r = \frac{p}{q},$$

where p and q are 1 or are are written as products of primes with no common factors.

Theorem. There is no rational number r such that

$$r^2 = 2.$$

Proof: If $r^2 = 2$, write $r = \frac{p}{q}$, where p and q are 1 or are written as products of primes with no common factors. Then

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^2 = 2,$$
$$p^2 = 2q^2,$$

so that 2 is a factor of p^2 and p. Thus p = 2k and $2q^2 = 4k^2$ so that 2 is a factor of q^2 and q also.

Remark: The prove of the above theorem is an example of *proof by contradiction*. What is the contradiction? The statement

p and q are 1 or are written as products of primes with no common factors of 2.

is both *true* and *not true*.

A real number which is not a rational number is called an irrational number.

N. B. There is an argument (Spivak, Chapter 2, Prob. 17) which shows that

Theorem. Suppose that M > 1, t > 1, are natural numbers. Then the tth root of M,

 $\sqrt[t]{M}$

is irrational unless $M = p^t$ for some natural number p.

Theorem. Suppose that p, q, t, M are natural numbers such that M > 1, p and q have no common factor and

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^t = M.$$

Then

$$M = p^t$$
.

Proofs by Induction

We wish to prove that a statement [proposition] P(n) is true for all n. P(n) may be a sentence, formula, property,

A good proof by PMI requires:

- State precisely and unambiguously P(n).
- Prove the base case: P(1) is true. This step usually requires only a close look at the meaning of the statement "P(1) is true."
- The induction step: P(k) implies P(k+1).
 - Write down and assume P(k).

• Perform any valid operations to arrive at P(k+1). It may help to say "We are to show that P(k+1) (write it down!) is true." Remember that you are free to use valid operations on P(k) and even $P(1), \ldots, P(k)$.