## Math 574 Applied Optimal Control – Hanson – Fall 2006

## (Stochastic Processes and Control for Jump-Diffusions)

## Homework 1 – Jump-Diffusions: Basic Properties (see Chapter 1 Text)

Homework due 04 October 2006 in class. Justify all steps by supplying the reason(s). See corrections, 24 February 2006.

## 1. Show formally that

$$\phi_{dW(t)}(w) \stackrel{\text{dt}}{=} \delta(w) + \frac{1}{2} dt \delta''(w) , \qquad (1)$$

i.e., has a delta-density in the generalized sense, where  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac delta function (0.158) and  $\delta''(x)$  is its 2nd derivative (0.163), by showing that

$$E[f(dW(t))] = \int_{\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{dW(t)}(w)f(w)dw \stackrel{\text{dt}}{=} f(0) + \frac{1}{2}dtf''(0)$$

i.e., to precision-dt, neglecting terms o(dt). Assume that f(w) is three times continuously differentiable, with f(w) and its derivatives vanishing sufficiently at infinity.

{*Hint:* Only a formal expansion of f(w) should be needed here, the exponential properties of  $\phi_{dW(t)}(w)$  ensure sufficient uniformity to allow expansion and truncation with respect to dt inside the integral.}

- 2. Show the following characteristic function (Fourier transform) formulas in the constant coefficient case, (you need only assume that the imaginary unit  $i \equiv \sqrt{-1}$  is a constant with  $i^2 = -1$  when integrating for the expectation or that  $\zeta = i \cdot z$  can be treated the same as a real variable):
  - (a) for the Gaussian process with time-linear drift,  $G(t) = \mu_0 t + \sigma_0 W(t)$ , where  $\mu_0$  and  $\sigma_0 > 0$  are constants,

$$C[G](z) \equiv \mathbb{E}[\exp(izG(t))] = \exp\left(iz\mu_0 t - z^2\sigma_0^2 t/2\right) ;$$

(b) for the Poisson process,  $\nu_0 P$ , with constant jump rate  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and constant jump amplitude  $\nu_0$ ,

$$C[\nu_0 P](z) \equiv \mathbb{E}[\exp(iz\nu_0 P(t))] = \exp\left(\lambda_0 t \left(\exp(iz\nu_0) - 1\right)\right);$$

(c) and finally for the jump-diffusion process  $X(t) = \mu_0 t + \sigma_0 W(t) + \nu_0 P(t)$ , assuming that W(t) and P(t) are independent processes,

$$C[X](z) \equiv E[\exp(izX(t))] = \exp(iz\mu_0 t - z^2 \sigma_0^2 t/2 + \lambda_0 t (\exp(iz\nu_0) - 1)))$$

3. Let  $\{t_i : t_{i+1} = t_i + \Delta t_i, i = 0 : n, t_0 = 0; t_{n+1} = T\}$  be an variably-spaced partition of the time interval [0, T] with  $\Delta t_i > 0$ . Show the following increment properties, justifying by giving a reason for every step, such as a property of the process or a property of expectations.

(a) Let  $G(t) = \mu_0 t + \sigma_0 W(t)$  and  $\Delta G(t_i) \equiv G(t_i + \Delta t_i) - G(t_i)$  with  $\mu_0 > 0$  and  $\sigma_0 > 0$  constants, then show

$$\operatorname{Cov}[\Delta G(t_i), \Delta G(t_j)] = \sigma_0^2 \Delta t_i \, \delta_{i,j} ,$$

for i, j = 0 : n, where  $\delta_{i,j}$  is the Kronecker delta.

(b) Let  $H(t) = \nu_0 P(t)$  and  $\Delta H(t_i) \equiv H(t_i + \Delta t_i) - H(t_i)$ , with  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and  $\nu_0$  constants, then show

$$\operatorname{Cov}[\Delta H(t_i), \Delta H(t_j)] = \nu_0^2 \lambda_0 \Delta t_i \delta_{i,j} ,$$

for i, j = 0 : n.

(c) Let  $\Delta W(t_i) \equiv W(t_i + \Delta t_i) - W(t_i)$ , but  $\Delta^{\theta} W(t_i) \equiv W(t_i + \theta \Delta t_i) - W(t_i)$  with  $0 < \theta < 1$ , then show

$$\operatorname{Cov}[\Delta W(t_i), \Delta^{\theta} W(t_j)] = \theta \Delta t_i \, \delta_{i,j} ,$$

for i, j = 0 : n.

4. (a) Show that when  $0 \le s \le t$  that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W^{3}(t) \mid W(r), 0 \le r \le s\right] = W^{3}(s) + 3(t-s)W(s),$$

justifying every step with a reason, such as a property of the process or a property of conditional expectations.

(b) Use this result to verify the martingale form

$$\mathbf{E}\left[ W^{3}(t) - 3tW(t) \mid W(r), 0 \le r \le s \right] = W^{3}(s) - 3sW(s).$$

*Remark:* The general technique is to seek the expectation of mth power in the separable form,

$$E\left[M_W^{(m)}(W(t),t) \mid W(r), 0 \le r \le s\right] = M_W^{(m)}(W(s),s),$$

where

$$M_W^{(m)}(W(t), t) = W^m(t) + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \alpha_k(t) W^k(t) ,$$

satisfied for the sequence of functions  $\{\alpha_0(t), \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}(t)\}$ , that can be recursively solved using the separable form  $\alpha_k(t)$  in the order k = 0 : m - 1; or just use the binomial theorem. Obviously, m = 3 here.

5. (a) Verify that when  $0 \le s \le t$  and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[P^{2}(t) \mid P(r), 0 \leq r \leq s\right] = P^{2}(s) + 2\lambda_{0}(t-s)P(s) + \lambda_{0}(t-s)(1+\lambda_{0}(t-s)),$$

justifying every step with a reason, such as a property of the process or a property of conditional expectations.

(b) Find the time polynomials  $\alpha_0(t)$  and  $\alpha_1(t)$  such that

$$M_P^{(2)}(t) = P^2(t) + \alpha_1(t)P(t) + \alpha_0(t)$$

is a Martingale.

{Remark: The primary martingale property is that  $E[X(t)|X(r), 0 \le r \le s] = X(s)$ for some process X(t) and in this case X(t) = f(P(t)), but there are also additional technical conditions to define a martingale form.}