Math 516

Fall 2006

Radford

Written Homework # 3 Solution $\frac{11/22}{06}$

You may use results form the book in Chapters 1–4 of the text, from notes found on our course web page, and results of the previous homework.

- 1. (20 points total) Let G be a group and $H, K \leq G$.
 - (a) (7) Suppose that $HK \leq G$ and let $f : H \times K \longrightarrow HK$ be defined by f((h,k)) = hk for all $(h,k) \in H \times K$. Show that f is a homomorphism if and only if hk = kh for all $h \in H$ and $k \in K$.

Solution: Let $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. First observe that

$$(h, e)(e, k) = (he, ek) = (h, k) = (eh, ke) = (e, k)(h, e);$$

in particular (h, e) and (e, k) commute.

Suppose that f is a homomorphism. The last two equations give

$$hk = f((h,k)) = f((e,k)(h,e)) = f((e,k))f((h,e)) = ekhe = kh.$$

Therefore hk = kh for all $h \in H$ and $k \in K$.

Conversely, suppose that hk = kh for all $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. Then for $(h, k), (h', k') \in H \cap K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f((h,k)(h',k')) &= f((hh',kk')) \\ &= (hh')(kk') \\ &= h(h'k)k' \\ &= h(kh')k' \\ &= (hk)(h'k') \\ &= f((h,k))f((h',k')). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore f is a homomorphism.

Suppose in addition that $H, K \leq G$.

(b) (6) Show that $HK \trianglelefteq G$.

Solution: First of all the calculation

$$HK = \bigcup_{h \in H} hK = \bigcup_{h \in H} Kh = KH$$

shows that $HK \leq G$. Note that we only use $H \leq G$ and $K \leq G$ for this calculation. To show that $HK \leq G$ we let $g \in G$ and note that

$$g(HK) = (gH)K = (Hg)K = H(gK) = H(Kg) = (HK)g.$$

(c) (7) Suppose that $H \cap K = (e)$. Show that hk = kh for all $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ and that the homomorphism of part (b) is an isomorphism. [Hint: For $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ consider $hkh^{-1}k^{-1}$.]

Solution: Let $h \in H$ and $k \in K$. Then $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = (hkh^{-1})k^{-1} = h(kh^{-1}k^{-1})$; thus $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} \in K$, H from which $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} \in H \cap K = (e)$ follows. Multiplying both sides of $hkh^{-1}k^{-1} = e$ on the right by k and then multiplying both sides of the resulting equation on the right by h yields hk = kh.

To show that f is an isomorphism we need only show that f is injective in light of part (a). Suppose $(h, k), (h', k') \in H \cap K$ and f((h, k)) =f((h', k')). Then hk = h'k' from which $kk'^{-1} = h^{-1}h'$ follows. Thus $kk'^{-1} \in K \cap H = (e)$ which means $kk'^{-1} = e = h^{-1}h'$. Therefore k = k'and h = h'. We have shown (h, k) = (h', k'); thus f is injective.

2. (20 points total) Use the theory of finite cyclic groups and induction on |G| to prove Cauchy's Theorem for abelian groups:

Theorem 1 Let G be a finite abelian group and suppose that p is a prime integer which divides |G|. Then G as an element of order p.

[Hint: Let $a \in G$ and set $H = \langle a \rangle$. Then |G/H||H| = |G|.]

Solution: Our proof uses two facts about finite cyclic groups. If G is cyclic and p divides |G| then G has an element of order p since G has exactly one

(cyclic) subgroup for every divisor of |G|. If $G = \langle a \rangle$ has order m and $a^n = e$ then m|n.

We proceed by induction on |G|. The case |G| = 1 is vacuous since p does not divide |G| in this case. Suppose $m \ge 1$ and that the theorem holds for all abelian groups of order less than or equal to m. Let G be an abelian group such that $|G| \le m + 1$ and suppose that p divides |G|. Then |G| > 1 so we may chose an $a \in G$ with $a \ne e$. If p divides $|\langle a \rangle|$ then $\langle a \rangle$, hence G, has an element of order p.

Suppose p does not divide $|\langle a \rangle|$. Since G is abelian $H = \langle a \rangle \trianglelefteq G$. Since |G| = |G/H||H| and |H| > 1 it follows that p divides |G/H| and |G/H| < |G|. Since G/H is abelian, by our induction hypothesis there is an element $bH \in G/H$ or order p. Let $n = |\langle b \rangle|$. Then $(bH)^n = b^n H = eH = H$ from which we deduce p|n. Thus $\langle b \rangle$ has an element of order p.

We have shown the conclusion of the theorem holds when $|G| \leq m + 1$. Thus the theorem follows by induction.

3. (20 points total) Let G be a finite group. For every positive divisor d of |G| let n_d denote the number of cyclic subgroup of G of order d. Show that

$$|G| = \sum_{d \mid |G|} \varphi(d) n_d,$$

where φ is the Euler phi-function. [Hint: Consider the equivalence relation on G defined by $a \sim b$ if and only if $\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$.]

Solution: Since "=" is an equivalence relation " \sim " is also. Let C be the set of cyclic subgroups of G. Then the set of equivalence classes \mathcal{E} of \sim is in bijective correspondence with C via

$$[x] \mapsto \langle x \rangle$$

for all $x \in G$. (Indeed, if $f : G \longrightarrow C$ is the surjective function given by $f(x) = \langle x \rangle$ then $[x] = f^{-1}(\langle x \rangle)$.) Let E = [x] and $C = \langle x \rangle$. Since E consists of the generators of C it follows that $|E| = \varphi(|C|)$. By Lagrange's Theorem |C| divides |G|. Thus

$$G| = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}} |E|$$
$$= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \varphi(|C|)$$

$$= \sum_{d||G|} \left(\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}, d=|C|} \varphi(|C|) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{d||G|} \left(\sum_{C \in \mathcal{C}, d=|C|} \varphi(d) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{d||G|} n_d \varphi(d).$$

Comment: When G is cyclic of order n observe that the formula is

$$n = \sum_{d|n} \varphi(d)$$

since G has exactly one subgroup (which is cyclic) of order d for all divisors of n.

4. (20 points total) Let G be a finite group of order pqr, where p, q, r are primes and p < q < r.

- (a) (10) Show that G is not simple.
- (b) (10) Show that G has a subgroup of prime index.

[Hint: See the text's discussion of groups of order $30 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$. If needed, you may use the formula of Exercise 3.]

Solution: Let n_s be the number of Sylow-*s* subgroups of *G*, where s = p, q, r. For each *s*, by the Sylow Theorems n_s divides |G| and $n_s = 1 + ks$ for some integer *k*. In particular *s* does not divide n_s .

Suppose that no Sylow-s subgroup is normal. Then $n_s \ge 1 + s$ for s = p, q, r. Since n_p is among q, r, qr and q < r we conclude $n_p \ge q$. Since n_q is among p, r, pr and p < q, $r \le qr$ we conclude $n_q \ge r$. Since n_r is among p, q, pq and p, q < r we have $n_r = pq$. Since each Sylow-s subgroup of G is cyclic of prime order, each of these subgroups has s - 1 elements of order s. Counting the elements of order p, q, and r respectively gives the estimate

$$q(p-1) + r(q-1) + pq(r-1) \le pqr$$

or

$$-q - r + qr \le 0$$

which means

$$qr \le q + r \le 2r.$$

From the last inequality we have $qr \leq 2r$ or $q \leq 2$, a contradiction. Therefore some Sylow *s*-subgroup of *G* is normal. We have shown *G* is not simple and part (a) is established.

As for part (b), by part (a) there exists $N \leq G$ or prime order. Let $H \leq G$ be a Sylow-s subgroup, where $s \neq |N|$. Then |H| = s and $HN \leq G$ since $H \leq G = N_G(N)$. Now $H \cap N \leq H, K$; thus $|H \cap N|$ divides |H|, |N| by Lagrange's Theorem. Thus since |H| and |N| are relatively prime $|H \cap N| = 1$. Therefore $|H||N| = |HN||H \cap N| = |HN|$. Now |G| is the product of three primes, two of which are |H| and |N|. Thus

$$|G:HN| = \frac{|G|}{|HN|} = \frac{|G|}{|H||N|}$$

is the third prime.

5. (20 points total) Let G be a finite group of order pqr, where p, q, r are primes, p < q < r, and $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Show that G has a subgroup of index p.

Solution: The solution to Problem 4 suffices when H and N are Sylow-q and Sylow-r subgroups, or vice versa. Thus we need only show that G has a normal Sylow-q subgroup or a normal Sylow-r subgroup.

Suppose that G has neither a normal Sylow-q subgroup nor a normal Sylow-r subgroup. Then $n_r = pq$ and n_q is among p, r, pr. Since p < q and $r \not\equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ necessarily $n_q = pr$. Estimating the number of elements of order q or r we derive

$$pr(q-1) + pq(r-1) \le pqr$$

or

$$-pr - pq + pqr \le 0$$

Therefore

$$qr \le r + q < 2r$$

from which q < 2 follows. This contradiction shows that one of the Sylow-q subgroups of G or one of the Sylow-r subgroups of G is normal.

Comment: The counting arguments for Problems 4 and 5 involved a few types of elements. By taking into account more, a common solution can be given for both. Several of you did this. In particular the special condition in Problem 5 does not have to be used and thus it is not necessary. Here is a sketch.

Suppose that no Sylow q-subgroup of G an no Sylow r-subgroup of G is normal. Then $n_q, n_r > 1$ which means $n_q \ge r$ and $n_r = pq$. Since $n_p \ge 1$ in any case, the number of element of G of orders p, q, or r account for at least 1(p-1) + r(q-1) + pq(r-1) elements of the prq elements of G. But

$$\begin{aligned} 1(p-1) + r(q-1) + pq(r-1) &= p - r + qr - pq - 1 + pqr \\ &= (p-r)(1-q) - 1 + pqr \\ &= (r-p)(q-1) - 1 + pqr \\ &> prq \end{aligned}$$

since $(r-p)(q-1) \ge 2$. This contradiction shows that G has a normal Sylow q-subgroup of a normal Sylow q-subgroup.