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## §0. Introduction

A major concept in differentiable dynamics is the Lyapunov exponents of a given map $f$. It combines the results of ergodic theory with differential properties of $f$. Consider the following two closely related examples which motivate our paper. Let $M$ be a compact surface and $f: M \rightarrow M$ a smooth diffeomorphism. Let $\mathcal{E}$ denote the set of Borel $f$-invariant ergodic measures on $M$. Assume that $\mu \in \mathcal{E}$. Let $h(\mu)$ be the $\mu$-entropy of $f$ and $\lambda_{1}(\mu) \geq \lambda_{2}(\mu)$ be the Lyapunov exponents of $f$. Suppose that $h(\mu)>0$. The well known result of L.S. Young [You] yields that $\frac{h(\mu)}{\lambda_{1}(\mu)}$ is the Hausdorff dimension of $\mu$ unstable manifold $W^{u}(\mu)$ associated with $\lambda_{1}(\mu)$. Suppose furthermore that $f$ is an Axiom A diffeomorphism. Then for each $x$ in the nonwandering set $\Omega(f)$ one has the unstable manifold $W^{u}(x)$. The result of McCluskey-Manning $[\mathbf{M}-\mathbf{M}]$ yields that the Hausdorff dimension of any $W^{u}(x) \cap \Omega(f)$ is equal to $\sup _{\mu \in E} \frac{h(\mu)}{\lambda_{1}(\mu)}$. The supremum is achieved for a unique Gibbs measure $\mu^{*}$.

Let $f: \mathbf{C P} \rightarrow \mathbf{C P}$ be a rational map of the Riemann sphere $\mathbf{C P}$ of degree at least two. Denote by $J(f)$ the Julia set of $f$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be all $f$-invariant ergodic measures supported on $J(f)$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{E}, f$ has two equal Lyapunov exponents $\lambda_{1}(\mu)=\lambda_{2}(\mu) \geq 0$. Assume that $h(\mu)>0$. Then the $\mu$-Hausdorff dimension of $J(f)$, given by $\inf _{X \subset J(f), \mu(X)=1} \operatorname{dim}_{H} X$, is equal to $\frac{h(\mu)}{\lambda(\mu)}$. Suppose furthermore that $f$ is hyperbolic. That is, $\left|\left(f^{\circ m}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|>1, z \in J(f)$, for some integer $m \geq 1$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{H} J(f)=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{h(\mu)}{\lambda(\mu)}$. The above supremum is achieved for a unique Gibbs measure $\mu^{*}$ which is equivalent to the Hausdorff measure on $J(f)$ [Rue]. In these two examples the proof of the variational formula for the Hausdorff dimension is based on the notion of the topological pressure and the Bowen equation [Bow1-2].

In this paper we generalize these results to a discrete setting as follows. Let $<n>=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ be an alphabet on $n$ symbols. Denote by $\mathbf{N}$ and $<n\rangle^{\mathbf{N}}$ the set of natural numbers and the space of all infinite sequences on $\left\langle n>\text { symbols, equipped with the Tychonoff topology, respectively. Let } \sigma:<n>\mathbf{N}_{\rightarrow}<n\right\rangle^{\mathbf{N}}$ be the (one sided) shift map. Then a $\sigma$ invariant closed set $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}, \sigma \mathcal{S}=\mathcal{S}$, is called a subshift. A subshift $\mathcal{S}$ is called a subshift of finite type (SFT) if $\mathcal{S}$ can be described by a finite number of conditions. A standard representation of SFT is given by a digraph $\Gamma \subset<n>\times<n>$ as follows:

$$
\Gamma^{\infty}:=\left\{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in<n>^{\mathbf{N}}: \quad\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right) \in \Gamma, \quad i=1, \ldots,\right\}
$$

It is well known that any SFT $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ can be represented in the above way by enlarging the given alphabet. Recall that if $f$ an Axiom A diffeomorphisms (hyperbolic rational map) then the $\Omega(f)(J(f))$ has a Markov partition ([Shu, Thm. 10.28], [Rue]). The action $f$ on $\Omega(f)(J(f))$ induces a SFT, such that the map $f$ on $\Omega(f)(J(f))$ induces the shift map on SFT. If $\Omega(f)(J(f))$ is totally disconnected then $\Omega(f)(J(f))$ is homeomorphic to SFT. If $\Omega(f)(J(f))$ is not totally disconnected then all the points of $\Omega(f)(J(f))$, whose orbit stays in the interior of the Markov partition, are in one to one correspondance with a "big" subset of SFT.

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ be a subshift. Then every point $a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds to an infinite walk on the digraph $\langle n\rangle \times\langle n\rangle$. For convenience, we view our walk starting from $o: o-a_{1}-a_{2}-\ldots$. To each finite path $o-a_{1}-\ldots-a_{p}$, viewed as $\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p}$, we assign a positive weight $\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p+q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p+q}\right) \leq \phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)+\phi_{q}\left(a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{p+q}\right), \quad \forall\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S} \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)$ can be viewed as the distance of the end of the path $\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p}$ to $o$. Then (0.1) is equivalent to the triangle inequality. For each $p \geq 1$ let $\psi_{p}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$be the random variable such that $\psi_{p}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}\right)=$ $\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)$. Denote by $\phi$ and $\psi$ the sequences $\{\phi\}_{1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\psi\}_{1}^{\infty}$ respectively. Let $\mu$ be a $\sigma$-invariant ergodic

[^0]measure on $\mathcal{S}$. Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem yields that that the sequence $\frac{\psi_{p}}{p}, p=1, \ldots$, converges $\mu$ a.e. to $\alpha(\mu)$. We show that $\alpha(\mu)$ is the discrete version of the Lyapunov exponent of the distance function induced by $\phi$. Assume furthermore that for each $t>0$ there exists $A(t)$ so that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)>t, \quad p>A(t), \quad a \in \mathcal{S} . \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Let $d: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$be the following metric on $\mathcal{S}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& d(a, a)=0, \quad a \in \mathcal{S} \\
& d(a, b)=1, \quad a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, b=\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{S}, \quad a_{1} \neq b_{1},  \tag{0.3}\\
& d(a, b)=e^{-\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)}, \quad a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, b=\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{S}, \quad a_{i}=b_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, p, \quad a_{p+1} \neq b_{p+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

The condition (0.2) yields that $\mathcal{S}$ is a complete metric space. For $X \subset \mathcal{S}$ denote by $\operatorname{dim}_{H} X$ the Hausdorff dimension of $X$ with respect to the metric (0.3). Set $\delta(\phi):=\operatorname{dim}_{H} \mathcal{S}$. In [Fri] we give an explicit formula for $\delta(\phi)$ for certain sequences $\phi$ on SFT. The purpose of this paper is treat a much broader class of sequences $\phi$ on $\mathcal{S}$ than in [Fri], e.g. $\mathcal{S}$ not have to be SFT, and to relate $\delta(\phi)$ to the Hausdorff dimension of $\sigma$ invariant probability measures on $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $\mu$ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{S}$. Then

$$
\delta(\phi, \mu):=\inf _{X \subset \mathcal{S}, \mu(X)=1} \operatorname{dim}_{H}(X)
$$

is called the Hausdorff dimension of $\mu$. Assume that $\mu$ is $\sigma$-invariant ergodic measure. Denote by $h(\mu)$ the $\mu$ entropy of the shift. We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\phi, \mu)=\frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)}, \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if either $h(\mu)$ or $\alpha(\mu)$ are positive. Compare this equality with the formulas for the Hausdorff dimension of $W^{u}(\mu)$ and the $\mu$ Hausdorff dimension of $J(f)$ to deduce that $\alpha(\mu)$ is the analog of the Lyapunov exponent. Set $\alpha_{m}(\mu)=\int \frac{\psi_{m}}{m} d \mu$. Then $\alpha_{m} \geq \alpha(\mu), m=1, \ldots$, Moreover, $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{m}=\alpha(\mu)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\phi, \mu) \geq \frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha_{m}(\mu)}, \quad m=1, \ldots \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For certain SFT and corresponding Markov chains one can compute explicitly the right-hand side of (0.5). Thus we can obtain explicit lower bounds for $\delta(\phi, \mu)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\delta}(\phi):=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}, h(\mu)>0} \frac{h(\mu)}{\lambda(\mu)} \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\delta(\phi) \geq \hat{\delta}(\phi)$. We give a condition on $\phi$ for which $\delta(\phi)=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$. Under this condition the subshift $\mathcal{S}$, which does not have be a SFT, behaves as a rational map $f$ on its Julia set.

The main motiviation of this paper is the Hausdorff dimension of a Kleinian group $F \leq P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$. (See Maskit [Mas] for a reference on the Kleinian groups.) Let $o$ be a point in three dimensional hyperbolic space $H^{3}$ on which $F$ acts as a group of hyperbolic isometries. Then Fo, the $F$ orbit of $o$, accumilates to $\Lambda(F)$, the limit set of $F$. Here $\Lambda(F)$ is located on the Riemann sphere CP. We want to give computable lower bounds on $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$, which can be arbitrary close to $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$, using only the hyperbolic distances between the points in Fo. We do that for geometrically finite, purely loxodromic, Kleinian groups.

Suppose that $F$ is a Schottky group. Then $F$ is a free group on $r$ generators. The orbit of $F$ is $2 r$ regular tree which correspond to a standard SFT $\Gamma^{\infty}$, where $\Gamma \subset<2 r>\times<2 r>$ is the graph induced by a free group on $r$ generators. Then the sequence $\phi$ is the sequence induced by the hyperbolic distances between $o$ and other points of the orbit. We show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)=\delta(\phi)=\hat{\delta}(\phi) \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we can use lower bounds (0.5) to get lower bounds on $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$. Moreover, we show that these lower bounds are arbitrary close to $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$. Our results complement the results of Bowen [Bow2], who showed how to apply the thermodynamics formalism to the action of $F$ on $\mathbf{C P}$ to find $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$.

In the last section we show how to apply our results to a geometrically finite, purely loxodromic, Kleinian group $F$. We construct a subshift $\mathcal{S}$ corresponding to $\Lambda(F)$. We do not know if $\mathcal{S}$ is a SFT. (For certain Fuchsian group $F, \Lambda(F)$ has a coding as a SFT, e.g. [B-S].) We prove (0.7) in this case.

We now survey briefly the contents of the paper. In $\S 1$ we discuss examples of $\phi$ on subshifts. We define $\kappa(\phi)$ - an analog of the Poincaré exponent. We show the inequality $\delta(\phi) \leq \kappa(\phi)$. (It is an opposite to inequality $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) \geq \kappa(F)$ for Kleinian groups [B-J].) In $\S 2$ we prove the characterization (0.4). We also show that for topologically transitive SFT the inequality (0.5) gives computational lower bounds to $\hat{\delta}(\phi)$, which can be arbtrary close to $\hat{\delta}(\phi)$. Section 3 is devoted to the nonadditive topological pressure, see [Fal] and [Bar]. We give a sufficient condition which ensures the variational characterization of the topological pressure. This condition generalizes a condition of Barreira [Bar]. This condition on $\phi$ implies the equality $\delta(\phi)=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$. We show that this condition is satisfied in the context of geometrically finite, purely loxodromic, Kleinian groups. In $\S 4$ and $\S 5$ we apply our results to the Hausdorff dimension of the limit sets of Schottky groups and geometrically finite, purely loxodromic, Kleinian groups respectively.

## $\S 1$. Metrics on subshifs

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ be a subshift. Associate with $\mathcal{S}$ the following infinite tree $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}):=\mathcal{T}=(V, E)$. Let $o$ be the root of the tree. Then each $a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}$ represents a chain (geodesic) in $\mathcal{T}$ starting from $o$. The vertices of this chain are $o$ and $\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}, m=1, \ldots$, . The chain is given by $o-\left(a_{1}\right)-\cdots-\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}-\cdots$. Let

$$
a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad b=\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad a_{i}=b_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, p, \quad a_{p+1} \neq b_{p+1}
$$

Then the two chains induced by $a, b$ have a common chain $o-a_{1}-\cdots-a_{p}$. If $a_{1} \neq b_{1}(\mathrm{p}=0)$, then the two induced chains by $a, b$ have only a common vertex $o$. Thus

$$
V=\left\{v: \quad v=o, \quad v=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}, \quad m=1, \ldots, \quad a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}\right\} .
$$

Let dist : $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$be a metric on $\mathcal{T}$ which satisfies the following conditions: First,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}, o\right)=\phi_{m}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right), \quad m=1, \ldots, . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p+q},\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{p+1}^{p+q}, o\right)=\phi_{q}\left(a_{p+1}, \ldots, a_{p+q}\right), \quad 1 \leq p, q \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the triangle inequality

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p+q}, o\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p+q},\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p}\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p}, o\right)
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p+q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p+q}\right) \leq \phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)+\phi_{q}\left(a_{p+1}, . ., a_{p+q}\right), \quad p, q=1, \ldots, . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Third,

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=b_{1} \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p},\left(b_{j}\right)_{1}^{q}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{2}^{p},\left(b_{j}\right)_{2}^{q}\right),  \tag{1.4}\\
a_{1} \neq b_{1} \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p},\left(b_{j}\right)_{1}^{q}\right)=\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)+\phi_{q}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q}\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is straightforward to show that ( 0.3 ) defines a metric $d$ on $\mathcal{S}$. Assume furthermore that ( 0.2 ) holds. Then $\mathcal{S}$ is a compact metric with respect to the metric (0.3). Furthermore, the Tychonoff (coordinatewise) topology is induced by the metric $d$. We let $\delta(\phi)$ to be the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the metric $d$.

We discuss a few examples that motivate the above definitions. Assume that $\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)=p$. Then the induced metric is the standard graph metric $d g(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{T}$. That is, $d g(u, v)$ is the number of edges in the chain connecting $u, v$. Let $h>0$ and assume that $\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)=p h$. Then the induced metric is $d g_{h}$, the weighted graph metric. The distance between the adjacent vertices is $h$, i.e. the weight of each edge in $\mathcal{T}$ is $h$. The choice $h=\log 2$ in (0.3) gives the standard metric on $\langle n\rangle^{\mathbf{N}}$ and on any of its subshifts.

We now consider examples related to SFT. Let $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)_{1}^{n} \in M_{n}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$be a nonnegative $n \times n$ matrix. Denote by $\Gamma(C) \subset<n>\times<n>$ is the digraph induced by $C$. That is,

$$
(i, j) \in \Gamma(C) \Longleftrightarrow c_{i j}>0
$$

Denote by $\rho(C)$ the spectral radius of $C$. For any $\Gamma \subset<n>\times<n>$, let $A(\Gamma) \in M_{n}(\mathbf{R})$ denote the $0-1$ matrix such that $\Gamma(A(\Gamma))=\Gamma$. Set $\rho(\Gamma)=\rho(A(\Gamma))$.

Let $\Gamma \subset<n>\times<n>$ be a digraph which has a cycle, i.e. $\rho(\Gamma)>0$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma^{1}=<n>, \quad \Gamma^{2}=\Gamma \\
& \Gamma^{k}=\left\{a: \quad a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}, \quad a_{i} \in<n>, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \quad\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right) \in \Gamma, \quad i=1, \ldots, k-1\right\}, \quad k=3, \ldots, . \\
& \Gamma^{\infty}=\left\{a: \quad a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad a_{i} \in<n>, \quad i=1, \ldots, \quad\left(a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right) \in \Gamma, \quad i=1, \ldots,\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\Gamma^{\infty}$ is a nonempty SFT induced by $\Gamma$. Let $h>0$ and consider the weighted graph metric $d g_{h}$. It is well known (e.g. [Fri]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\phi)=\frac{\log \rho(\Gamma)}{h} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C=\left(c_{i j}\right)_{1}^{n} \in M_{n}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$. Suppose that $\Delta \subset \Gamma(C), \rho(\Delta)>0$. On $\mathcal{T}\left(\Delta^{\infty}\right)$ we define a metric using the following functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(i)=t, \quad i \in<n>, \quad \max _{1 \leq i, j} c_{i j} \leq t, \quad \phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)=t+\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} c_{a_{i} a_{i+1}}, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p} \in \Delta^{p}, \quad p=2, \ldots, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $[\mathbf{F r} \mathbf{i}]$ we give the following formula for $\delta(\phi)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(x)=\left(b_{i j}(x)\right)_{1}^{n}, \quad \rho(x)=\rho(B(x)), \quad x>0 \\
& b_{i j}(x)=e^{-x c_{i j}}, \quad(i, j) \in \Gamma(C), \quad b_{i j}(x)=0, \quad(i, j) \notin \Gamma(C) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\delta(\phi) \geq 0$ is the unique nonnegative number so that

$$
\rho(\delta(\phi))=1, \quad \rho(x)<1, \quad \text { for } \quad x>\delta(\phi) .
$$

This characterization of $\delta(\phi)$ appears in Mauldin and Williams [M-W] for certain geometrical constructions in $\mathbf{R}^{m}$. If $\frac{C}{h}$ is a matrix with rational entries for some $h>0$ then (1.6) holds for an appropriate $\Gamma$ ([Fri]).

Consider the special linear group $S L(N, \mathbf{C})$ of $N \times N$ complex valued matrices. Let $I$ denote the identity matrix. For $A \in S L(N, \mathbf{C})$ let $A^{*} \in S L(N, \mathbf{C})$ denote the conjugate transpose of $A$. Recall that the spectral norm $\|A\|$ is given by the formula $\|A\|^{2}=\rho\left(A A^{*}\right)=\rho\left(A^{*} A\right)$, where $\rho(B)$ is the spectral radius of $B \in S L(N, \mathbf{C})$. Note that $A \in S L(N, \mathbf{C}) \Rightarrow\|A\| \geq 1$. Let $S U(N, \mathbf{C}) \subset S L(N, \mathbf{C})$ be the special unitary group, i.e. the maximal compact subgroup of $S L(N, \mathbf{C})$. It is easy to show that

$$
B \in S L(N, \mathbf{C}), \quad\|B\|=1 \Longleftrightarrow B \in S U(N, \mathbf{C})
$$

Assume that $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n} \in S L(N, \mathbf{C})$. Suppose furthermore that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A_{i} \notin S U(N, \mathbf{C})\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \quad A_{a_{1}} \cdots A_{a_{m}} \notin S U(N, \mathbf{C}), \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in \Gamma^{m}, \quad m=1, \ldots, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above conditions hold if $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ generate a torsion free and discrete semigroup (in the standard topology). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)=2 \log \left\|A_{a_{1}} \cdots A_{a_{p}}\right\|, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p} \in \Gamma^{p}, \quad p=1, \ldots, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.1) holds. Furthermore, the submultiplicativity of the norm yields (1.3). If (1.8) does not hold, replace (1.1) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \phi_{m}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right), \quad m=1, \ldots, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the conditions $(1.1)^{\prime}$ and $(1.2)-(1.5)$ yield that $\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a semimetric on $\mathcal{T}\left(\Gamma^{\infty}\right)=(V, E)$. Set

$$
V(v)=\{u: \quad u \in V, \quad \operatorname{dist}(u, v)=0\} .
$$

For each $v \in V$ we identify the vertices $V(v)$ with one vertex $v^{\prime}$. We thus obtain a new graph $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ with the metric $\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

The following inverse problem arises naturally: Let $\phi$ be defined as above and assume that (1.1) ${ }^{\prime}$ and (1.3) hold. Does there exist a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and bounded linear operators $A_{i}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with the the operator norm $\left\|A_{i}\right\|$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, so that (1.9) holds?

Let $F=<f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}>$ be a free group on $r$ generators. We identify $f_{i}, f_{i}^{-1}$ with $i, i+r$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. That is, $g_{i}=f_{i}, g_{i+r}=f_{i}^{-1}, i=1, \ldots, r$. A word $g_{i_{1}} g_{i_{2}} \cdots g_{i_{m}}$ is called a reduced word if $\left|i_{j}-i_{j+1}\right| \neq r, j=$ $1=1, \ldots, m-1$. Then $F$ induces the graph $\Gamma=<2 r>\times<2 r>\backslash \cup_{i=1}^{r}((i, i+r) \cup(i+r, i))$. That is, $\Gamma^{m}$ gives the set of all reduced words in $F$ of length $m$. Furthermore, $\Gamma^{\infty}$ corresponds to all (half) infinite reduced words, which are standardly identified with the limit set $\Lambda(F)$ of $F$ (e.g. [Fri]). For each $i \in<2 r>$ let $\bar{i} \in<2 r>$ be the unique number so that $|\bar{i}-i|=r$.

Assume that $\Gamma \subset<2 r>\times<2 r>$ is the graph induced by a free group on $r$ generators. Consider the SFT $\Gamma^{\infty}$. Suppose that we have a sequence of functions $\phi$ satisfying (1.3). We then define the distance function dist : $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}$using (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4). We replace the condition (1.5) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} \neq b_{1} \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p},\left(b_{j}\right)_{1}^{q}\right)=\phi_{p+q}\left(\bar{b}_{q}, \bar{b}_{q-1}, \ldots, \bar{b}_{1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right) \tag{1.5f}
\end{equation*}
$$

To ensure the equality $\operatorname{dist}(u, v)=\operatorname{dist}(v, u)$ we assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)=\phi_{p}\left(\bar{a}_{p}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{1}\right), p=1, \ldots, . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We give a natural set of examples of metrics satisfying (1.1)-(1.4), (1.5f) and (1.10). Let $F=<$ $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}>, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r} \in S L(N, \mathbf{C})$ be a free discrete group. Recall that for $i \in<r>, \bar{i}=i+r$ and $A_{\bar{i}}=A_{i}^{-1}$. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p},\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{q}\right) & =\log \left\|A_{b_{q}}^{-1} \cdots A_{b_{1}}^{-1} A_{a_{1}} \cdots A_{a_{p}}\right\|+\log \left\|A_{a_{p}}^{-1} \cdots A_{a_{1}}^{-1} A_{b_{1}} \cdots A_{b_{q}}\right\| \\
& =\log \left\|A_{\bar{b}_{q}} \cdots A_{\bar{b}_{1}} A_{a_{1}} \cdots A_{a_{p}}\right\|+\log \left\|A_{\bar{a}_{p}} \cdots A_{\bar{a}_{1}} A_{b_{1}} \cdots A_{b_{q}}\right\|, \quad 0 \leq p, q . \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The above definition implies (1.1)-(1.4), (1.5f) and (1.10). Consider the special case $N=2$. As for any $B \in S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ we have the equality $\left\|B^{-1}\right\|=\|B\|$, we deduce that for $S L(2, \mathbf{C}),(1.9)$ is equivalent to (1.11).

We now show, that the action of a free group $F=<f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}>$ of hyperbolic isometries on $n$ dimensional hyperbolic space $H^{n}$, induces a metric (1.11) on the corresponding orbit of $F$. For simplicity we consider the cases $n=2,3$. Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H^{2}=S L(2, \mathbf{R}) / S O(2, \mathbf{R}), & P S L(2, \mathbf{R})=S L(2, \mathbf{R}) /\{ \pm I\} \\
H^{3}=S L(2, \mathbf{C}) / S U(2, \mathbf{C}), & P S L(2, \mathbf{C})=S L(2, \mathbf{C}) /\{ \pm I\}
\end{array}
$$

Then $P S L_{2}(\mathbf{R})\left(P S L_{2}(\mathbf{C})\right)$ is the group of orientation preserving isometries acting on $H^{2}\left(H^{3}\right)$ by the left multiplication. Assume that $F=<A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}>\leq P S L_{2}(\mathbf{R})\left(P S L_{2}(\mathbf{C})\right)$ be a discrete free group. Then $F$ acts on $H^{2}\left(H^{3}\right)$. Let $o \in H^{2}\left(H^{3}\right)$ be the point corresponding the coset $S O(2, \mathbf{R})(S U(2, \mathbf{C}))$. Then Fo, the $F$-orbit of $o$, corresponds to the vertices of the tree $\mathcal{T}\left(\Gamma^{\infty}\right)$. The hyperbolic distance $d_{h}(u, v), u, v \in F o$ coincides with the distance given by (1.11). Moreover, if $F$ is a Schottky group then $\Gamma^{\infty}$ is isomorphic $\Lambda(F)$-the limit set of $F$. Furthermore, the metric $d$ on $\Gamma^{\infty}$ is Lipschitz equivalent to the metric on $\Lambda(F)$ viewed as a subset of the sphere $S^{n}$. (This point is discussed in detail in $\S 4$.) In this case, $\delta(\phi)$ is the the Hausdorff dimension of $\Lambda(F)$.

Assume that $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ is a subshift and suppose that we have a sequence of positive functions $\phi$ satisfying (1.3). Let $\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the distance function defined by (1.1),(1.2),(1.4) and either (1.5) or (1.5f) on the induced tree $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S})=(V, E)$. For any $t>0$ let

$$
B(o, t)=\{v: \quad v \in V, \quad \operatorname{dist}(v, o) \leq t\} .
$$

Assume the condition (0.2). Then $B(o, t)$ is a finite set and let $|B(o, t)|$ be the number of vertices in $B(o, t)$. $|B(o, t)|$ can be considered as the "volume" of $B(o, t)$. The volume growth of the metric dist is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(\phi)=\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |B(o, t)|}{t} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to show that the volume growth of dist is independent of the choice of the root, i.e. in (1.12) we can replace $o$ by any $o^{\prime} \in V$. In context of discrete groups of hyperbolic motions $\kappa(\phi)$ is identified with the Poincaré exponent of the Poincaré series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in V} e^{-\operatorname{sdist}(o, v)} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to show that the above series converge for $s>\kappa(\phi)$ and diverge for $s<\kappa(\phi)$. (See for example the arguments in [ $\mathbf{N i c}]$.)

Let $G \leq P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a discrete group of hyperbolic isometries. Denote by $\kappa(G)$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(G)$ the Poincaré exponent of $G$ and the Hausdorff of the limit set of $G$ respectively. Then it is known that $\kappa(G)$ is the Hausdorff dimension of the conical limit set of $G[\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{J}]$. Hence $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(G) \geq \kappa(G)$. If $G$ is finitely generated and the area of $\Lambda(G)$ is zero then $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(G)=\kappa(G)$. In our context we have the opposite inequality:

Theorem 1.14. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ be a subshift. Assume that a positive sequence of functions $\phi$ satisfies (0.1) - (0.2). Then

$$
\delta(\phi) \leq \kappa(\phi)
$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma=<n>\times<n>$ be the complete graph on $n$ vertices. For $\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in \Gamma^{m}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)=\left\{x: \quad x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \Gamma^{\infty}, \quad x_{i}=a_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, m,\right\} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the cylindrical set corresponding to $\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}$. Note that $C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)$ is open and closed set in the product topology on $\Gamma^{\infty}=<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$. Then $C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}$ is an open and closed set of $\mathcal{S}$ (and may be $\emptyset$ ). For $t>0$ set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(o, t)=\left\{v: \quad v=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in B(o, t), \quad \phi\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m+p}\right)>t, \quad p=1, \ldots, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}\right\} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be the "boundary sphere" of the ball $B(o, t)$. Clearly, $|S(o, t)| \leq|B(o, t)|$. Suppose that $\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in S(o, t)$. Then $\operatorname{diam}\left(C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}\right)$, the diameter of $\left.C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}\right)$, is less than $e^{-t}$.

Fix $1>\epsilon>0$. Then

$$
\cup_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in S(o,-\log \epsilon)} C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}
$$

is a closed cover of $\mathcal{S}$ with sets of diameters less than $\epsilon$. Hence

$$
\sum_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in S(o,-\log \epsilon)} \operatorname{diam}\left(C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}\right)^{x} \leq|S(o,-\log \epsilon)| \epsilon^{x} \leq|B(o,-\log \epsilon)| \epsilon^{x}, \quad x>0
$$

Fix $a>0$. From the definition of $\kappa(\phi)$ it follows that we have a positive constant $K(a)>0$ so that

$$
|B(o,-\log \epsilon)|<K(a) \epsilon^{-\kappa(\phi)-a}, \quad 0<\epsilon<1
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}|B(o,-\log \epsilon)| \epsilon^{x}=0, \quad \text { for } \quad x>\kappa(\phi)+a
$$

Hence $\delta(\phi) \leq \kappa(\phi)+a$. As $a$ was an arbitrary positive number we deduce the theorem. $\diamond$

## §2. Hausdorff dimension of the invariant measures

Let $\mathcal{B}$ the Borel sigma-algebra on $\left\langle n>^{\mathbf{N}}\right.$ generated by cylindrical sets (1.15). Denote by $\Pi$ the set of probability measures on $\langle n\rangle^{\mathbf{N}}$ which are invariant under the shift $\sigma$. We view $\left.<n\right\rangle^{\mathbf{N}}$ as a compact metric space equipped with the standard metric (induced by the graph metric $d g$ on $\mathcal{T}\left(<n>^{\mathbf{N}}\right)$ as in $\left.\S 1\right)$. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset \Pi$ be the set of ergodic measures. It is well known that $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of the extreme points of $\Pi$ in the $w^{*}$ topology, e.g. [Wal, $\S 6.2$ ]. For each $\mu \in \Pi$ let $h(\mu)$ denote the measure entropy of $\sigma$. As $\sigma$ is expansive it follows that $h(\mu)$ is an upper semicontinuous function on $\Pi$ [Wal, §8.2].

Assume that $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ is a subshift. Let

$$
\Pi(\mathcal{S}):=\{\mu: \quad \mu \in \Pi, \quad \mu(\mathcal{S})=1\}
$$

to be the set of all $\sigma$-invariant probability measures supported on $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})=\mathcal{E} \cap \Pi(\mathcal{S})$ be the set of the extreme points of $\Pi(\mathcal{S})$. Assume that the nonnegative functions $\phi$ satisfy the assumptions (0.1)-(0.2). Let $d$ the metric (0.3) on $\mathcal{S}$. Set

$$
\delta(\mu, \phi)=\inf _{X \subset \mathcal{S}, \mu(X)=1} \operatorname{dim}_{H} X, \quad \mu \in \Pi(\mathcal{S}),
$$

to be the $\mu$-Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to $d$. Let $\psi_{p}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+}, p=0, \ldots$, be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{0}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}\right)=0 \\
& \psi_{p}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}\right)=\phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right), \quad p=1, \ldots, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

That is, $\psi_{p}$ is the random variable which describes the length of the path on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S})$, of the random variable $X$, travelled in $p$ units of time starting $o$ so that $X(p)=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{p}$. As $\psi_{p}$ is a continuous function it follows that $\psi_{p}$ is $\mu$ measurable for any $\mu \in \Pi(\mathcal{S})$ and $p=0, \ldots$, . From the inequality (0.1) we deduce

$$
0 \leq \psi_{p+q} \leq \psi_{p}+\psi_{q} \circ \sigma^{p}, \quad p, q \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}
$$

Assume that $\mu \in \Pi(\mathcal{S})$. Kingman's subadditive ergodic theorem claims that the sequence $\frac{\psi_{m}}{m}, m=1, \ldots$, converges $\mu$-a.e. to $\alpha(x, \mu) \geq 0$. Furthermore, $\alpha(\sigma(x), \mu)=\alpha(x, \mu) \mu-a . e$. and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{m}(\mu):=\int \frac{\psi_{m}(x)}{m} d \mu(x), \quad m=1, \ldots, \\
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{m}(\mu)=\int \alpha(x, \mu) d \mu(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The above inequality on $\psi$ implies that $\alpha_{k m}(\mu) \leq \alpha_{m}(\mu), k=1, \ldots$, . Hence

$$
\int \alpha(x, \mu) d \mu(x) \leq \alpha_{m}(\mu), \quad m=1, \ldots,
$$

If $\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$ then $\alpha(x, \mu)$ is a constant function $\alpha(\mu) \mu-a . e .$. See for example [Wal, $\S 10.2]$. In that case we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{m}(\mu) \geq \alpha(\mu), \quad m=1, \ldots \\
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{m}(\mu)=\alpha(\mu), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We will show that $\alpha(x, \mu)$ is the discrete analog of the Lyapunov exponent for the family $\psi$ with respect to $\mu$. We will only consider ergodic $\mu$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ be a subshift. Assume that the sequence of positive functions $\phi$ satisfies the conditions (0.1) - (0.2). Suppose that dist is the distance function on the vertices of the induced tree $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S})$ given by (1.1), (1.2), (1.4) an either (1.5) or (1.5f). Let d be the metric on $\mathcal{S}$ given by (0.3). Suppose that $\psi$ is given by (2.1). Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$ and assume that $\max (\alpha(\mu), h(\mu))>0$. Then

$$
\delta(\mu, \phi)=\frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)} .
$$

Proof. Assume first that $\alpha(\mu)>0$. Let $B(x, r)=\{y: d(x, y) \leq r\}$ be the closed ball of radius $r>0$ centered at $x \in \mathcal{S}$. Assume that $Y \subset \mathcal{S}$ is a Borel set and $\mu(Y)>0$. Suppose furthermore that for each $y \in Y$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\underline{\delta} \leq \liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(y, r))}{\log r} \leq \limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(y, r))}{\log r} \leq \bar{\delta}
$$

Then $\underline{\delta} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{H} Y \leq \bar{\delta}$, where $\operatorname{dim}_{H} Y$ is the Hausdorff dimension of $Y$ with respect to the metric $d$. See for example [You, Prop. 2.1]. Then our theorem is implied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu\left(B\left(y, r_{m}(y)\right)\right)}{\log r_{m}(y)}=\frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)}, \quad \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} r_{m}(y)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu$-almost all $y \in \mathcal{S}$ and a corresponding sequence $r_{m}(y), m=1, \ldots$, . See for example the Remark after Prop. 2.1 in [ $\mathbf{Y o u}$ ].

We prove (2.5). Assume that $y=\left(y_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $B_{m}(y)$ be the cylinder $C\left(\left(y_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)$. The Shannon-McMilan-Breiman theorem (e.g. [Wal]) claims that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu\left(B_{m}(y)\right)}{m}=-h(\mu)
$$

for $\mu$-almost all $y \in<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$. (Here we may assume that the finite partition $\xi$ is given by $\{C((1)), \ldots, C((n))\}$.)
The Kingman subadditive ergodic theorem claims that $\frac{\psi_{m}(y)}{m}$ converges $\mu$-almost everywhere in $\mathcal{S}$ to $\alpha(\mu)$. Hence

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu\left(B_{m}(y)\right)}{\psi_{m}(y)}=-\frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)}
$$

$\mu$-almost everywhere. The assumption that $\alpha(\mu)>0$ and the definition of the metric $d$ by (0.3) means that

$$
B_{m}(y)=B\left(y, r_{m}\right), \quad r_{m}=e^{-\psi_{m}(y)} \approx e^{-\alpha(\mu) m}
$$

for $\mu$-almost all $y$. Combine the above equalities to deduce (2.5). This proves the theorem for $\alpha(\mu)>0$.
Assume that $\alpha(\mu)=0, h(\mu)>0$. It is left to show that $\delta(\mu, \phi)=\infty$. Fix $\epsilon>0$ and let

$$
\phi_{p, \epsilon}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)=\phi_{p}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)+p \epsilon, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad m=1, \ldots,
$$

Clearly the functions $\phi_{\epsilon}$ satisfy (0.1)-(0.2). Denote by $\psi_{p, \epsilon}, p=1, \ldots$, the corresponding functions on $\mathcal{S}$. Let $d_{\epsilon}$ be the induced metric on $\mathcal{S}$. As $\epsilon>0$ it follows that $d_{\epsilon}(a, b)<d(a, b), a, b \in \mathcal{S}$. Then for any $X \subset \mathcal{S}$ the Hausdorff dimension of $X$ with respect to $d_{\epsilon}$ does not exceed the Hausdorff dimension of $X$ with respect to $d$. Hence $\delta(\mu, \phi) \geq \delta\left(\mu, \phi_{\epsilon}\right)$. Clearly,

$$
\alpha_{\epsilon}(\mu)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{\psi_{m, \epsilon}}{m} d \mu=\lambda(\mu)+\epsilon=\epsilon
$$

The previous arguments show that $\delta\left(\mu, \phi_{\epsilon}\right)=\frac{h(\mu)}{\epsilon}$. Hence $\delta(\mu, \phi) \geq \frac{h(\mu)}{\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon>0$. Thus $\delta(\mu, \phi)=\infty$ and the proof of the theorem is complete. $\diamond$

Comparing the formula for $\delta(\phi, \mu)$ in (2.4) with the the formula for the $\mu$-Hausdorff dimension of $J(f)$ discussed in $\S 0$, we realize that $\alpha(\mu)$ is a discrete analog of the Lyapunov exponent for the family $\phi$ satisfying the assumptions (0.1)-(0.2). One also can view $\alpha(\mu)$ as an average weight of an edge in the tree $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S})$.

Corollary 2.6. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.4,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(\mu, \phi) & \geq \frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha_{m}(\mu)}, \quad m=1, \ldots \\
\delta(\mu, \phi) & =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha_{m}(\mu)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Gamma \subset<n>\times<n>$ be a strongly connected graph on $n$ vertices. That is, the $0-1$ matrix $A(\Gamma)$ is irreducible. Assume that $S=\left(s_{i j}\right)_{1}^{n}$ is a stochastic matrix whose graph $\Gamma(S)=\Gamma$. Let $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right)$ be the unique probability left eigen-vector of $S$. That is, $\pi$ is a positive vector whose coordinates add to one and $\pi S=\pi$. Define the probability measure $\nu_{S}$ on $\langle n\rangle^{\mathbf{N}}$ by its value on the cylindrical sets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{S}(C((i)))=\pi_{i}, \quad i \in<n>, \quad \nu_{S}\left(C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)\right)=\pi_{a_{1}} s_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdots s_{a_{m-1} a_{m}}, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in \Gamma^{m}, \quad m>1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that $\nu_{S}$ is shift invariant. As $\Gamma$ was assumed to be strongly connected, we deduce that the shift is ergodic with respect to $\nu_{S}$, e.g. [Wal, Thm. 1.13]. Recall that ([Wal, §4.8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\nu_{S}\right)=-\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \pi_{i} s_{i j} \log s_{i j} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the topological entropy $h_{\text {top }}$ of the shift restricted to $\Gamma^{\infty}$ is equal to $\log \rho(\Gamma)$. Furthermore, our assumption that $\Gamma$ is strongly connected implies that there exists a unique ergodic invariant measure $\mu$ so that the Kolmogorov-Sinai measure entropy $h(\mu)$ is equal to $h_{t o p}$. This is so called Parry measure [Par]. This measure is $\nu_{P}$ where $P$ is the unique stochastic matrix of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\rho(\Gamma)^{-1} D^{-1} A(\Gamma) D, \quad D=\operatorname{diag}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right), \quad u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)^{T}>0, \quad A(\Gamma) u=\rho(\Gamma) u \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

See for example [Wal, Thm 8.10].
Corollary 2.10. Let $\Gamma \subset<n>\times<n>$ be a strongly connected graph on $n$ vertices. Assume that the sequence of positive functions $\phi$ satisfies the conditions $(0.1)-(0.2)$ for $\mathcal{S}=\Gamma^{\infty}$. Suppose that $\nu_{S}$ is an ergodic measure given by (2.7). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha\left(\nu_{S}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in \Gamma^{m}} \pi_{a_{1}} s_{a_{1} a_{2}} \cdots s_{a_{m-1} a_{m}} \phi_{m}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)=\alpha_{m}\left(\nu_{S}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pi_{i} \phi_{1}(i)=\alpha_{1}\left(\nu_{S}\right), \quad m=2, \ldots \\
& \delta\left(\nu_{S}, \phi\right) \geq \frac{h\left(\nu_{S}\right)}{\alpha_{m}\left(\nu_{S}\right)}, \quad m=1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose furthermore that $n=2 r$ and $\Gamma$ is the graph induced by a free group on $r$ generators. Then for the Parry measure $\nu_{P}$ we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda\left(\nu_{P}\right) \leq \frac{\sum_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in \Gamma^{m}} \phi\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)}{m 2 r(2 r-1)^{m-1}}=\alpha_{m}\left(\nu_{P}\right), \quad m=1, \ldots \\
& \delta\left(\nu_{P}, \phi\right) \geq \frac{\log (2 r-1)}{\alpha_{m}\left(\nu_{P}\right)}, \quad m=1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

Let the assumption of Theorems 2.4 hold. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\delta}(\phi):=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}), h(\mu)>0} \frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\delta(\phi) \geq \delta(\mu, \phi)$ we obtain that $\delta(\phi) \geq \hat{\delta}(\phi)$. Combine this observation with Theorem 1.14 to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(\phi) \geq \delta(\phi) \geq \hat{\delta}(\phi) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show how to use Corollary 2.11 to obtain lower bounds for the $\hat{\delta}(\phi)$ which converge to $\hat{\delta}(\phi)$. Let $\Gamma \subset<n>\times<n>$ be a strongly connected digraph. Set $\Gamma(1):=\Gamma$ and define $\Gamma(l) \subset \Gamma^{l} \times \Gamma^{l}$ for $l>1$ as follows:

$$
\Gamma(l)=\left\{(a, b): \quad a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{l}, \quad b=\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{l} \in \Gamma^{l}, \quad\left(a_{l}, b_{1}\right) \in \Gamma\right\}, \quad l=2, \ldots, .
$$

Suppose that $A(\Gamma)^{p}$ is a positive matrix for some $p>1$. It is straightforward to show that there exists $q>1$ so that $A(\Gamma(l))^{q}$ is a positive matrix. Hence $\Gamma(l)$ is strongly connected for $l=1, \ldots$, . Assume that $A(\Gamma)^{p}$ is never positive. Then there exists $1<p \leq n$ so that $A(\Gamma)$ has exactly $p$ distinct eigenvalues of modulus $\rho(\Gamma)$, e.g. [Min]. It is straightforward to show that if $l$ and $p$ are coprime than $\Gamma(l)$ is strongly connected. (For any $l \geq 1 \Gamma(l)$ is a disjoint union of strongly connected graphs.) Denote by $\Pi\left(\Gamma^{l}\right), \Sigma(\Gamma(l))$ the space of probability measures on $\Gamma^{l}$ and the space of stochastic matrices induced by $\Gamma(l)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi\left(\Gamma^{l}\right):=\left\{\pi: \quad \pi=\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{i \in \Gamma^{l}} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i \in \Gamma^{l}} \pi_{i}=1\right\} \\
& \Sigma(\Gamma(l)):=\left\{B=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \Gamma^{l}}: \quad B \geq 0, \quad b_{i j}=0 \quad \forall(i, j) \notin \Gamma(l), \quad \sum_{j \in \Gamma^{l}} b_{i j}=1, \quad i \in \Gamma^{l}\right\}, \\
& l=1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $B \in \Sigma(\Gamma(l))$ let

$$
\Pi(B):=\left\{\pi: \quad \pi \in \Pi\left(\Gamma^{l}\right), \quad \pi B=\pi\right\} .
$$

Note that if $B$ is irreducible then $\Pi(B)$ consists of a unique probability eigenvector of $B$.
Theorem 2.13. Let $\Gamma \subset<n>\times<n>$ be a strongly connected graph on $n$ vertices. Assume that the sequence of positive functions $\phi$ satisfies the conditions $(0.1)-(0.2)$ for $\mathcal{S}=\Gamma^{\infty}$. Fix $l \geq 1$ an let

$$
\delta_{l}(\phi)=\max _{\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{i \in \Gamma^{l}} \in \Pi(B), B=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \Gamma^{l}} \in \Sigma(\Gamma(l))} \frac{-\sum_{i, j \in \Gamma^{l}} \pi_{i} b_{i j} \log b_{i j}}{\sum_{i \in \Gamma^{l}} \pi_{i} \phi_{l}(i)}, \quad l=1, \ldots, .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\delta}(\phi) \geq \delta_{l}(\phi), \quad l=1, \ldots \\
& \lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{l}(\phi)=\hat{\delta}(\phi) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}(\Gamma(l))=\left(V_{l}, E_{l}\right)$ be the tree induced by $\Gamma(l)$. Then $V_{l}$ is a subset of $V$ with the same root $o$ and the vertices induced by $\Gamma^{p l}, p=1, \ldots$, . Any metric dist : $V \times V: \mathbf{R}_{+}$restricts to a metric on $V_{l}$. In particular, a sequence of positive functions $\phi$ satisfying (0.1)-(0.2) will restrict to a metric on $V_{l}$ satisfying the finiteness assumption. Clearly, $\Gamma(l)^{\infty}$ is equal to $\Gamma^{\infty}$ for $l=1, \ldots$, . Furthermore, the action of the shift $\sigma_{l}: \Gamma(l)^{\infty} \rightarrow \Gamma(l)^{\infty}$ is identical with $\sigma^{l}: \Gamma^{\infty} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}\left(\Gamma^{\infty}\right)$. We view $\mu$ as a measure $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{E}\left(\Gamma(l)^{\infty}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(\tilde{\mu})=l h(\mu), \\
& \alpha_{m}(\tilde{\mu})=l \alpha_{m l}(\mu), \quad m=1, \ldots, \\
& \alpha(\tilde{\mu})=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{m}(\tilde{\mu})=l \alpha(\mu), \\
& \frac{h(\tilde{\mu})}{\alpha(\tilde{\mu})}=\frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)}, \\
& \sup _{\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{E}\left(\Gamma(l)^{\infty}\right)} \frac{h(\tilde{\mu})}{\alpha(\tilde{\mu})}=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}\left(\Gamma^{\infty}\right)} \frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)}=\hat{\delta}(\phi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $l \geq 1$ and choose $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \Gamma^{l}} \in \Sigma(\Gamma(l))$. Suppose first that $B$ is irreducible. Then $\Pi(B)=\{\pi\}$. Let $\nu_{B} \in \mathcal{E}\left(\Gamma(l)^{\infty}\right)$ be given by (2.7). According to Corollary 2.10 ( with $m=1$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(\phi, \nu_{B}\right) \geq \frac{-\sum_{i, j \Gamma^{l}} \pi_{i} b_{i j} \log b_{i j}}{\sum_{i \in \Gamma^{l}} \pi_{i} \phi_{l}(i)} \Rightarrow  \tag{2.15}\\
& \hat{\delta}(\phi) \geq \frac{-\sum_{i, j \in \Gamma^{l}} \pi_{i} b_{i j} \log b_{i j}}{\sum_{i \in \Gamma^{l}} \pi_{i} \phi_{l}(i)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $B$ is reducible. Then $\Pi(B)$ is a convex hull of its extreme points $\pi^{1}, \ldots, \pi^{k} \in \Pi(B)$. Each $\pi^{j}$ induces an ergodic measure $\nu^{j}$ on $\Gamma(l)^{\infty}$. Set

$$
\delta\left(\phi, \nu_{B}\right):=\max _{1 \leq j \leq k} \delta\left(\phi, \nu^{j}\right) .
$$

It is straightforward to show that for any $\pi \in \Pi(B)(2.15)$ holds. Hence $\hat{\delta}(\phi) \geq \delta_{l}(\phi), l=1, \ldots$, .
We now show the second part of (2.14). Assume first that $\hat{\delta}(\phi)<\infty$. Fix $1>\epsilon>0$ and assume that

$$
\hat{\delta}(\phi)<\frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)}(1+\epsilon), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{E}\left(\Gamma^{\infty}\right), \quad h(\mu)>0 .
$$

Since $\sigma: \Gamma^{\infty} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\infty}$ is expansive, $h(\mu)$ can be computed with respect to the partition $C(i), i=1, \ldots, n$. Recall that the sequence $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in \Gamma^{m}}-\mu(C(i)) \log \mu(C(i)), m=1, \ldots$, decreases to $h(\mu)$. There exists $N(\epsilon)$ so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1+\epsilon)^{-1} \leq \frac{m h(\mu)}{\sum_{i \in \Gamma^{m}}-\mu(C(i)) \log \mu(C(i))} \leq 1 \\
& \alpha(\mu) \leq \alpha_{m}(\mu) \leq \alpha(\mu)(1+\epsilon), \quad m \geq N(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix $m \geq N(\epsilon)$. Let $\pi_{i}=\mu(C(i)), i \in \Gamma^{m}$. Then $\pi=\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{i \in \Gamma^{m}} \in \Pi\left(\Gamma^{m}\right)$. Assume first that $\pi$ is a positive vector. Set

$$
B=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \Gamma^{m}}, \quad b_{i j}=\pi_{i}^{-1} \mu(C((i, j))), \quad(i, j) \in \Gamma^{2 m}, \quad b_{i j}=0, \quad(i, j) \notin \Gamma^{2 m}
$$

Since $\mu$ is a probability measure on $\Gamma^{\infty}$, it follows that $B$ is a stochastic matrix, i.e. $B \in \Sigma\left(\Gamma^{m}\right)$. As $\mu$ is $\sigma$ invariant we deduce that $\pi \in \Pi(B)$. Let $\nu$ be $\sigma$-invariant measure on $\Gamma(m)^{\infty}$ given by (2.7). Use (2.8) and the above inequalities to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(\nu)=-\sum_{i, j \in \Gamma^{m}} \pi_{i} b_{i j} \log b_{i j}= \\
& -\sum_{(i, j) \in \Gamma^{2 m}} \mu\left(C ( ( i , j ) ) \operatorname { l o g } \mu \left(C((i, j))+\sum_{i \in \Gamma^{m}} \pi_{i} \log \pi_{i} \geq\right.\right. \\
& 2 m h(\mu)-m h(\mu)(1+\epsilon)=(1-\epsilon) m h(\mu), \\
& m \alpha_{m}(\mu) \leq m \alpha(\mu)(1+\epsilon), \quad m \geq N(\epsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\hat{\delta}(\phi) \geq \delta_{m}(\phi) \geq \frac{-\sum_{i, j \in \Gamma^{m}} \pi_{i} b_{i j} \log b_{i j}}{\sum_{i \in \Gamma^{m}} \pi_{i} \phi_{m}(i)} \geq \frac{(1-\epsilon) h(\mu)}{(1+\epsilon) \alpha(\mu)}>(1-\epsilon)^{3} \hat{\delta}(\phi), \quad m \geq N(\epsilon) .
$$

These inequalities remain valid for a nonnegative probability vector $\pi$. Hence the second part of (2.14) follows. In a similar way one shows the second part of $(2.14)$ when $\hat{\delta}(\phi)=\infty$. $\diamond$

## §3. Topological pressure

In this section we give sufficient conditions for the equality $\delta(\phi)=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$ by using the topological pressure. We state our results for subshifts. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$. Let $C(\mathcal{S})$ denote the Banach space of real continuous functions on $\mathcal{S}$ with the max norm $\|\cdot\|$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m} \in C(\mathcal{S}), \quad\left\|\frac{\psi_{m}}{m}\right\| \leq K, \quad m=1, \ldots, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& M(m):=\left\{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}: \quad C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset\right\}, \quad n=1, \ldots, \\
& P_{m}:=\sum_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in M(m)} \max _{x \in C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}} e^{\psi_{m}(x)}, \quad m=1, \ldots,  \tag{3.2}\\
& P:=\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \log P_{m} .
\end{align*}
$$

Use (3.1) to deduce that $-K \leq P \leq \log n+K$. Let $q: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous function. Set

$$
S_{m}(q)(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q\left(\sigma^{i}(x)\right), \quad m=1, \ldots
$$

Then for the sequence $\psi_{m}=S_{m}(q), m=1, \ldots, P$ is the topological pressure associated with $q$. See for example [Wal, Ch.9]. (We use here the fact that $\sigma$ is expansive on $\mathcal{S}$.) Set $P(q):=P$. Recall that in this case one has the maximal characterization:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(q)=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})}\left(h(\mu)+\int q d \mu\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\sigma$ is expansive, $h(\mu)$ is upper semicontinuous, e.g. [Wal, Thm 8.2, pp. 184]. Hence the supremum in (3.3) is achieved for at least one ergodic measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(q)=h(\nu)+\int q d \nu, \quad \nu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathcal{S}$ is a topologically transitive SFT and $q$ is Hölder continuous, then $\nu$ is a unique (Gibbs) measure [Bow1]. We call $P$ the topological pressure of $\left\{\psi_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$. See $[\mathbf{F a l}]$ and $[\mathbf{B a r}]$ for similar definitions.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ be a subshift and assume that $\psi_{m} \in C(\mathcal{S}), m=1, \ldots$, satisfy the following condition. There exists $q \in C(\mathcal{S})$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\frac{1}{m}\left(\psi_{m}-S_{m}(q)\right)\right\|=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the topological pressure $P$ associated with $\psi$ is equal to $P(q)$. For any $\sigma$-invariant probability measure set $\alpha(\mu)=\int q d \mu$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{\psi_{m}}{m} d \mu=\alpha(\mu)  \tag{3.7}\\
& P=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})}(h(\mu)+\alpha(\mu)) .
\end{align*}
$$

The supremum is achieved for some $\nu$ satisfying (3.4)
Proof. Let $P_{m}, P_{m}(q)$ be defined by $(3.2)$ for $\psi_{m}$ and $S_{m}(q)$ respectively. Set $\| \frac{1}{m}\left(\psi_{m}-S_{m}(q) \|=\epsilon_{m}\right.$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{m} \log P_{m}(q)-\epsilon_{m} \leq \frac{1}{m} \log P_{m} \leq \frac{1}{m} \log P_{m}(q)+\epsilon_{m}
$$

Hence $P=P(q)$. The condition (3.6) implies that $\alpha_{m}(\mu):=\int \frac{\psi_{m}}{m} d \mu, m=1, \ldots$, converge to $\int q d \mu=\alpha(\mu)$. $\diamond$

Let $\phi$ be given by (1.7). Define $q \in C\left(\Delta^{\infty}\right)$ to be a piecewise constant function on the cylinders of length two:

$$
q(x)=c_{i j}, \quad x \in C((i, j)) \quad(i, j) \in \Delta
$$

It is straighforward to show that the induced sequence $\psi$ satisfies (3.6). As $q$ is Hölder continuous, we deduce that $\nu$ is a unique Gibbs measure [Bow1]. We will show in the next sections that the condition (3.6) satisfied for Schottky groups and geometrically finite, purely loxodromic, Kleinian groups. Recall Bareira's condition [Bar, Thm 1.7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \| \psi_{m+1}(x)-\psi_{m}(\sigma(x)-q(x) \|=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $q \in C(\mathcal{S})$. It is straightfoward to show that the above condition implies (3.6). However, (3.6) does not have to imply (3.8). Indeed, (3.6) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}=S_{m}(q)+m e_{m}, \quad e_{m} \in C(\mathcal{S}), \quad \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|e_{m}\right\|=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, one can choose $\left\{e_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ such that (3.8) does not hold. We give a simple intrinsic condition for a subadditive sequence $\left\{\psi_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ which yields (3.7).

Lemma 3.10. Let $\left\{\psi_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty} \subset C(\mathcal{S})$ and assume that (3.6) holds for some $q \in C(\mathcal{S})$. Then for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $N(\epsilon)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{m}\left(\psi_{m}-S_{m}\left(\frac{\psi_{l}}{l}\right)\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon, \quad l>N(\epsilon), \quad m>p(l) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(l)=l^{2}$.
Proof. Let $q \in C(\mathcal{S})$. Then

$$
S_{m}\left(S_{l}(q)\right)=l S_{m}(q)+r_{m, l}(q), \quad\left\|r_{m, l}(q)\right\| \leq l(l-1)\|q\|
$$

The condition (3.6) is equivalent to (3.9). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{m}\left(\psi_{l}\right)=S_{m}\left(S_{l}(q)+l e_{l}\right)=S_{m}\left(S_{l}(q)\right)+S_{m}\left(l e_{l}\right)=l S_{m}(q)+r_{m, l}(q)+l S_{m}\left(e_{l}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{m}\left(\psi_{m}-S_{m}\left(\frac{\psi_{l}}{l}\right)\right)=e_{m}-\frac{r_{m, l}}{m l}-\frac{S_{m}\left(e_{l}\right)}{m} \\
& \left\|\frac{1}{m}\left(\psi_{m}-S_{m}\left(\frac{\psi_{l}}{l}\right)\right)\right\| \leq\left\|e_{m}\right\|+\frac{(l-1)\|q\|}{m}+\left\|e_{l}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choose $N(\epsilon)>\frac{3\|q\|}{\epsilon}$ so that $\left\|e_{m}\right\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for $m>N(\epsilon)$. Then (3.11) holds for $p(l)=l^{2}$. $\diamond$
Theorem 3.12. Let $\left\{\psi_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty} \subset C(\mathcal{S})$. Assume that for each $\epsilon>0$, there exists $N(\epsilon)>0$, such that the condition (3.11) holds for an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{p(l)\}_{1}^{\infty}$. Let $\mu$ be a $\sigma$-invariant probability measure on $\mathcal{S}$. Then the sequence

$$
\alpha_{m}(\mu):=\int \frac{\psi_{m}}{m} d \mu, \quad m=1, \ldots
$$

converges to a limit denoted by $\alpha(\mu)$. The topological pressure $P$ associated with $\psi$ has the variational characterization (3.7). Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})}\left(h(\mu)+\alpha_{l}(\mu)\right)=h\left(\mu^{*}\right)+\alpha\left(\mu^{*}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mu^{*} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$.
Proof. The variational characterization of $P\left(\frac{\psi_{l}}{l}\right)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\frac{\psi_{l}}{l}\right)=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})}\left(h(\mu)+\alpha_{l}(\mu)\right), \quad l=1, \ldots, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\mu$ is an invariant probablity measure. Then (3.11) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha_{m}(\mu)-\alpha_{l}(\mu)\right| \leq \epsilon, \quad l>N(\epsilon), \quad m>p(l) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $\epsilon$ and $l>N(\epsilon)$. Then

$$
\left|\alpha_{m}(\mu)-\alpha_{k}(\mu)\right| \leq 2 \epsilon, \quad k, m>p(l)
$$

Hence $\left\{\alpha_{m}(\mu)\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence which converges to $\alpha(\mu)$. Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\alpha(\mu)-\alpha_{l}(\mu)\right| \leq \epsilon, \quad l>N(\epsilon) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.11), $\left|P-P\left(\frac{\psi_{l}}{l}\right)\right| \leq \epsilon, l>N(\epsilon)$. Let $Q$ denote the right-hand side of the second equality in (3.7). Use (3.14) and (3.16) to obtain

$$
P\left(\frac{\psi_{l}}{l}\right)-\epsilon \leq Q \leq P\left(\frac{\psi_{l}}{l}\right)+\epsilon, \quad l>N(\epsilon) .
$$

Then $P=Q$ and $P$ has the characterization (3.7). It is left to show that the supremum in (3.7) is achieved. Let $\mu_{l}$ be an ergodic measure which maximizes (3.14). Pick up a weakly convergent subsequence $\left\{\mu_{l_{k}}\right\}$ which converges to a probability measure $\nu$. Since the shift $\sigma$ is expansive on $\mathcal{S}$, the Kolmogorov-Sinai measure of $\sigma \mid \mathcal{S}$ is upper semicontinuous (e.g. [Wal, Ch.8]). Hence, for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $N_{1}(\epsilon)$ so that $h(\nu)>h\left(\mu_{l_{k}}\right)-\epsilon, k>N_{1}(\epsilon)$. Use (3.15) to deduce that

$$
h(\nu)+\alpha_{m}\left(\mu_{l_{k}}\right)>h\left(\mu_{l_{k}}\right)+\alpha_{l_{k}}\left(\mu_{l_{k}}\right)-2 \epsilon, \quad k>\max \left(N(\epsilon), N_{1}(\epsilon)\right), \quad m>p(l) .
$$

Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ and use the assumption that $\left\{\mu_{l_{k}}\right\}$ converges weakly to deduce that $h(\nu)+\alpha_{m}(\nu) \geq P-2 \epsilon$. Let $m \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain $h(\nu)+\alpha(\nu) \geq P-2 \epsilon$. Hence $h(\nu)+\alpha(\nu) \geq P$. Use the ergodic decomposition of $\nu$ and (3.7) to obtain that $h(\nu)+\alpha(\nu)=P$. Moreover, almost all ergodic components $\mu^{*}$ of $\nu$ satisfy the equality $h\left(\mu^{*}\right)+\alpha\left(\mu^{*}\right) . \diamond$

Theorem 3.17. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset<n>^{\mathbf{N}}$ be a subshift. Assume that the sequence of positive functions $\phi$ satisfies the conditions $(0.1)-(0.2)$. Suppose that dist is the distance function on the vertices of the induced tree $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S})$ given by $(1.1),(1.2),(1.4)$ an either (1.5) or $(1.5 f)$. Let $d$ be the metric on $\mathcal{S}$ given by ( 0.3 ) and let $\delta(\phi)$ denote the Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to $d$. Suppose that $\psi$ is given by (2.1). Assume that for each $\epsilon>0$ there exists $N(\epsilon)>0$ such that the condition (3.11) holds for an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{p(l)\}_{1}^{\infty}$. Let $P_{m}(t):=P\left(\frac{-t \psi_{m}}{m}\right), t \in \mathbf{R}$, be the topological pressure corresponding to $\frac{-t \psi_{m}}{m}, m=1, \ldots$, . Then $P(t)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{m}(t)$ is the topological pressure associated with $\left\{-t \psi_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$. Assume that the topological entropy of $\sigma \mid \mathcal{S}$ is positive, i.e. $P(0)>0$. If $P(t)>0, \forall t>0$ then $\delta(\phi)=\infty$. Assume that there exists $t>0$ so that $P(t)<0$. Then $\infty>\delta(\phi)>0$ is the unique solution of the Bowen equation $P(t)=0$. Furthermore, $\delta(\phi)=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$, where $\hat{\delta}(\phi)$ is given by (2.11). There exists $\mu^{*} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $\hat{\delta}(\phi)=\delta\left(\phi, \mu^{*}\right)$.

Proof. Clearly, for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$ the sequence $\left\{-t \psi_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the condition (3.11). Hence $P(t)=$ $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{m}(t)$. Use Theorem (3.12) to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})}(h(\mu)-t \alpha(\mu))=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} P_{m}(t) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $h(\mu)-t \alpha(\mu)$ an affine decreasing function in $t$ we deduce that $P(t)$ is a decreasing continuous convex function on R. Hence $P(t) \geq P(0)>0$ for $t \leq 0$. Suppose first that $P(t)>0$ for $t>0$. Then there exists $\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$ so that $h(\mu)-t \alpha(\mu)>0$. Use Theorem 2.4 to obtain

$$
\delta(\phi) \geq \delta(\phi, \mu) \geq t
$$

Hence $\delta(\phi)=\infty$ if $P(t)>0$ for all $t>0$. Assume now that $P(t)<0$ for some $t>0$. Hence $\alpha(\mu)>0$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$, i.e. $\alpha(\mu) \geq a>0, \mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$. Let $P\left(t_{0}\right)=0, t_{0}>0$. Use (3.7) to deduce that $t_{0}=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$. Hence, for $P(t)<0, t>t_{0}$. We now show that $\delta(\phi) \leq t$ for any $t>t_{0}$. Recall that $P(t)$ is the topological pressure
associated with the sequence $\left\{-t \psi_{m}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$. The equality $\psi_{m}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}\right)=\phi_{m}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)$ and the inequality $P(t)<0$ yield

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in M(m)} e^{-t \phi_{m}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)}=0 .
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$. Then the condition (0.2) yields that

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}\right)<\epsilon, \quad m>A(\epsilon)
$$

The definition of the Hausdorff dimension implies that $\delta(\phi) \leq t$. Hence $\delta(\phi) \leq t_{0}=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$. Use (2.12) to deduce $\delta(\phi)=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$. $\diamond$

## §4. Schottky groups

Let $F=<f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}>\leq \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C}), r>1$ be a discrete free group. View $F$ as a discrete group of Möbius transformations of the extended complex plane CP (complex projective line) which acts as the group of isometries on $H^{3}$. As $F$ is free it follows that $F$ acts freely on $H^{3}$. Fix a point $o \in H^{3}$ and consider the orbit Fo. Let $\Gamma \subset<2 r>\times<2 r>$ be the $F$-induced graph. Then $F o$ are the vertices in the tree $\mathcal{T}\left(\Gamma^{\infty}\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)=d_{h}\left(f_{a_{1}} \cdots f_{a_{p}} o, o\right), \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{i}^{p} \in \Gamma^{p}, \quad p=1, \ldots \\
& f_{i+r}=f_{i}^{-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $d_{h}(x, y)$ is the hyperbolic distance between $x, y \in H^{3}$. If $F$ is a Fuchsian group, choose $o \in H^{2}$ so that $F o \subset H^{2}$. Let $\kappa(F)$ be the Poincaré exponent of $F$. Comparing the standard definition of $\kappa(F)$ ([Nic]), and the definition (1.12) of $\kappa(\phi)$ we deduce that $\kappa(F)=\kappa(\phi)$. Use the inequality of Bishop-Jones $[\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{J}]$ and (2.12) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{H}(\Lambda(F)) \geq \kappa(F)=\kappa(\phi) \geq \delta(\phi) \geq \hat{\delta}(\phi) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.13 (when applicable) gives nontrivial lower bounds on any quantity appearing in (4.1). It is known that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(G)=\kappa(G)$ for the following discrete $G \leq P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ : $G$ is a lattice, i.e. the volume of the fundamental domain is finite; $G$ is geometrically finite or convex cocompact (e.g. [Nic]); $\Lambda(G)$ has zero Lebesgue area $[\mathbf{B i s}]$. It seems that one has equality signs in (4.1) in many cases. We show the equality $\operatorname{dim}_{H}(\Lambda(F))=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$ for a finitely generated, free Kleinian group $F(\Lambda(F) \neq \mathbf{C P})$ without parabolic elements.

A finitely generated free group $F=<f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}>\leq \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ is called a classical Schottky group of rank $r$ if the following conditions hold: There exists $2 r$ disjoint circles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{2 r}$ in $\mathbf{C P}$ with a common exterior and $f_{i}$ maps the inside of $C_{i}$ onto the outside of $C_{r+i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. It is well known that $F$ is discrete. Furhtermore, $F$ is purely loxodromic, i.e. does not contain parabolic elements. See for example [Mas]. View $F$ as the group of hyperbolic isometries of $H^{3}$. Then $F$ has a following fundamental domain $D(F)$. Assume for simplicity that each $C_{i}$ is a standard Euclidean circle in $\mathbf{C}$ with the center $o_{i}$ and radius $r_{i}$. Let $B_{i}$ be the open three dimensional ball centered at $o_{i}$ with the radius $r_{i}$. Then $D(F)=H^{3} \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{2 r} B_{i}$. Denote by $D_{i}=B_{i} \cap \mathbf{C}$ the open disk centered at $o_{i}$ with radius $r_{i}$. Then $\Lambda(F) \subset \cup_{i=1}^{2 r} D_{i}$.

A finitely generated free group $F=<f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}>\subset P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ is called Schottky group if we replace in the above definition the disjoint circles $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{2 r}$ by simple closed curves in CP. Let $T$ be the closed connected component bounded by $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{2 r}$. Let $D_{i}$ denote the open connected component of the complement of $D$ bounded by $C_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 r$. Then $F$ is discrete; $F$ does not have parabolic elements; $T$ is the fundamental domain for the action of $F$ on $\mathbf{C P} ; F$ (viewed as a discrete group of isometries of $H^{3}$ ) is geometrically finite; $\Lambda(F) \subset \cup_{i=1}^{2 r} D_{i}$. Vice versa, assume that $F$ is a finitely generated, free, purely loxodromic Kleinian group. Then $F$ is a Schottky group. See [Mas, X.H].

We now recall the results of Bowen [Bow2], who applies the tools of thermodynamics formalism to compute $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$ for a Schottky group $F$. For convenience, we assume that the curves $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{2 r}$ lie in $\mathbf{C}$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$ is computed with respect to the Euclidean metric on C. The sets $D_{i} \cap \Lambda(F), i=1, \ldots, 2 r$, form a Markov partition for $\Lambda(F)$. Let $f: \Lambda(F) \rightarrow \Lambda(F)$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left|\Lambda(F) \cap D_{i}:=f_{i}\right| \Lambda(F) \cap D_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 r \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is a natural homeomorphism $\Theta: \Gamma^{\infty} \rightarrow \Lambda(F)$, where $\Gamma<2 r>\times<2 r>$ is the digraph induced by the free group on $r$ generators. Furthermore, $\Theta^{-1} f \Theta$ is a shift $\sigma$ on $\Gamma^{\infty}$. Set

$$
q(z)=q(f)(z):=\log \left|f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right|, \quad z \in \Lambda(F) \cap D_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 r .
$$

Then $f$ expands uniformly on $\Lambda(F)$. That is, $q\left(f^{k}\right)(z) \geq a>0, z \in \Lambda(F)$, for some $k \geq 1$. Let $\Pi(\Lambda(F))$ be the set of $f$-invariant measures supported on $\Lambda(F)$ and let $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda(F))$ denote the subset of ergodic measures. Let $P(-t q)$ be the topological pressure associated with $-t q$ as defined in $\S 3$. Then $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$ is the unique solution of $P(-t q)=0$. Furthermore, the unique maximal Gibbs measure $\mu^{*}$ corresponding to $t=\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$ is equivalent to a $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$-Hausdorff measure. From the definition of $q$ it follows that $\int q d \mu$ is the Lyapunov exponent $\lambda(\mu)$ of $f$. As $f$ expands uniformly it follows that $\lambda(\mu) \geq a>0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda(F))} \frac{h(\mu)}{\lambda(\mu)}=\frac{h\left(\mu^{*}\right)}{\lambda\left(\mu^{*}\right)} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $F=<f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}>$ be a finitely generated, free, purely loxodromic Kleinian group. Assume that $o \in H^{3}$ and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the induced tree whose vertices are the elements of the F-orbit of o. Define a metric on $\mathcal{T}$ using the hyperbolic metric on the $F$-orbit of $o$. Let $\Gamma \subset<2 r>\times<2 r>$ be the graph induced by $F$. Define $\phi_{m}, \psi_{m}, m=1, \ldots$, by (1.1) and (2.1) respectively. Suppose that the metric $d$ on $\Gamma^{\infty}$ is given by (0.3). Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)=\delta(\phi)=\hat{\delta}(\phi)
$$

Proof. As $F$ is finitely generated, free, purely loxodromic Kleinian group, it follows that $F$ is Schottky [Mas, X.H.]. Without loss of generality we assume that $C_{i}, D_{i} \subset \mathbf{C}, i=1, \ldots, 2 r$.

We first consider the case where $F$ is a classical Schottky group. It is more convenient to consider the open ball $B^{3} \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$ of radius one centered at the origin 0 as a model for three dimensional hyperbolic space. Recall that the hyperbolic metric $d s$ is given by $\frac{2|d x|}{1-|x|^{2}}, x \in B^{3}$ where $|d x|$ is the Euclidean metric. For $x, y \in B^{3}$ we denote by $d_{h}(x, y)$ the hyperbolic distance between $x, y$. Let $S^{2}=\partial B^{3}$ and we identify $S^{2}$ with the Riemann sphere using the stereographic projection. The fundamental domain $D(F)$ for the action of $F$ is given by $B^{3} \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{2 r} B_{i}$, where $B_{i}$ are open balls centered at $o_{i}$ and $\partial B_{i} \cap S^{2}=D_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 r$. Assume furthermore that $o$ is in the interior of $D(F)$. Then $o \in \mathcal{T}$ and $o$ is connected to vertices $f_{j} o \in f_{j} D(F) \subset$ $B_{j+r}, j \in<2 r>=\Gamma^{1}$. (Here $j+r$ is taken modulo $2 r$ ). Note that $\Lambda(F) \cap B_{i}=\Lambda(F) \cap D_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 2 r$. Other vertices of $F$-orbit of $o$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k}=f_{a_{1}} f_{a_{2}} \cdots f_{a_{k}} o \in f_{a_{1}} f_{a_{2}} \cdots f_{a_{k}} D(F) \subset f_{a_{1}} f_{a_{2}} \cdots f_{a_{k-1}} B_{a_{k}+r} \subset B_{a_{1}+r}, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k} \in \Gamma^{k}, \quad k=2, \ldots, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to show that there exists $0<\rho<1$ and $K>0$ so that the diameter of the ball $f_{a_{1}} f_{a_{2}} \cdots f_{a_{k-1}} B_{a_{k}+r}$ is less than $K \rho^{k}, k=2, \ldots$, . Observe that the balls $f_{a_{1}} f_{a_{2}} \cdots f_{a_{k-1}} B_{a_{k}+r}, k=1, \ldots$, form a sequence of nested balls. Hence there exists a unique point $x$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{k}-x\right| \leq K \rho^{k}, \quad x \in \Lambda(F) \cap D_{a_{1}+r}, \quad k=0, \ldots, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\Theta: \Gamma^{\infty} \rightarrow \Lambda(F), \quad \Theta\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{a_{1}} f_{a_{2}} \cdots f_{a_{k}} o, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \Gamma^{\infty}
$$

Then $\Theta$ is a homeomorphism and $\Theta^{-1} f \Theta=\sigma$. For $l \geq k$ let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{k}^{l}\right):=f_{a_{k}} f_{a_{k+1}} \cdots f_{a_{l}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq l, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \Gamma^{\infty} \\
& x_{k, l}=\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{k}^{l}\right)(o) \in B^{3}, \\
& x_{k, \infty}=\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} x_{k, l} \in \Lambda(F) \cap D_{a_{k}+r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $\Theta^{-1} x_{k, \infty}=\left(a_{i}\right)_{k}^{\infty} \in \Gamma^{\infty}$, while the point $x_{k, l}$ is the vertex in $\mathcal{T}$ given by $\left(a_{i}\right)_{k}^{l}$. The inequality (4.6) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|x_{k, l}-x_{k, \infty}\right| \leq K \rho^{l-k} \\
& \left|x_{k, l}-x_{k, m}\right| \leq 2 K \rho^{\min (l, m)-k} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $\omega$ is a Möbius transformation which maps $B^{3}$ onto itself. Conjugating $F$ with an appropriate $\omega$ we will assume that the reference point $o$ (the root of the tree $\mathcal{T}$ ) is the origin $0 \in B^{3}$. Recall that

$$
d_{h}(0, a)=\log \frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|}, \quad a \in B^{3} .
$$

Furthermore, any Möbius transformation $\omega: B^{3} \rightarrow B^{3}$ satisfies

$$
\left|\omega^{\prime}(x)\right|=\frac{1-|\omega(x)|^{2}}{1-|x|^{2}}, \quad x \in B^{3} .
$$

For $a=\omega(0)$ we get

$$
d_{h}(0, \omega(0))=-\log \left|\omega^{\prime}(0)\right|+2 \log (1+|\omega(0)|)=-\log \left|\omega^{\prime}(0)\right|+e(\omega), \quad|e(\omega)| \leq \log 4 .
$$

Let $x \in \Lambda(F)$ and assume that $\Theta^{-1} x=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \Gamma^{\infty}$. Then

$$
\left.\psi_{k}\left(\Theta^{-1} x\right)=d_{h}\left(0, x_{k}\right)=d_{h}\left(0, \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)(0)\right)=-\log \mid \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right)^{\prime}(0) \mid+e\left(\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right) .
$$

Observe next that $0=\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)^{-1}\left(x_{k}\right)$. Hence

$$
-\log \left|\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)^{\prime}(0)\right|=\log \left|\left(\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| .
$$

Recall that $f_{a_{i}}^{-1}=f_{a_{i}+r}, i=1, \ldots$, . Hence

$$
\log \left|\left(\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \left|\gamma_{a_{i}+r}^{\prime}\left(x_{i, k}\right)\right|, \quad k=1, \ldots .,
$$

Note that

$$
x_{j+1, \infty}=\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{j}\right)^{-1}(x), \quad j=1, \ldots, .
$$

Let $\bar{B}^{3}$ be the closed unit ball. As $f_{j}\left(\bar{B}^{3}\right)=\bar{B}^{3}, j=1, \ldots, 2 r$, we deduce that each $\log \left|f_{j}^{\prime}\right|$ is Lipschitz on $\bar{B}^{3}$. Hence

$$
|\log | f_{j}^{\prime}(u)|-\log | f_{j}^{\prime}(v)| | \leq K_{1}|u-v|, \quad u, v \in \bar{B}^{3}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 2 r,
$$

for some positive $K_{1}$. Using (4.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \right| f_{a_{i}+r}^{\prime}\left(x_{i, k}\right)\left|-\sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \right| f_{a_{i}+r}^{\prime}\left(x_{i, \infty}\right)| | \leq \\
& K_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|x_{i, k}-x_{i, \infty}\right| \leq K_{1} K \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho^{k-i} \leq \frac{K_{1} K}{1-\rho}
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe next that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i+1, \infty}=f_{a_{i}+r}\left(x_{i, \infty}\right)=f\left(x_{i, \infty}\right)=f^{i}(x) \\
& \log \left|f_{a_{i}+r}^{\prime}\left(x_{i, \infty}\right)\right|=\log \left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{i, \infty}\right)\right|=\log \left|f^{\prime}\left(f^{i-1}(x)\right)\right|, \quad i=1, \ldots, .
\end{aligned}
$$

Combine all the above estimates to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\psi_{m}\left(\Theta^{-1} x\right)}{m}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{1}^{m} \log \left|f^{\prime}\left(f^{i-1}(x)\right)\right|+\frac{1}{m} \tilde{e}(x, m) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\tilde{e}(x, m)$ is an error term whose absolute value is bounded by $\log 4+\frac{K_{1} K}{1-\rho}$. Let $\tilde{q} \in C\left(\Gamma^{\infty}\right)$ be given by $\tilde{q}=q \circ \Theta$. Then the sequence $\psi$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.5. Combine Theorem 3.17 with (4.3) and (4.1) to deduce the theorem in the case $o \in D(F)$. Let $o^{\prime}$ be any point in $H^{3}$. As $F$ is a group of isometries of $H^{3}$, we obtain
$\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.d_{h}\left(o^{\prime}, \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right) o^{\prime}\right) \leq d_{h}\left(o^{\prime}, o\right)+d_{h}\left(o, \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right) o\right)+d_{h}\left(\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right) o, \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right) o^{\prime}\right)=d_{h}\left(o, \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right) o\right)+2 d_{h}\left(o, o^{\prime}\right)$.
Similarly,

$$
\left.\left.d_{h}\left(o, \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right) o\right) \leq d_{h}\left(o^{\prime}, \gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)\right) o^{\prime}\right)+2 d_{h}\left(o, o^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{E}\left(\Gamma^{\infty}\right)$, the value $\alpha(\mu)$ is independent of the choice of $o^{\prime}$. This concludes the proof of the theorem for the classical Schottky group.

Assume that $F$ is a Schottky group, i.e. $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{2 r}$ disjoint Jordan curves on C. By a quasi-conformal change of variables $F$ can be conjugated to a classical Schottky group, e.g. [Mas]. Hence each $C_{i}$ has a finite length. It is straightforward to show that each $D_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$, can be covered by an open union of disks $\tilde{D}_{i}:=\cup_{j=1}^{n_{i}} D_{i, j}, i=1, \ldots, r$, with the following properties: Each $\tilde{D}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$ is simply connected, $\tilde{C}_{1}:=\partial \tilde{D}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{C}_{r}:=\partial \tilde{D}_{r}$ are disjoint Jordan curves which do not intersect any of $C_{r+1}, \ldots, C_{2 r}$. Then $\tilde{C}_{i+r}:=f_{i}\left(\tilde{C}_{i}\right) \subset D_{i+r}, i=1, \ldots, r$. Hence $F$ is Schottky with respect to $\tilde{C}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{C}_{2 r}$. Let $B_{i, j}$ be the open ball centered at $o_{i, j} \in \mathbf{C}$ so that $B_{i, j} \cap \mathbf{C}=D_{i, j}, j=1, \ldots, n_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$. Then $f_{i}\left(\partial D_{i, j}\right)$ is another circle on $\mathbf{C}$ which bounds a disk $D_{i+r, j}$ centered at $o_{i+r, j}$. Let $B_{i+r, j}$ be the open ball centered in $o_{i+r, j}$ such that $B_{i+r, j} \cap \mathbf{C}=D_{i+r, j}, j=1, \ldots, n_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$. Note that $f_{i}\left(\partial B_{i, j}\right)=\partial B_{i+r, j}, j=1, \ldots, n_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$. Set

$$
D(F)=H^{3} \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{r} \cup_{j=1}^{n_{i}}\left(B_{i, j} \cup B_{i+r, j}\right) .
$$

Then $D(F) \subset H^{3}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of $F$. Repeat the arguments for the classical Schottky group to deduce the theorem in this case. $\diamond$

Corollary 4.9. Let the assumption of Theorem 4.4 hold. Assume that $\delta_{l}(\phi), l=1, \ldots$, are given as in Theorem 2.13. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) & \geq \delta_{l}(\phi), \quad l=1, \ldots \\
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) & =\lim _{l \rightarrow \infty} \delta_{l}(\phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

## §5. Geometrically finite Kleinian groups

Let $F=<f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}>$ be a finitely generated infinite group. As in the case of a free group, we set $f_{i+r}=f_{i}^{-1}, i=1, \ldots, r$. Let $\Gamma \subset<2 r>\times<2 r>$ be the graph induced by a free group on $r$ generators. For $\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k} \in \Gamma^{k}$ we let $\gamma\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{k}\right)=f_{a_{1}} \cdots f_{a_{k}}$. We view $g \in P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ as a Möbius transformation

$$
g=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{C}, \quad a d-b c=1
$$

of CP. Assume that $g(\infty) \neq \infty$, i.e. $c \neq 0$. Recall that $\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|=1$, i.e. $|c z+d|^{2}=1$, is called the isometric circle of $g$. Let $I_{g}, R_{g}$ be the inside and the outside of the isometric circle of $g$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{g}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \quad\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|>1\right\} \\
& R_{g}:=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}: \quad\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|<1\right\} \cup\{\infty\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $g\left(R_{g}\right)=I_{g^{-1}}$. Let $\bar{I}_{g}, \bar{R}_{g}$ denote the closure of $I_{g}, R_{g}$. Let $\hat{g}: H^{3} \rightarrow H^{3}$ be the induced isometry of $H^{3}$ by $g$. Call the upper part of the sphere centered at $-\frac{d}{c}$ with radius $\frac{1}{|c|}$ (located in $H^{3}$ ) as the isometric sphere of $\hat{g}$. Let $J_{g}, D_{g} \subset H^{3}$ denote the inside and the outside of the isometric sphere of $\hat{g}$. Then $\hat{g}\left(D_{g}\right)=J_{\hat{g}^{-1}}$. In what follows we shall idenitify $\hat{g}$ with $g$ and no ambiguity will arise.

Let $F \leq P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a finitely generated Kleinian group with the limit set $\Lambda(F)$. Then Selberg's theorem claims that $F$ has a torsion free subgroup $G \leq F$ of a finite index. It is well known that $\Lambda(G)=\Lambda(F)$. In what follows we shall assume that $F$ is torsion free. By conjugating $F$ with some $g \in P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ we can assume that $\Lambda(F) \subset \mathbf{C}$. Then the Ford fundamental region $R(F)$ is $\cap \bar{R}_{f}, f \in F \backslash\{e\}$. Similarly, if we view $F$ as a group of discrete isometries of $H^{3}$, then the Ford domain $D(F)$ of $F$ is given by $\cap \bar{D}_{f}, f \in F \backslash\{e\}$. See for example [Mas]. $F$ is called geometrically finite if there exists a finite set $S \subset F \backslash\{e\}, S^{-1}=S$ so that $R(F)=\cap_{f \in S} \bar{R}_{f}$. Then $F$ is generated by $S$. In what follows we assume that $F$ is a geometrically finite, torsion free, Kleinian group $F$ satisfying

$$
F=<f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}>, \quad S=\left\{f_{1}, f_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, f_{r}, f_{r}^{-1}\right\}
$$

and $S$ is a minimal set with respect to $R(F)=\cap_{f \in S} \bar{R}_{f}$.
We now construct a subshift of $\mathcal{S}(F) \subset \Gamma^{\infty}$ to which we can apply the results of the previous sections. We will assume in addition that $F$ is PL (purely loxodromic). Some of the results will apply to $F$ which are not PL. For $g \in P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ and $0 \leq \epsilon$ set

$$
I_{g}(\epsilon)=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}:\left|g^{\prime}(z)\right|>1+\epsilon\right\}
$$

Let $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ be given sets in a fixed space. For any nonvoid $U \subset<m>$ let $Y(U):=\cap_{i \in U} T_{i}$. $Y(U)$ is called a maximal intersection set of $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{m}$ if $Y(U) \neq \emptyset$ and $Y\left(U^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$, for any $U^{\prime} \subset<m>$ which strictly contains $U$.

Let $A_{1}(\epsilon), \ldots, A_{p(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)$ be the partition of $\cup_{1}^{2 r} I_{f_{i}}(\epsilon)$ induced by $I_{f_{i}}(\epsilon), i=1, \ldots, 2 r$ as follows: First,

$$
A_{1}(\epsilon)=Y\left(U_{1}(\epsilon)\right), \ldots, A_{p_{1}(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)=Y\left(U_{p_{1}(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)\right), \quad U_{i}(\epsilon) \subset<2 r>, \quad i=1, \ldots, p_{1}(\epsilon)
$$

are the maximal intersection sets corresponding to $I_{f_{i}}(\epsilon), i=1, \ldots, 2 r$. Let $I_{f_{j}}^{1}(\epsilon)=I_{f_{j}}(\epsilon) \backslash \cup_{i=1}^{p_{1}(\epsilon)} A_{i}(\epsilon)$. Then

$$
A_{p_{1}+1}(\epsilon)=Y\left(U_{p_{1}+1}(\epsilon)\right), \ldots, A_{p_{2}(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)=Y\left(U_{p_{2}(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)\right), \quad U_{i}(\epsilon) \subset<2 r>, \quad i=p_{1}(\epsilon)+1, \ldots, p_{2}(\epsilon)
$$

are the maximal intersection sets corresponding to $I_{f_{i}}^{1}(\epsilon), i=1, \ldots, 2 r$. Repeat the above procedure a finite number of times to obtain the partition $A_{1}(\epsilon), \ldots, A_{p(\epsilon)}(\epsilon)$ of $\cup_{1}^{2 r} I_{f_{i}}(\epsilon)$ to a finite number pairwise disjoint nonempty sets. Fix $\epsilon_{0}>0$ so that all the indices $p(\epsilon), p_{1}(\epsilon), \ldots$, and the subset $U_{i}(\epsilon)$ do not depend on $\epsilon$ for $0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}$. We assume that $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right)$ and we drop the dependence on $\epsilon$ for all the indices.

Set

$$
\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon):=\Lambda(F) \cap \bar{I}_{f_{i}}(\epsilon), \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 r
$$

We assume that $\epsilon_{0}>0$ is small enough so that

$$
\Lambda(F)=\cup_{i=1}^{2 r} \Lambda_{i}\left(\epsilon_{0}\right)=\cup_{i=1}^{2 r} \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon), \quad 0<\epsilon<\epsilon_{0}
$$

Let $\hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$ be the disjoint union of $\Lambda_{1}(\epsilon), \ldots, \Lambda_{2 r}(\epsilon), 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_{0}$. We define a metric $\hat{d}$ on $\hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{d}(x, y)=|x-y|, \quad x, y \in \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon), \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 r \\
& \hat{d}(x, y)=2 \operatorname{diam} \Lambda(F), \quad x \in \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon), \quad y \in \Lambda_{j}(\epsilon), \quad 1 \leq i<j \leq 2 r
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$ is a compact metric space and

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)=\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)
$$

For $x, y \in \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$ we let $|x-y|$ be the Euclidean distance between the two points $x, y$ viewed as two points in $\Lambda(F)$. Thus $|x-y|<\hat{d}(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow \hat{d}(x, y)=2 \operatorname{diam} \Lambda(F)$. Let

$$
B_{i, j}(\epsilon)=\left(f_{i}\left(\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)\right) \backslash \bar{I}_{f_{i}}(\epsilon)\right) \cap A_{j}(\epsilon) \subset \Lambda(F), \quad j=1, \ldots, p, \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 r
$$

If $B_{i, j}(\epsilon)$ is nonempty, choose $\eta_{\epsilon}(i, j) \in<2 r>$ so that $B_{i, j}(\epsilon) \subset \Lambda_{\eta_{\epsilon}(i, j)}(\epsilon)$. Note that in certain cases $\eta_{\epsilon}(i, j) \in<2 r>$ is not uniquely defined, and we make an arbitrary choice. Then

$$
f_{i}\left(\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)\right)=\left(\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon) \cap f_{i}\left(\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)\right)\right) \cup_{1 \leq j \leq p} B_{i, j}(\epsilon), \quad j=1, \ldots, 2 r
$$

We now define a measurable dynamical system $\hat{f}: \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon) \rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$ as follows. Assume that $x$ is in the component $\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 2 r$. If $f_{i}(x) \in \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)$ then $\hat{f}(x):=f_{i}(x)$ stays in the component $\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)$. If $f_{i}(x) \notin \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)$ then $f_{i}(x) \in B_{i, j}(\epsilon)$ for exactly one $1 \leq j \leq p$. We then view $\hat{f}(x):=f_{i}(x)$ as a point in the component $\Lambda_{\eta_{\epsilon}(i, j)}(\epsilon)$. We claim that $\hat{f}: \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon) \rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$ is a measurable map with respect to its Borel sigma algebra. Let $X \subset \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$. Then $X=\cup_{1 \leq i \leq 2 r} X_{i}$, where $X_{i}$ is a measurable subset of $\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)$ and $X_{i}$ is the $\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)$ component of $X$ for $i=1, \ldots, 2 r$. It is enough to show that $\hat{f}^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)$ is a measurable set. Let $X_{i, k}$ be the $\Lambda_{k}(\epsilon)$ component of $\hat{f}^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)$. Clearly, $X_{i, i}=f_{i}^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right) \cap X_{i}=f_{i}^{-1}\left(X_{i}\right)$ as $f_{i}$ expands on $\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)$. Hence $X_{i, i}$ is a measurable set. Observe next that

$$
X_{i, k}=\cup_{1 \leq j \leq p, \eta_{\epsilon}(k, j)=i} f_{k}^{-1}\left(X_{i} \cap B_{k, j}(\epsilon)\right)
$$

Hence $X_{i, k}$ is measurable. Therefore $\hat{f}$ is a measurable map. As $f_{i}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)\right) \subset \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)$ we deduce that $\hat{f}(\hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon))=\hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$.

For each $x \in \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$ let

$$
a(x, \epsilon)=\left(a_{i}(x, \epsilon)\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad \hat{f}^{i-1}(x) \in \Lambda_{a_{i}(x, \epsilon)}(\epsilon), \quad i=1, \ldots
$$

be the component coordinates of the forward orbit of $x$ under the map $\hat{f}$. Then $\hat{f}$ induces the following set

$$
\mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon):=\{a(x, \epsilon): \quad x \in \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)\}
$$

Clearly, $a(\hat{f}(x), \epsilon)=\sigma(a(x, \epsilon))$. Hence $\sigma \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon)$. As $\hat{f} \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon) \supset \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon), i=1, \ldots, 2 r$, it follows that $\sigma \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon)=\mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon)$. As $\hat{f}$ is measurable but not necessary continuous, $\sigma \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon)$ may not be a closed set, i.e. $\mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon)$ is not a subshift. However, $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)=\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{0}(\epsilon)$ is a subshift.

Let $\hat{q}: \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{q}\left|\Lambda_{i}(\epsilon)=\log \right| f_{i}^{\prime}| | \Lambda_{i}(\epsilon), \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 r \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\infty \in R(F)$ we deduce that $\hat{q}$ is Lipschitz on $\hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$. We will show that $\hat{q}$ induces a Hölder continuous function $q$ on $\mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon)$. That is, there exists $0<\rho<1,0<K$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q(a)-q(b)| \leq K \rho^{n}, \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty},\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon), \quad a_{i}=b_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \quad n=1, \ldots, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $q$ extends to a Hölder continuous $q: \mathcal{U}(\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Set $\alpha(\mu)=\int q d \mu, \mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon))$, and let $P(-t q)$ denote the topological pressure defined in $\S 3$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $F \leq P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a nonelementary, torsion free, geometrically finite, purely loxodromic Kleinian group. Let $\Lambda(F)$ be the limit set of $F$ and assume that $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right)$ satisfies the assumptions above. Then there is an injective, surjective map $\iota: \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon): \rightarrow \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\iota(a)-\iota(b)| \leq C(1+\epsilon)^{-n+1}, \quad a=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, b=\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon), \quad a_{i}=b_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n \\
& C=\max _{1 \leq i \leq 2 r} \operatorname{diam} I_{f_{i}} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $q:=\hat{q} \circ \iota$. Then (5.2) holds for $\rho=(1+\epsilon)^{-1}$. Extend $q$ to a Hölder continuous function on $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$. The equation $P(-t q)=0$ has a unique positive solution which is equal dim $\operatorname{di}_{H} \Lambda(F)$. Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)=\sup _{\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon))} \frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha(\mu)}=\frac{h\left(\mu^{*}\right)}{\alpha\left(\mu^{*}\right)}, \quad \mu^{*} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $x, y \in \Lambda_{j}(\epsilon)$. Then $\left|f_{j}(x)-f_{j}(y)\right| \geq(1+\epsilon)|x-y|$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(x, \epsilon)=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad a(y, \epsilon)=\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad x, y \in \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon), \quad a_{i}=b_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n+1, \Rightarrow \\
& C \geq\left|\gamma\left(\left(a_{n-i+1+r}\right)_{1}^{n}\right) x-\gamma\left(\left(a_{n-i+1+r}\right)_{1}^{n}\right) y\right| \geq(1+\epsilon)^{n}|x-y|
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore each $x \in \Lambda_{j}(\epsilon)$ induces a unique sequence $a(x, \epsilon)=\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon), a_{1}=j$. Set $\iota((a(x, \epsilon))=x \in$ $\Lambda_{j}(\epsilon) \subset \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$. Then (5.4) holds. For $x \in \Lambda_{j}(\epsilon)$ we let $q(a(x, \epsilon))=\log \left|f_{j}^{\prime}(x)\right|$. As $\Lambda(F)$ is a compact set in $\mathbf{C}$ and $f_{i}^{-1}(\infty) \notin \Lambda(F), i=1, \ldots, 2 r$, it follows that there exists $K_{1}>0$ so that

$$
\left|\log f_{i}^{\prime}(x)-\log f_{i}^{\prime}(y)\right| \leq K_{1}|x-y|, \quad x, y \in \Lambda(F)
$$

Combine (5.4) with the above inequality to deduce (5.2) with

$$
\rho=(1+\epsilon)^{-1}, \quad K=C K_{1}(1+\epsilon)
$$

and $a, b \in \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon)$. Then $q$ has a unique extension to $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$ satisfying (5.2). Note that from the definition of $I_{g}(\epsilon)$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log \left|f_{i}^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \log (1+\epsilon), \quad z \in I_{f_{i}}(\epsilon), \quad i=1, \ldots, 2 r, \quad \Rightarrow \\
& q(a) \geq \log (1+\epsilon), \quad a \in \mathcal{U}(\epsilon) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha(\mu) \geq \log (1+\epsilon), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Use the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3.17 to deduce that either $P(0)=h_{t o p}=0, t_{0}=0$ or $h_{t o p}>0$ and $P(-t q)=0$ has a unique positive solution $t_{0}$. We will show $t_{0}>0$.

Set $\psi_{m}=-t S_{m}(q)$ and let $P_{m}(-t q)$ be given by (3.2) for $m=1, \ldots$, . Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(-t q)=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_{n}(-t q) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $t>t_{0}$. Following Bowen [Bow2] we show that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) \leq t$. (Since $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$ may not be a SFT we use (5.6) instead of using Gibbs measures as in [Bow2].) From (5.4) follows that each $C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right) \cap$ $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon),\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1} \in M(n+1)$ corresponds to a set

$$
\Theta\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right):=\operatorname{closure} \iota\left(C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right) \cap \mathcal{U}_{0}(\epsilon)\right) \subset \Lambda_{a_{1}}(\epsilon)
$$

of diameter $C(1+\epsilon)^{n}$ at most. Furthermore $\cup_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1} \in M(n+1)} \Theta\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right) \supset \Lambda(F)$. We claim that there exists $K_{2}>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam} \Theta\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right) \leq K_{2} \min _{x \in C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{U}(\epsilon)} e^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}-q\left(\sigma^{j} x\right)} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x, y \in \Lambda_{a_{1}}(\epsilon), \quad a(x, \epsilon)=\left(b_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad a(y, \epsilon)=\left(c_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}, \quad, \quad a_{i}=b_{i}=c_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n+1, \\
& g=f_{a_{n}} f_{a_{n-1}} \cdots f_{a_{1}}, \quad g^{-1}(u)=\frac{g_{1} u+g_{2}}{g_{3} u+g_{4}} \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C}), \quad g_{1} g_{4}-g_{2} g_{3}=1, \\
& z=g(x), \quad w=g(y), \quad z, w \in I_{f_{a_{n+1}}}(\epsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |x-y|=\left|g^{-1}(z)-g^{-1}(w)\right|=\frac{|z-w|}{\left|g_{3} z+g_{4}\right|\left|g_{3} w+g_{4}\right|} \leq \\
& |z-w|\left(\frac{1}{2\left|g_{3} z+g_{4}\right|^{2}}+\frac{1}{2\left|g_{3} w+g_{4}\right|^{2}}\right)=|z-w| \frac{\left|\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|+\left|\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(w)\right|}{2} \leq C \max \left(\frac{1}{\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|}, \frac{1}{\left|g^{\prime}(y)\right|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe next

$$
\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|=e^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q\left(\sigma^{i} a(x, \epsilon)\right)}, \quad\left|g^{\prime}(y)\right|=e^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q\left(\sigma^{i} a(y, \epsilon)\right)}
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{diam} \Theta\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right) \leq C \max _{u \in C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right) \cap \mathcal{U}(\epsilon)} e^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}-q\left(\sigma^{j} u\right)} .
$$

As $\sigma^{i} a(x, \epsilon), \sigma^{i} a(y, \epsilon)$ agree in the places $1, \ldots, n+1-i(5.2)$ yields

$$
\left|q\left(\sigma^{i} a(x, \epsilon)\right)-q\left(\sigma^{i} a(y, \epsilon)\right)\right| \leq K(1+\epsilon)^{-n-1+i}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q\left(\sigma^{i} a(x, \epsilon)\right)-q\left(\sigma^{i} a(y, \epsilon)\right)\right| \leq K \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(1+\epsilon)^{-n-1+i}<\frac{K}{\epsilon}
$$

Thus $\frac{1}{\left|g^{\prime}(y)\right|} \leq \frac{K}{\epsilon\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right|}$. Hence

$$
\max _{u \in C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right) \cap \mathcal{U}(\epsilon)} e^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}-q\left(\sigma^{j} u\right)} \leq \frac{K}{\epsilon} \min _{u \in C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1}\right) \cap \mathcal{U}(\epsilon)} e^{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}-q\left(\sigma^{j} u\right)},
$$

and (5.7) follows. Let $t>t_{0} \geq 0$. Then

$$
\sum_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n+1} \in M(n+1)}\left(\operatorname{diam} \Theta\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}\right)\right)^{t} \leq K_{2} Q_{n}(t q), \quad n=1, \ldots,
$$

As $\lim \sup _{n \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log P_{n}(-t q)=P(-t q)<P\left(-t_{0} q\right)=0$ we deduce that both sides of the above inequality tend to zero. Use the definition of $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$ to deduce that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) \leq t$. Since $t$ was an arbitrary number greater than $t_{0}, \operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) \leq t_{0}$. Recall that any nonelementary Kleinian group has a positive Hausdorff dimension [Bea]. Thus

$$
t_{0} \geq \operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)>0
$$

We now prove that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) \geq t_{0}$. Recall that the Borel sigma algebra of $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$ is generated by the sets

$$
C\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}\right) \cap \mathcal{U}(\epsilon), \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n} \in M(n), \quad n=1, \ldots,
$$

Set

$$
\Psi\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}\right):=\cap_{j=1}^{n} \hat{f}^{-j+1} \Lambda_{a_{j}}(\epsilon), \quad\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n} \in M(n)
$$

Note that $\Psi\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}\right)$ is in the Borel sigma algebra $\mathcal{B}$ of $\hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\prime} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be the sub-sigma algebra generated by $\Psi\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}\right),\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n} \in M(n), n=1, \ldots$, Note that $\cup_{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n} \in M(n)} \Psi\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}\right), n=1, \ldots$ form an increasing sequence of measurable partitions of $\hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)$, such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \max _{\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n} \in M(n)} \operatorname{diam} \Psi\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{n}\right)=0 .
$$

Therefore $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\mathcal{B}$. Hence any $\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon))$ induces an $\hat{f}$ ergodic measure $\hat{\mu}$ on $\mathcal{B}$. Clearly $h(\mu)=h(\hat{\mu})$. Then the $\hat{\mu}$-Lyapunov exponent of $f$ is given by the formula

$$
\lambda(\hat{\mu})=\int \log \left|f^{\prime}\right| d \hat{\mu}=\alpha(\mu)
$$

According to Young [You], $\frac{h(\hat{\mu})}{\lambda(\hat{\mu})}=\operatorname{dim}_{H} \hat{\mu}$. In particular, $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \hat{\mu} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{H} \hat{\Lambda}(\epsilon)=\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)$. Choose $\mu$ to be a maximal measure for $P\left(-t_{0} q\right)$ to deduce

$$
t_{0}=\frac{h\left(\hat{\mu}^{*}\right)}{\lambda\left(\hat{\mu}^{*}\right)} \leq \operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) .
$$

Hence $t_{0}=\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F) . \diamond$.

Theorem 5.8. Let $F \leq P S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ be a nonelementary, torsion free, geometrically finite, purely loxodromic Kleinian group. Let $\Lambda(F)$ be the limit set of $F$ and assume that $\epsilon \in\left(0, \epsilon_{0}\right)$ satisfies the assumptions above. Let $\mathcal{T}(\epsilon)=(V(\epsilon), E(\epsilon))$ be the induced tree by $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$. Identify the root $o \in V(\epsilon)$ with a point $o \in H^{3}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\phi_{m}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right)=d_{h}\left(\gamma\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) o, o\right), & \left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m} \in V(\epsilon), \\
\left.\psi_{m}\left(\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{\infty}\right)=d_{h}\left(\gamma\left(a_{i}\right)_{1}^{m}\right) o, o\right), & m=1, \ldots,
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)=\hat{\delta}(\phi)
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we assume that $o \in D(F)$. The arguments of proofs of Theorem 4.4 yield (4.8), where $f:=\hat{f}, \Theta:=\iota$. Theorem 5.3 yields that $\operatorname{dim}_{H} \Lambda(F)=\hat{\delta}(\phi)$. $\diamond$

Assume that the conditions of Theorem 5.8 hold. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}(\epsilon))$. Then Corollary 2.6 implies a lower bound

$$
\delta(\phi, \mu) \geq \frac{h(\mu)}{\alpha_{m}(\mu)}, \quad m=1, \ldots,
$$
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