

We follow the notation of the text and that used in class. You may use results from the course materials on the class homepage and the text. **This version replaces the previous one.**

1. (35 points) $L_{\alpha_{k,\ell}} = \mathbf{R}e_{k\ell}$ and $L_{-\alpha_{k,\ell}} = L_{\alpha_{\ell k}} = \mathbf{R}e_{\ell k}$.

(a) (5) Let $\alpha_{k,\ell} \in \Phi$. Then $k \neq \ell$. The calculation

$$e_{kk} - e_{\ell\ell} = [e_{k\ell} e_{\ell k}] = \kappa(e_{k\ell}, e_{\ell k})t_{k,\ell} = (2n\delta_{k,k}\delta_{\ell,\ell} - 2\delta_{k,\ell}\delta_{\ell,k})t_{k,\ell} = 2nt_{k,\ell}$$

establishes $t_{k,\ell} = \frac{1}{2n}(e_{kk} - e_{\ell\ell})$. See §8.3 Proposition (c) and WH4 Exercise 1(c).

(b) (5) Using part (a) observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_{k,\ell}, \alpha_{r,s}) &= \alpha_{k,\ell}(t_{r,s}) \\ &= \alpha_{k,\ell}\left(\frac{1}{2n}(e_{rr} - e_{s,s})\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n}\alpha_{k,\ell}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\delta_{i,r} - \delta_{i,s})e_{ii}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n}(\delta_{k,r} - \delta_{k,s} - (\delta_{\ell,r} - \delta_{\ell,s})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n}(\delta_{k,r} + \delta_{\ell,s} - \delta_{k,s} - \delta_{\ell,r}). \end{aligned}$$

(c) (5) Using part (b) we note

$$\|\alpha_{k,\ell}\|^2 = (\alpha_{k,\ell}, \alpha_{k,\ell}) = \frac{1}{2n}(\delta_{k,k} + \delta_{\ell,\ell} - \delta_{k,\ell} - \delta_{\ell,k}) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Thus $\|\alpha_{k,\ell}\| = \sqrt{n}$. Thus

$$\cos \theta = \frac{(\alpha_{k,\ell}, \alpha_{r,s})}{\|\alpha_{k,\ell}\| \|\alpha_{r,s}\|} = \frac{\frac{1}{2n}(\delta_{k,r} + \delta_{\ell,s} - \delta_{k,s} - \delta_{\ell,r})}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{k,r} + \delta_{\ell,s} - \delta_{k,s} - \delta_{\ell,r}).$$

(d) (5) Let $1 \leq i \leq j < k \leq n$ and $\sum_{u=1}^n \lambda_u e_{uu} \in H$. Then

$$(\alpha_{ij} + \alpha_{jk})\left(\sum_{u=1}^n \lambda_u e_{uu}\right) = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) - (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) = \lambda_i - \lambda_k = \alpha_{ik}\left(\sum_{u=1}^n \lambda_u e_{uu}\right)$$

(a) **(7)** $\tau_i(u_j) = u_{2i-j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $2(u_j, u_i)u_i - u_j = u_{2i-j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Fix $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and set $a = \frac{\pi}{m}i$ and $b = \frac{\pi}{m}j$. Part (a) comes down to establishing

$$2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} \cos b \\ \sin b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \cos a \\ \sin a \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \cos a \\ \sin a \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \cos b \\ \sin b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2a - b) \\ \sin(2a - b) \end{pmatrix},$$

or equivalently

$$2(\cos a \cos b + \sin a \sin b) \begin{pmatrix} \cos a \\ \sin a \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \cos b \\ \sin b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(2a - b) \\ \sin(2a - b) \end{pmatrix},$$

or equivalently

$$2 \cos(a - b) \cos a - \cos b = \cos(2a - b) \tag{2}$$

and

$$2 \cos(a - b) \sin a - \sin b = \sin(2a - b). \tag{3}$$

As for (2) observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(2a - b) &= \cos((a - b) + a) \\ &= \cos(a - b) \cos a - \sin(a - b) \sin a \\ &= \cos(a - b) \cos a - (\sin a \cos b - \cos a \sin b) \sin a \\ &= \cos(a - b) \cos a - (1 - \cos^2 a) \cos b + \cos a \sin b \sin a \\ &= \cos(a - b) \cos a - \cos b + (\cos a \cos b + \sin b \sin a) \cos a \\ &= 2 \cos(a - b) \cos a - \cos b. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing a with $\pi/2 - a$ and b with $\pi/2 - b$ in (2) gives (3).

(b) **(5)** $\sigma_i(u_j) = -\tau_i(u_j) = -u_{2i-j} = u_{m+(2i-j)}$.

(c) **(8)** Let $a_i = \|\alpha_i\|$ for all $0 \leq i < 2m$. Then $\alpha_i = a_i u_i$ for all $0 \leq i < 2m$ and (3) is satisfied. We extend the definition of a_i to all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ by passing from representatives of the cosets of $2m\mathbf{Z}$ in \mathbf{Z} to \mathbf{Z} by setting $a_{i+2m\ell} = a_i$ for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$. Set $\alpha_i = a_i u_i$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then the α_i 's constitute Φ_n and $\alpha_{i+2m} = \alpha_i$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Note that σ_i is length preserving. Thus $\sigma_i(u_j) = \frac{1}{\|\alpha_j\|} \sigma_i(\alpha_j) = \frac{1}{\|\sigma_i(\alpha_j)\|} \sigma_i(\alpha_j) \in \Phi_n$ for all $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$ which shows that $\sigma_i(\Phi_n) = \Phi_n$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

To show (1), suppose $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $a_j u_{m+2i-j} = \sigma_i(\alpha_j) \in \Phi$. Therefore $\sigma_i(\alpha_j)$ and α_{m+2i-j} are scalar multiples which means that $a_j u_{m+2i-j} = \pm a_{m+2i-j} u_{m+2i-j}$. Since the a_ℓ 's are positive, $a_j = a_{m+2i-j}$, or equivalently $a_j = a_{m+2(i-j)+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. This establishes (1) and (2).

(d) **(5)** Let $\alpha'_i = a_i u_i$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$, where the a_i 's satisfy (1)–(2) above, and let Φ' be the set of the α'_i 's. Note that $\alpha'_i = \alpha'_{i+2m}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since Φ spans E , so does Φ_n and therefore so does Φ' . Since $0 \notin \Phi_n$ and the a_i 's are not zero, $0 \notin \Phi'$. Thus (R1) is satisfied.

(R2). Let $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $-\alpha'_i = a_i(-u_i) = a_{m+i}u_{m+i} \in \Phi'$.

Suppose that $\alpha'_i = c\alpha'_j$ for some $c \in \mathbf{R}$. Then u_i and u_j are scalar multiples. Therefore $i = j + km$ for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. If k is even then $u_i = u_j$ and if k is odd then $u_i = -u_j$. Now $a_i = a_j$ in either case. Therefore $\alpha'_i = \pm\alpha'_j$.

(R3). Let $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. First of all note that $\sigma_i = \sigma_{\alpha'_i}$. Now $\sigma_i(\alpha'_j) = a_j u_{m+2i-j} = a_{m+2(i-j)+j} u_{m+2i-j} = a_{m+2i-j} u_{m+2i-j} \in \Phi'$.

3. (20 points) In light of Exercise 3 and the table on page 45 of Humphreys $m = 2, 3, 4$, or 6 and, since $\alpha_0 = u_0$, the problem is to find a positive $b \in \mathbf{R}$ (which will be the length of α_1) such that with

$$a_{2\ell} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_{2\ell+1} = b \tag{4}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$ the conditions

$$a_{m+\ell} = a_\ell \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \left(\frac{a_j}{a_i} \right) (u_i, u_j) = \langle a_j u_j, a_i u_i \rangle \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{5}$$

for all $\ell, i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Without loss of generality we may assume $b \geq 1$ (that is α_0 is a root of minimal length).

$$(u_i, u_j) = \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(i - j) \right)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Suppose (4) holds. Observe that $a_{m+\ell} = a_\ell$ for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$ holds automatically when m is even and holds if and only if $b = 1$ when $m = 3$. By considering whether or not $i - j$ is even or odd we see that (5) is equivalent to

$$b = 1 \text{ if } m = 3 \text{ and } 2 \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(2\ell) \right), 2b \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(2\ell + 1) \right), 2b^{-1} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(2\ell + 1) \right) \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{6}$$

for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Case 1: $m = 2$. Here $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(2\ell) \right) = \cos(\pi\ell) \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(2\ell + 1) \right) = 0$ for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$. Any $b \geq 1$ works.

Case 2: $m = 3$. Since $\cos \left(\frac{n\pi}{3} \right) \in \{-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ condition (6) is met.

Case 3: $m = 4$. Here $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(2\ell) \right) = \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}(\ell) \right) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(2\ell + 1) \right) = \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{4}(2\ell + 1) \right) \in \{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}$, the remainder of (6) is satisfied if and only if $\sqrt{2}b, \sqrt{2}b^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Writing $b = \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}, b^{-1} = \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}}$ for some $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$, it is easy to see that the remainder of (6) holds if and only if $b = \sqrt{2}$.

Case 4: $m = 6$. Here $\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{6}(2\ell) \right) = \cos \left(\frac{\pi\ell}{3} \right) \in \{-\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}\}$ for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since

$$\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m}(2\ell + 1) \right) = \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{6}(2\ell + 1) \right) \in \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right\},$$

the remainder of (6) is satisfied if and only if $\sqrt{3}b, \sqrt{3}b^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}$. Mimicking the argument in the last part of Case 3 we see that the remainder of (6) holds if and only if $b = \sqrt{3}$.

Note: The diagrams on page 44 of Humhreys are exactly those which arise in the cases above.

4. **(20 points)** (a) **(10)** Let $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since σ_i is an isometry of E and $\sigma_i(\Phi) = \Phi$ it follows that $\sigma_i(\Phi_n) = \Phi_n$. Therefore \mathcal{W}_m is a subgroup of G_{Φ_n} . Now Φ_n spans E since Φ does. Thus the restriction map $G_{\Phi_n} \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\Phi_n)$ given by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma$ is an injective group homomorphism. Note $\sigma_i(u_j) = u_{m+2i-j}$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ by part (b) of Exercise 2.

(b) **(10)** Let $\tau = \sigma_1\sigma_0$. The calculation $\tau(u_j) = \sigma_1(\sigma_0(u_j)) = \sigma_1(u_{m-j}) = u_{m+2-(m-j)} = u_{2+j}$ shows that $\tau(u_j) = u_{2+j}$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus by induction $\tau^\ell(u_j) = u_{2\ell+j}$ for all $0 \leq \ell$ and $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $u_k = u_\ell$ if and only if $k \equiv \ell \pmod{2m}$, we conclude that $\tau^\ell = \text{Id}_{\Phi_n}$ if and only if $m|\ell$. Therefore τ has order m . For $0 \leq \ell$ the calculation

$$\tau^\ell \sigma_0(u_j) = \tau^\ell(\sigma_0(u_j)) = \tau^\ell(u_{m-j}) = u_{2\ell+m-j} = \sigma_\ell(u_j)$$

for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ shows that $\sigma_\ell = \tau^\ell \sigma_0$. In particular \mathcal{W}_m is generated by τ and σ_0 .

Let $N = \langle \tau \rangle$ and $H = \langle \sigma_0 \rangle$. Since σ_i has order 2 for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ we conclude that $\tau^\ell \sigma_0 = (\tau^\ell \sigma_0)^{-1} = \sigma_0^{-1} \tau^{-\ell} = \sigma_0 \tau^{-\ell}$, thus

$$\tau^\ell \sigma_0 = \sigma_0 \tau^{-\ell}, \tag{7}$$

for all $0 \leq \ell$. Therefore $NH = HN$ which means HN is a subgroup of \mathcal{W}_m . Since N and H generate \mathcal{W}_m , $\mathcal{W}_m = HN$ and N is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{W}_m .

Note that $\sigma_0 \in N$ if and only if $\sigma_0 = \tau^\ell$ for some $0 \leq \ell$ if and only if $u_{m-j} = u_{2\ell+j}$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ if and only if $m - 2(j + \ell) \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$ for all $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. The latter implies $m \equiv 0 \pmod{2m}$, a contradiction. Therefore $\sigma_0 \notin N$.

We have shown that $|\mathcal{W}_m| = 2m$. From (7) we conclude $\sigma_0^{-1} \tau \sigma_0 = \tau^{-1}$. Since σ_0, τ generate \mathcal{W}_m and $\sigma_0^2 = \text{Id}_{\Phi_n} = \tau^m$, $\mathcal{W}_m \simeq D_{2m}$.