

Homotopy invariants of foliations

by

S. Hurder and F. W. Kamber^{*})

1. In this note we propose to study the homotopy groups of $B\Gamma_G^q$, the classifying space of G -foliated microbundles [H1]. A foliation F on a manifold X is a G -foliation if it is defined by local submersions into a q -dimensional model manifold B , such that the local transition functions preserve a G -structure on B . With respect to an adapted connection ω , the Chern-Weil homomorphism defines a map $h(\omega): I(G)_\ell \longrightarrow A^\bullet(X)$, where $I(G)_\ell$ is the ring of invariant polynomials on G modulo the ideal of elements of degree $> 2\ell$. The index ℓ depends on G and whether the G -structure is integrable; it can always be taken $\leq q$ [B].

For any commutative DG-algebra A , we let $\varphi: M_A \longrightarrow A$ be a minimal model [S]. Let \bar{M}_A denote the augmentation ideal; the quotient $\pi^*(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{M}_A / \bar{M}_A^2$ is called the dual homotopy of A . For any (semi-simplicial) manifold X , we set $\pi^*(X) = \pi^*(A^\bullet(X))$ [D], [S], where $A^\bullet(X)$ is the deRham algebra in the sense of Sullivan-Dupont. If X is 1-connected and of finite rational type, then there is a natural isomorphism $\pi^*(X) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_*(X), \mathbb{R})$. For a DG-algebra A , whose cohomology is of finite type, we define

$$\pi_*(A) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\pi^*(A), \mathbb{R}).$$

Let X be a G -foliated manifold. The following result is proved in [Hu1]

1.1. THEOREM. *The Chern-Weil homomorphism induces a map $h^\#: \pi^*(I(G)_\ell) \longrightarrow \pi^*(X)$ which depends only on the concordance class of the foliation.*

If X is of finite rational type, the map $h^\#$ induces by transposition a mapping

$$(1.2) \quad h_\#: \Pi(X) \longrightarrow \Pi(I(G)_\ell),$$

^{*}) Partially supported by NSF grant MCS79-00256

where $\Pi(X) = s^{-1}(\pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{R})$ and $\Pi(A) = s^{-1}\pi_*(A)$ are the desuspended graded homotopy groups. It is known [B-L] that the functor Π has values in the category of graded Lie algebras. As $h(\omega): I(G)_\ell \longrightarrow A^*(X)$ is a homomorphism of DG-algebras, $h_\#$ is a homomorphism of graded Lie algebras. This construction extends to G -microbundles in an obvious way and therefore defines a homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\tilde{h}_\#: \Pi(B\Gamma_G^q) \longrightarrow \Pi(I(G)_\ell).$$

If $f: X \longrightarrow B\Gamma_G^q$ denotes the classifying map of the G -foliation F on X , the diagram

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(X) & \xrightarrow{h_\#} & \Pi(I(G)_\ell) \\ \searrow f_\# & & \swarrow \tilde{h}_\# \\ & & \Pi(B\Gamma_G^q) \end{array}$$

is commutative. It is the purpose of this note to determine the Lie algebra structure of $\Pi(I(G)_\ell)$ (Section 2) and to detect elements in the image of $\tilde{h}_\#$ via appropriate choices of (X, f) (Section 4). In 3 we study the relationship of $h_\#$ with the characteristic homomorphism Δ_* for G -foliations [K-T 1], [K-T 2].

2. The structure of $\Pi(I(G)_\ell)$

In this section we determine the structure of the graded Lie algebra $\Pi(I(G)_\ell)$. Let G be a reductive Lie group. In order to simplify the following discussion, we will assume that G is connected in which case $I(G) \cong \mathbb{R}[c_1, \dots, c_r]$ is a polynomial algebra generated by the characteristic classes c_j of even degree. As before, we denote by

$$I_\ell = I(G)_\ell = \mathbb{R}[c_1, \dots, c_t] / (\phi(c_1, \dots, c_t) \mid \deg \phi > 2\ell)$$

the truncated polynomial algebra, where c_1, \dots, c_t denote the generators of degree $\leq 2\ell$.

Let $A_\ell = \Lambda P_{(2\ell)} \otimes I_\ell$ be the DG-algebra introduced in section 3. The inclusion $0 \longrightarrow I_\ell \longrightarrow A_\ell$ dualizes to give an epimorphism of DG-coalgebras, $A_\ell^* \xrightarrow{j^*} I_\ell^* \longrightarrow 0$. Applying Quillen's L construction [Q], [B-L], we get an exact sequence of free DG-Lie algebras

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \ker j^* \longrightarrow L(A_\ell^*) \longrightarrow L(I_\ell^*) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $L(C) \equiv \mathbb{L}(s^{-1}C)$ is the free DG-Lie algebra generated by a suspended reduced DG-coalgebra C [B-L], [N-M]. Passing to cohomology we get an exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_*(L(A_\ell^*)) & \longrightarrow & H_*(L(I_\ell^*)) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H_{*-1}(\ker j^*) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel \int & & \parallel \int & & \\ & & \Pi(A_\ell) & \xrightarrow{j\#} & \Pi(I_\ell) & & \end{array}$$

2.3. THEOREM. *There is an extension of graded Lie algebras*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Pi(A_\ell) \longrightarrow \Pi(I_\ell) \longrightarrow P_{(2\ell)}^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $P_{(2\ell)}^*$ is an abelian Lie algebra and $\Pi(A_\ell) \cong L(H^*(A_\ell)^*)$ is a free Lie algebra.

We remark that the Lie algebra structure of the extension $\Pi(I_\ell)$ is uniquely determined by the induced representation of $P_{(2\ell)}^*$ in the Lie algebra of outer derivations of $\Pi(A_\ell)$. This follows from the fact that the free Lie algebra $\Pi(A_\ell)$ has trivial center and from the general theory of extensions of Lie algebras (compare [Ho] for the ungraded case). The proof of this theorem, culminating in the determination of this induced action, will occupy the rest of this section.

First note that by taking a Λ -minimal model of the KS extension $0 \longrightarrow I_\ell \longrightarrow A_\ell \longrightarrow \Lambda P_{(2\ell)} \longrightarrow 0$ [Ha], there is a long exact dual homotopy sequence with injective coboundary ∂^* and therefore a short exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \partial^* P_{(2\ell)}^* \longrightarrow \pi^*(I_\ell) \longrightarrow \pi^*(A_\ell) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Dualizing this sequence gives the exact sequence of the theorem. The elements of $P_{(2\ell)}^*$ all have odd degree, so as a Lie algebra this must be abelian. We want to analyze how the elements in $P_{(2\ell)}^*$ act on the image of $\Pi(A_\ell)$, and this will show that $\Pi(A_\ell)$ is an ideal in $\Pi(I_\ell)$.

The algebra A_ℓ admits a subalgebra $Z_\ell \subset A_\ell$ with trivial differential and products, which induces an isomorphism in cohomology $Z_\ell \xrightarrow{\cong} H^\bullet(A_\ell)$ [K-T3]. Therefore A_ℓ is biformal and we have isomorphisms

$$(2.5) \quad L(Z_\ell^*) \cong H_*(L(Z_\ell^*)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_*(L(A_\ell^*)) \cong \Pi(A_\ell).$$

It follows that $\Pi(A_\ell)$ is a free graded Lie algebra generated by $s^{-1}Z_\ell^*$.

The algebra Z_ℓ and the isomorphism $Z_\ell \cong H(A_\ell)$ have been described in [K-T1], [K-T3]. We use here a slightly different notation, which is more convenient in the present context. For ordered sequences $I = (i_1 < \dots < i_s)$, $J = (j_1 < \dots < j_m)$, the symbol (I/J) is called *admissible* if it satisfies

$$(2.6) \quad \deg c_J \leq 2\ell, \quad c_J = \prod_{\alpha=1}^m c_{j_\alpha};$$

$$(2.7) \quad \deg c_{i_1} c_J > 2\ell;$$

$$(2.8) \quad i_1 \leq j_1.$$

For an admissible symbol (I/J) , the cochain

$$z_{(I/J)} = y_I \otimes c_J = y_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge y_{i_s} \otimes c_{j_1} \dots c_{j_m} \in A_\ell$$

is clearly a cocycle and the product of any two such cocycles = 0. The algebra Z_ℓ is then given by the linear space spanned by 1 and the cocycles $z_{(I/J)}$ for (I/J) admissible.

Let $I = \mathbb{R}[c_1, \dots, c_t]$; the canonical quotient map $I \longrightarrow I_\ell$ dualizes to an inclusion $L(I_\ell^*) \subset L(I^*)$. Since I^* has a canonical Hopf algebra structure and trivial differential, we find easily that

$$H_*(L(I^*)) \cong P_{(2\ell)}^* = \text{span}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_t\},$$

where $Y_j = s^{-1}c_j^*$, $j = 1, \dots, t$. Hence all the cycles in $L(I^*)$ of degree $\geq 2\ell$ are boundaries. Thus all the cycles in $L(I_\ell^*)$ of degree $\geq 2\ell$ are boundaries in $L(I^*)$. With this observation in mind, we produce explicitly a set of cycles in $L(I_\ell^*)$, which will generate $H(L(I_\ell^*))$.

For any monomial c_K , $K = (k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_m)$ in I , we set $Y_K = s^{-1}c_K^* \in I^*$. The diagonal Δ in I^* is given by

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta(c_K^*) = c_K^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes c_K + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta)} (c_\alpha^* \otimes c_\beta^* + c_\beta^* \otimes c_\alpha^*),$$

where (α, β) runs over all ordered proper partitions of the set $\{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$. By definition, the differential d_L of $L(I^*)$ is determined by the formula

$$(2.10) \quad d_L Y_K = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta)} [Y_\alpha, Y_\beta] \in L(I^*).$$

For an admissible symbol (I/J) with $I = (i)$, it follows that $d_L Y_{(i,J)} \in L(I_\ell^*)$ is a cycle of degree $\geq 2\ell$, and we define

$$(2.11) \quad s^{-1}u_{(i,J)}^* = -d_L Y_{(i,J)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta)} [Y_\alpha, Y_\beta]$$

If (I/J) is an arbitrary admissible symbol, we set

$$(2.12) \quad s^{-1}u_{(I/J)}^* = \text{ad}(Y_{i_1}) \circ \dots \circ \text{ad}(Y_{i_2}) s^{-1}u_{(i_1/J)}^*, \quad s > 1,$$

where $\text{ad}(Y) = [Y, -]$ denotes the adjoint representation. Clearly the Y_j and the $s^{-1}u_{(I/J)}^*$ are cycles in $L(I_\ell^*)$. Their corresponding homology classes in $H_*(L(I_\ell^*))$ are denoted by the same symbol. Observe that the elements $s^{-1}u_{(i/J)}^*$ correspond exactly to a minimal set of relations $c_i c_j \sim 0$ for the quotient algebra I_ℓ . For $z_{(I/J)} \in Z_\ell$, denote by $z_{(I/J)}^*$ the corresponding dual basis element of Z_ℓ^* .

2.13. LEMMA. The injective homomorphism $j_\# : \Pi(A_\ell) \longrightarrow H(I_\ell)$ of Theorem 2.3 is induced by the homomorphism of free DG-Lie algebras $L(Z_\ell^*) \longrightarrow L(I_\ell^*)$, which is determined on the generators by $s^{-1}z_{(I/J)}^* \longrightarrow s^{-1}u_{(I/J)}^*$ for (I/J) admissible.

It follows that the homology classes $s^{-1}u_{(I/J)}^*$ generate a free subalgebra in $L(I_\lambda^*)$. The formulas in the following Proposition have to be understood with the convention: Whenever a symbol (I'/J') is not admissible, the term in which the symbol occurs must be replaced by 0.

2.14. PROPOSITION. Let (I/J) be admissible and $k \leq j$ in $\{1, \dots, t\}$. Then the following formulas hold in $H_*(L(I_\lambda^*))$:

$$(2.15) \quad \text{ad}(Y_k)(Y_j) = s^{-1}u_{(k/j)}^*;$$

$$(2.16) \quad \text{ad}(Y_k)s^{-1}u_{(I/J)}^* = s^{-1}u_{(i_1 \dots i_s k | J)}^*, \quad \text{for } k > i_s;$$

$$(2.17) \quad \text{ad}(Y_k)s^{-1}u_{(I/J)}^* = \sum_{\beta=\alpha}^s (-1)^{s-\beta} \text{ad}(Y_{i_s}) \circ \dots \circ \text{ad}(Y_{i_{\beta+1}}) [s^{-1}u_{(k/i_\beta)}^*, s^{-1}u_{(i_1 \dots i_{\beta-1} | J)}^*] \\ + (-1)^{s-\alpha+1} s^{-1}u_{(i_1 \dots i_{\alpha-1} k i_\alpha | J)}^*, \quad \text{for } i_{\alpha-1} < k < i_\alpha, 1 < \alpha \leq s;$$

$$(2.18) \quad \text{ad}(Y_k)s^{-1}u_{(I/J)}^* = \sum_{\beta=\alpha}^s (-1)^{s-\beta} \text{ad}(Y_{i_s}) \circ \dots \circ \text{ad}(Y_{i_{\beta+1}}) [s^{-1}u_{(k/i_\beta)}^*, s^{-1}u_{(i_1 \dots i_{\beta-1} | J)}^*] \\ + (-1)^{s+1} \text{ad}(Y_{i_s}) \circ \dots \circ \text{ad}(Y_{i_2}) \circ \text{ad}(Y_k) s^{-1}u_{(i_1 | J)}^*, \quad \text{for } k < i_1;$$

$$(2.19) \quad \text{ad}(Y_k)s^{-1}u_{(i/J)}^* = - \sum_{\beta=0}^m s^{-1}u_{(k, j_\beta/j_0 \dots \hat{j}_\beta \dots j_m)}^*, \quad \text{for } k < j_0 = i.$$

Together with corresponding formulas for $k = i_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, s$, (2.15) to (2.19) completely determine the Lie algebra extension in Theorem 2.3 and hence the structure of $H(I_\lambda) = H(L(I_\lambda^*))$. They also show that the subalgebra $L(Z_\lambda^*) \subset H(L(I_\lambda^*))$ is an ideal. We denote by $D(Y_k)$ the derivation on $L(Z_\lambda^*)$ induced by $\text{ad}(Y_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, t$. (2.15) implies

$$(2.20) \quad [D(Y_k), D(Y_j)] = D(Y_k) \circ D(Y_j) + D(Y_j) \circ D(Y_k) = \text{ad}(s^{-1}z_{(k|j)}^*).$$

D therefore induces a representation \bar{D} of the abelian Lie algebra $P_{(2\lambda)}^*$ in $\text{Der}(L(Z_\lambda^*))/\text{IntDer}$. This is the representation canonically associated to the extension (2.3).

As an example, we describe $\Pi(I_2)$ for $I = I(\underline{gl}(2)) = \mathbb{R}[c_1, c_2]$. We have $I_2 = [c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3, c_1 c_2, c_2^2)$. The Lie algebra $\Pi(I_2) \cong H(L(I_2^*))$ is generated by $Y_j = s^{-1}c_j^*$, $j = 1, 2$ and $s^{-1}u_{(1/11)}^* = [Y_1, Y_{(1,1)}]$, $dY_{(1,1)} = [Y_1, Y_1]$. The free subalgebra $L(Z_2^*) \subset H(L(I_2^*))$ is generated by $s^{-1}u_{(1,11)}^*$ and the elements $s^{-1}u_{(1/2)}^* = [Y_1, Y_2]$, $s^{-1}u_{(2/2)}^* = [Y_2, Y_2]$, $s^{-1}u_{(12/11)}^* = [Y_2, [Y_1, Y_{(1,1)}]]$ and $s^{-1}u_{(12/2)}^* = [Y_2, [Y_1, Y_2]]$. The non-zero brackets in Prop. 2.14 are given by

$$[Y_1, s^{-1}u_{(2/2)}^*] = -2s^{-1}u_{(12/2)}^*,$$

$$[Y_1, s^{-1}u_{(12/11)}^*] = [s^{-1}u_{(1/2)}^*, s^{-1}u_{(1/11)}^*],$$

$$[Y_1, s^{-1}u_{(12/2)}^*] = [s^{-1}u_{(1/2)}^*, s^{-1}u_{(1/2)}^*],$$

$$[Y_2, s^{-1}u_{(12/11)}^*] = \frac{1}{2} [s^{-1}u_{(2/2)}^*, s^{-1}u_{(1/11)}^*]$$

and

$$[Y_2, s^{-1}u_{(12/2)}^*] = \frac{1}{2} [s^{-1}u_{(2/2)}^*, s^{-1}u_{(1/2)}^*].$$

Theorem 2.3. has the following consequence

2.21. THEOREM. The minimal algebra $M(I_\ell)$ appears as an extension of DG-algebras

$$(2.22) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Id}(c_1, \dots, c_t) \longrightarrow M(I_\ell) \xrightarrow{Mj} M(A_\ell) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\| \qquad \qquad \| \qquad \qquad \|$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Id}(c_1, \dots, c_t) \longrightarrow C^\bullet(\Pi(I_\ell)) \longrightarrow C^\bullet(L(Z_\ell^*)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $C^\bullet(L) \equiv S^\bullet(sL)$ denotes the cochain complex of a graded Lie algebra. As A_ℓ is biformal, the isomorphism $M(A_\ell) \cong C^\bullet(L(Z_\ell^*))$ preserves differentials. For I_ℓ , which is only formal, the cochain differential d_C describes only the quadratic terms of d_M .

The 1-cochains $u_{(I/J)} \in M(I_\ell)$, dual to the basis elements $u_{(I/J)}^*$ described earlier, are mapped to the cocycles $z_{(I/J)} \in Z_\ell \subset A_\ell$. The obvious relation in $M(I_\ell)$

$$(2.23) \quad d_M^u(i/J) = c_i c_J$$

shows that d_M has non-quadratic terms (for c_J decomposable); hence I_ℓ is not coformal for $\ell > 1$. The minimal algebras of the form $C(L(Z_\ell^*))$ have the homotopy type of a finite wedge of spheres, and their study goes back to P. J. Hilton (compare e.g. [H1], [H2]). By contrast the minimal algebra $M(I_\ell)$ appears to be quite complicated as far as the differential is concerned. Details of these constructions will appear elsewhere [K-T4].

3. The relationship of $h^\#$ with Δ_*

Let X have a G -foliation; we assume that the G -frame bundle $F(Q)$ of the normal bundle admits an H -reduction, where $H \subseteq G$ is closed and (G, H) is a reductive, CS-pair [K-T2]. Let $P \subseteq \Lambda_{\underline{g}}^*$ be the space of primitives: $P \cong \text{span}\{Y_1, \dots, Y_r\}$ where Y_j is the cohomology suspension of c_j . Let $P = \hat{P} \oplus \tilde{P}$ be a Samelson decomposition. Denote the image of the transgression mapping by $V = \tau_g P = \hat{V} \oplus \tilde{V} \subseteq I(\underline{g})$, so that $\text{Ideal}(\hat{V}) = \ker(i^*: I(\underline{g}) \rightarrow I(\underline{h}))$. We denote by $V^{(2\ell)}$, resp. $V_{(2\ell)}$ the subspace generated by the elements of degree $> 2\ell$, resp. $\leq 2\ell$. Similarly we decompose $P = P^{(2\ell)} \oplus P_{(2\ell)}$.

The complex A_ℓ used in section 2 is defined by $A_\ell = \Lambda P_{(2\ell)} \otimes I(\underline{g})_\ell$, with differential defined by the transgression. A similar relative complex is defined by $\hat{A}_\ell = \Lambda \hat{P}_{(2\ell)} \otimes I(\underline{g})_\ell$. The relative Weil algebra of the pair then has cohomology [K-T 3]

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(W(\underline{g}, \underline{h})_\ell) &\cong H^*(\Lambda P \otimes I(\underline{g})_\ell \otimes I(\underline{h})) \\ &\cong \Lambda \hat{P}^{(2\ell)} \otimes H^*(\hat{A}_{(2\ell)}) \otimes I(\underline{g}) \otimes I(\underline{h}). \end{aligned}$$

The Chern-Weil theory gives a characteristic homomorphism for G -foliations [K-T, 2]

$$\Delta: W(\underline{g}, \underline{h})_\ell \longrightarrow A^\bullet(F(Q)/H) \xrightarrow{S^*} A^\bullet(X),$$

giving a commutative diagram of minimal models

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M(W(\underline{g}, \underline{h})_{\ell}) & \xrightarrow{M\Delta} & M(F(Q)/H) \\ \uparrow Mj & & \downarrow Ms \\ M(I(G)_{\ell}) & \xrightarrow{Mh} & M(X) \end{array}$$

We deduce two results from (3.1): First, there is a relationship between $h^{\#}$ and Δ_* , given by [Hul]

3.2. THEOREM. *The diagram*

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi^*(I(G)_{\ell}) & \xrightarrow{h^{\#}} & \pi^*(X) \\ \uparrow \zeta & & \downarrow \mathcal{H}^* \\ H^*(\hat{A}_{\ell}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_*} & H^*(X) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \Delta_* \\ & H^*(W(\underline{g}, \underline{h})_{\ell}) & \end{array}$$

naturally commutes, where \mathcal{H}^* is the dual Hurewicz map and ζ is the inclusion mapping $z(I/J) \longrightarrow u(I/J)$.

This result gives a new method for showing the non-triviality of Δ_* : a class which is non-zero in the image of $h^{\#} \circ \zeta$ is mapped to a non-zero class by Δ_* . Conversely, the non-triviality of Δ_* for a given X can be used to show $h^{\#}$ is non-trivial, if the map \mathcal{H}^* is known. Section 4 will indicate what can be shown using these techniques.

Let $F\Gamma_G^q$ be the classifying space of trivialized, G -foliated micro-bundles; let $K \subseteq G$ be a maximal compact group. By the functoriality of $h_{\#}$ and $\Delta_{\#}$, dualizing (3.1) gives

3.4. THEOREM. Let $f: X \longrightarrow B\Gamma_G^q$ classify a G -foliation on X . In a natural way, there are defined maps so that the diagram commutes:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Pi(F\Gamma_G^q) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Delta}_\#} & \Pi(W(\underline{g}, \underline{e})_\ell) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Pi(B\Gamma_G^q) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Delta}_\#} & \Pi(W(\underline{g}, \underline{k})_\ell) \\ \uparrow f_\# & \searrow \tilde{h}_\# & \downarrow j_\# \\ \Pi(X) & \xrightarrow{h_\#} & \Pi(I(G)_\ell) \end{array}$$

The cokernel of $j_\#$ is \tilde{P}^* ; (3.5) forces $\tilde{h}_\#$ to have cokernel $\supseteq \tilde{P}^*$. The obvious question is whether equality holds: Does $\text{image } \tilde{h}_\# = \text{image } j_\#$?

4. The homotopy of $B\Gamma_G^q$

For the three standard types of G -foliation, we indicate the extent to which $\tilde{h}_\#$ is known.

Let $G = Gl(q, \mathbb{R})$. Mather and Thurston [T] have shown that $v: B\Gamma^q \longrightarrow B0(q)$ is $(q+2)$ connected. Therefore

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{h}_\# \text{ maps onto } Y_{2j} \text{ for } 4j \leq q + 2.$$

$$(4.2) \quad \pi_m(B\Gamma^q) \otimes Q \longrightarrow H_m(B\Gamma^q; Q) \text{ is an isomorphism (resp. onto) for } m \leq 2q + 2 \text{ (resp. } m = 2q + 3).$$

By (4.1) we see that $\tilde{h}_\#$ is onto $s^{-1}u^*_{(2m, 2m)}$, for $q = 4m - 2 > 3$ or $q = 4m - 1$. Theorem 3.2 implies $\Delta_*(Y_{2m} c_{2m}) \neq 0$ in $H^{8m-1}(F\Gamma^q)$. This is a rigid class for q even. Other Whitehead products are similarly in the image of $\tilde{h}_\#$ [Hul].

Many more results follow from the Theorems of Heitsch [He] or Fuks [F] on the variability of the classes in the image of Δ_* .

Using (4.2) we conclude there is a surjection of $\pi_{2q+1}(B\Gamma^q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, for some $d > 0$. For example, by Fuks we have $\pi_{2q}(B\Gamma^q) \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_\#} \pi_{2q}(I_q)$ is onto. The homotopy of $B\Gamma^q$ therefore maps onto a rather large Lie subalgebra of $\Pi(I_q)$. The $2q$ connectivity of ν would imply $\tilde{h}_\#$ is almost onto, within the restrictions of (3.5).

When $G = Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$, the classes Y_1, \dots, Y_s are in the image of $\tilde{h}_\#: \Pi(B\Gamma_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow \Pi(I_n)$, where $s = [\sqrt{n}]$. Coupled with the Theorem of Baum and Bott [B-B], this shows $\Pi(B\Gamma_n^{\mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow \Pi(I_n)$ is onto a much larger subalgebra than originally considered in [H3]. Further details are in [Hu1].

When $G = SO(q)$ the map $\tilde{h}_\#: \Pi(B\Gamma^q) \longrightarrow \Pi(I_q)$, $q' = [q/2]$, is onto, and complete variation occurs [Hu2]. In this case the Lie algebra $\Pi(I_q)$ is injected into $\Pi(B\Gamma^q)$. The variability of the classes implies there are uncountably many distinct ways of choosing a section $\Pi(I_q) \longrightarrow \Pi(B\Gamma^q)$.

References

- [B-L]: H. J. Baues and J. M. Lemaire, *Minimal models in homotopy theory*, Math. Ann. 225, 219-242 (1977).
- [B-B]: P. Baum and R. Bott, *Singularities of holomorphic foliations*, J. Diff. Geom. 7, 279-342 (1972).
- [B]: R. Bott, *On a topological obstruction to integrability*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., AMS vol. 16, 127-131 (1970).
- [D]: J. L. Dupont, *Simplicial de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes of flat bundles*, Topology 15, 233-245 (1976).
- [F]: D. B. Fuks, *Non-trivialité des classes caractéristiques de \underline{g} -structures*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 284, 1017-1019 and 1105-1107 (1977).
- [H1]: A. Haefliger, *Feuilletages sur les variétés ouvertes*, Topology 9, 183-194 (1970).
- [H2]: _____, *Sur la cohomologie de l'algèbre de Lie des champs de vecteurs*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 9, 503-532 (1976).
- [H3]: _____, *Whitehead products and differential forms*, Proceedings-Rio de Janeiro, LNM vol. 652, 13-24 (1978).
- [Ha]: S. Halperin, *Lectures on Minimal Models*, Université de Lille I (1978).
- [He]: J. Heitsch, *Independent variation of secondary classes*, Annals of Math. 108, 421-460 (1978).
- [Ho]: G. Hochschild, *Lie algebra kernels and cohomology*, Amer. J. Math 76, 698-716 (1954).
- [Hu1]: S. Hurder, *Dual homotopy invariants of G -foliations*, University of Illinois preprint (1979).
- [Hu2]: _____, *Some remarks on the homotopy and cohomology of $BR\Gamma^q$* , University of Illinois preprint (1979).
- [K-T1]: F. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur, *Foliated bundles and characteristic classes*, LNM vol. 493 (1975).
- [K-T2]: _____, *G -foliations and their characteristic classes*, Bulletin AMS 84, 1086-1124 (1978).

- [K-T3]: _____, *Characteristic classes and Koszul complexes*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. vol 32, AMS, 159-166 (1978).
- [K-T4]: _____, *The rational homotopy type of truncated relative Weil algebras*, to appear.
- [McL]: S. Mac Lane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag (1963).
- [N-M]: J. Neisendorfer and T. Miller, *Formal and coformal spaces*, Illinois Journal of Math. 22, 565-579 (1978).
- [Q]: D. Quillen, *Rational homotopy theory*, Ann. Math. 90, 205-295 (1969).
- [S]: D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computations in topology*, IHES 47, 269-331 (1977).
- [T]: W. Thurston, *Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms*, Bulletin AMS 80, 304-307 (1974).

Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois
Urbana, IL 61801

USA