

# IMMUTABILITY IS NOT UNIFORMLY DECIDABLE IN HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

DANIEL GROVES AND HENRY WILTON

ABSTRACT. A finitely generated subgroup  $H$  of a torsion-free hyperbolic group  $G$  is called *immutable* if there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of injections of  $H$  into  $G$ . We show that there is no uniform algorithm to recognize immutability, answering a uniform version of a question asked by the authors.

In [4] we introduced the following notion which is important for the study of conjugacy classes of solutions to equations and inequations over torsion-free hyperbolic groups, and also for the study of limit groups over (torsion-free) hyperbolic groups.

**Definition 1.** [4, Definition 7.1] Let  $G$  be a group. A finitely generated subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called *immutable* if there are finitely many injective homomorphisms  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N: H \rightarrow G$  so that any injective homomorphism  $\phi: H \rightarrow G$  is conjugate to one of the  $\phi_i$ .

We gave the following characterization of immutable subgroups.

**Lemma 2.** [4, Lemma 7.2] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free hyperbolic group. A finitely generated subgroup of  $\Gamma$  is immutable if and only if it does not admit a nontrivial free splitting or an essential splitting over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

The following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free hyperbolic group and suppose that  $H$  is a finitely generated subgroup. If for every action of  $H$  on a simplicial tree with trivial or cyclic edge stabilizers  $H$  has a global fixed point then  $H$  is immutable.*

If  $\Gamma$  is a torsion-free hyperbolic group then the immutable subgroups of  $\Gamma$  form some of the essential building blocks of the structure of  $\Gamma$ -limit groups. See [4] and [5] for more information.

---

*Date:* March 16, 2017.

The work of the first author was supported by the National Science Foundation and by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#342049 to Daniel Groves).

The third author is partially funded by EPSRC Standard Grant number EP/L026481/1. This paper was completed while the third author was participating in the *Non-positive curvature, group actions and cohomology* programme at the Isaac Newton Institute, funded by EPSRC Grant number EP/K032208/1.

In [4, Theorem 1.4] we proved that given a torsion-free hyperbolic group  $\Gamma$  it is possible to recursively enumerate the finite tuples of  $\Gamma$  which generate immutable subgroups. This naturally lead us to ask the following

**Question 4.** [4, Question 7.12] *Let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free hyperbolic group. Is there an algorithm that takes as input a finite subset  $S$  of  $\Gamma$  and decides whether or not the subgroup  $\langle S \rangle$  is immutable?*

We are not able to answer this question, but we can answer the *uniform* version of this question in the negative, as witnessed by the following result. It is worth remarking that the algorithm from [4, Theorem 1.4] is uniform, in the sense that one can enumerate pairs  $(\Gamma, S)$  where  $\Gamma$  is a torsion-free hyperbolic group (given by a finite presentation) and  $S$  is a finite subset of words in the generators of  $\Gamma$  so that  $\langle S \rangle$  is immutable in  $\Gamma$ .

**Theorem 5.** *There is no algorithm which takes as input a presentation of a (torsion-free) hyperbolic group and a finite tuple of elements, and determines whether or not the tuple generates an immutable subgroup.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma_0$  be a non-elementary, torsion-free, hyperbolic group with Property (T) and let  $\{a, b\} \in \Gamma_0$  be such that  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is a nonabelian free, malnormal and quasi-convex subgroup of  $\Gamma_0$ . There are many hyperbolic groups with Property (T) (see, for example, [9]). The existence of such a pair  $\{a, b\}$  follows immediately from [6, Theorem C]. Throughout our proof,  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\{a, b\}$  are fixed.

Consider a finitely presented group  $Q$  with unsolvable word problem (see [7]), and let  $G$  be a hyperbolic group that fits into a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q * \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1,$$

where  $N$  is finitely generated and has Kazhdan's Property (T). Such a  $G$  can be constructed using [2, Corollary 1.2], by taking  $H$  from that result to be a non-elementary hyperbolic group with Property (T), and recalling that having Property (T) is closed under taking quotients.

Let  $t$  be the generator for the second free factor in  $Q * \mathbb{Z}$ . Given a word  $u$  in the generators of  $Q$ , define words

$$c_u = tut^{-2}ut,$$

and

$$d_u = utut^{-1}u.$$

**Claim 1.** *If  $u =_Q 1$  then  $\langle c_u, d_u \rangle = \{1\}$  in  $Q * \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $u \neq_Q 1$  then  $\langle c_u, d_u \rangle$  is free of rank 2 in  $Q * \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof of Claim 1.* The first assertion of the claim is obvious, and the second follows from the fact that if  $u$  is nontrivial in  $Q$  then any reduced word in  $\{c_u, d_u\}^\pm$  yields a word in  $\{t, u\}^\pm$  which is in normal form in the free product  $Q * \mathbb{Z}$ , and hence is nontrivial in  $Q * \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

We lift the elements  $c_u, d_u \in Q * \mathbb{Z}$  to elements  $\bar{c}_u, \bar{d}_u \in G$ .

**Claim 2.** *Given words  $c_u$  and  $d_u$ , it is possible to algorithmically find words  $w_u, x_u, y_u, z_u \in N$  so that  $\langle w_u \bar{c}_u x_u, y_u \bar{d}_u z_u \rangle$  is quasi-convex and free of rank 2.*

*Proof of Claim 2.* It is well known (see, for example, [1, Lemma 4.9]) that in a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic space a path which is made from concatenating geodesics whose length is much greater than the Gromov product at the concatenation points is a uniform-quality quasi-geodesic, and in particular not a loop.

By considering geodesic words representing  $\bar{c}_u$  and  $\bar{d}_u$ , it is possible to find long words in the generators of  $N$  as in the statement of the claim so that any concatenation of  $(w_u \bar{c}_u x_u)^\pm$  and  $(y_u \bar{d}_u z_u)^\pm$  is such a quasigeodesic. From this, it follows immediately that the free group  $\langle w_u \bar{c}_u x_u, y_u \bar{d}_u z_u \rangle$  is quasi-isometrically embedded and has free image in  $G$ . This can be done algorithmically because the word problem in  $G$  is (uniformly) solvable, so we can compute geodesic representatives for words and calculate Gromov products.  $\square$

Let  $g_u = w_u \bar{c}_u x_u$  and  $h_u = y_u \bar{d}_u z_u$ , and let  $J_u = \langle g_u, h_u \rangle$ . Note that the image of  $J_u$  in  $Q$  is either trivial (if  $u =_Q 1$ ) or free of rank 2 (otherwise). Therefore, if  $u =_Q 1$  then  $J_u \cap N = J_u$  and otherwise  $J_u \cap N = \{1\}$ .

Now consider the group

$$\Gamma_u = G *_{\{g_u=a, h_u=b\}} \Gamma_0.$$

Since  $\langle a, b \rangle$  is malnormal and quasiconvex in  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\langle g_u, h_u \rangle$  is quasi-convex in  $G$ , the group  $\Gamma_u$  is hyperbolic by the Bestvina–Feighn Combination Theorem [3].

Let  $K_u = \langle N, \Gamma_0 \rangle \leq \Gamma_u$ . We remark that a presentation for  $\Gamma_u$  and generators for  $K_u$  as a subgroup of  $\Gamma_u$  can be algorithmically computed from the presentations of  $G$  and  $\Gamma_0$  and the word  $u$ .

**Claim 3.** *If  $u =_Q 1$  then  $K_u$  is immutable. If  $u \neq_Q 1$  then  $K_u$  splits nontrivially over  $\{1\}$  and so is not immutable.*

*Proof of Claim 3.* Let  $N_u = N \cap J_u$ . We observed above that if  $u =_Q 1$  then  $N_u = J_u$ , and that if  $u \neq_Q 1$  then  $N_u = \{1\}$ . By considering the

induced action of  $K_u$  on the Bass-Serre tree of the splitting of  $\Gamma_u$  given by the defining amalgam, we see that in case  $u =_Q 1$  we have

$$K_u \cong N *_{\{g_u=a, h_u=b\}} \Gamma_0,$$

whereas in case  $u \neq_Q 1$  we have

$$K_u \cong N * \Gamma_0.$$

Thus, if  $u \neq_Q 1$  then  $K_u$  splits nontrivially as a free product, as required.

On the other hand, suppose that  $u =_Q 1$ , and suppose that  $K_u$  acts on a tree  $T$  with trivial or cyclic edge stabilizers. Since Property (T) groups have Property (FA) [8],  $N$  and  $\Gamma_0$  must act elliptically on  $T$ . However, if they do not have a common fixed vertex, then their intersection (which is free of rank 2) must fix the edge-path between the fixed point sets for  $N$  and for  $\Gamma_0$ , contradicting the assumption that edge stabilizers are trivial or cyclic. Thus, there is a common fixed point for  $N$  and  $\Gamma_0$ , and so  $K_u$  acts on  $T$  with global fixed point. It follows from Corollary 3 that  $K_u$  is immutable, as required.  $\square$

An algorithm as described in the statement of the theorem would (when given the explicit presentation of  $\Gamma_u$  and the explicit generators for  $K_u$ ) be able to determine whether or not  $K_u$  is immutable. In turn, this would decide the word problem for  $Q$ , by Claim 3. Since this is impossible, there is no such algorithm, and the proof of Theorem 5 is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 6.** By taking only a cyclic subgroup to amalgamate in the definition of  $\Gamma_u$ , instead of a free group of rank 2, it is straightforward to see that one cannot decide whether non-immutable subgroups split over  $\{1\}$  or over  $\{\mathbb{Z}\}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ian Agol, Daniel Groves, and Jason Fox Manning. An alternate proof of Wise's malnormal special quotient theorem. *Forum Math. Pi*, 4:e1, 54, 2016.
- [2] Igor Belegradek and Denis Osin. Rips construction and Kazhdan property (T). *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 2(1):1–12, 2008.
- [3] M. Bestvina and M. Feighn. A combination theorem for negatively curved groups. *J. Differential Geom.*, 35(1):85–101, 1992.
- [4] Daniel Groves and Henry Wilton. Conjugacy classes of solutions to equations and inequations over hyperbolic groups. *J. Topol.*, 3(2):311–332, 2010.
- [5] Daniel Groves and Henry Wilton. The structure of limit groups over relatively hyperbolic groups. [arxiv.org/abs/1603.07187](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.07187), 2016.
- [6] Ilya Kapovich. A non-quasiconvexity embedding theorem for hyperbolic groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 127(3):461–486, 1999.

IMMUTABILITY IS NOT UNIFORMLY DECIDABLE IN HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

- [7] P. S. Novikov. *Ob algoritmičeskoj nerazrešivosti problemy toždestva slov v teorii grupp*. Trudy Mat. Inst. im. Steklov. no. 44. Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1955.
- [8] Yasuo Watatani. Property T of Kazhdan implies property FA of Serre. *Math. Japon.*, 27(1):97–103, 1982.
- [9] A. Żuk. Property (T) and Kazhdan constants for discrete groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 13(3):643–670, 2003.

DANIEL GROVES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO, 322 SEO, M/C 249, 851 S. MORGAN ST., CHICAGO, IL 60607-7045, USA

*E-mail address:* groves@math.uic.edu

HENRY WILTON, CENTRE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WILBERFORCE ROAD, CAMBRIDGE, CB3 0WB, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* h.wilton@maths.cam.ac.uk