Comment. Math. Helvetici 60 (1985) 319–335
0010-2571/85/020319-17$01.50 + 0.20/0

Foliation dynamics and leaf invariants

STEVEN HURDER[(1)]

§1. Statement of results

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension-n foliation of a smooth manifold M without boundary. M may be either compact or open, and assume $\mathcal{F}$ is transversally C^2. The purpose of this note is to examine the relation between the linear holonomy of the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ and the growth rates of the leaves.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ and M be as above. Given a leaf $L \subset M$ of $\mathcal{F}$, suppose its linear holonomy group $\Gamma_L \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is not amenable. Then $\mathcal{F}$ has a leaf L' which contains L in its closure, and for all Riemannian metrics on M, L' has exponential growth.*

Amenability is taken in the sense of topological groups, where Γ_L is endowed with the topology from $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

We actually prove a slightly more general result, from which Theorem 1 follows by standard methods.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a pseudogroup of local diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$, all of whose elements are defined at and fix the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and are C^2 in a neighborhood of 0. Let Γ denote the linear group of Jacobians at 0 of the elements of $\mathcal{G}$. If Γ is not amenable, then the action of $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ has an orbit with exponential growth and which contains 0 in its closure.*

The normal bundle to $\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by Q. The restriction of Q to a leaf L is well-known to be a flat $\mathbb{R}^n$-vector bundle, to which there are associated characteristic classes [12] obtained from the relative Lie algebra cohomology of $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n)$. They are given by a map

$$\chi_L : H^*(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n) \to H^*(L).$$

The leaf classes of L consist of the image of χ_L.

[1] Supported in part by NSF Grant #MCS 82-01604

THEOREM 3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of M as above. Suppose there exists $y \in H^m(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n)$ with $m > 1$ and $\chi_L(y) \neq 0$. Then the linear holonomy group Γ_L of L is not amenable.*

COROLLARY 4. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ and M be as above. Suppose that all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ have non-exponential growth. Then for every leaf L of $\mathcal{F}$, the linear holonomy group Γ_L is amenable, and all leaf classes of L in degrees greater than one are zero.*

The hypothesis $m > 1$ is necessary. For example, a flow on M with a linearly attracting closed orbit L has $\chi_L(y_1) \neq 0$, where y_1 is the standard generator of $H^1(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n)$. All orbits of the flow have at most linear growth, hence non-exponential, and the holonomy group of L is Z, which is amenable.

Corollary 4 can be viewed as a generalization to all of the characteristic classes for flat bundles of a result due to Hirsch and Thurston. The Main Theorem of [7] implies that the Euler class of the restriction $Q \mid L \to L$ is zero if the foliated normal sphere bundle to L has an invariant transverse measure. This will be the case, for example, when $\mathcal{F}$ has a leaf L' of non-exponential growth with L contained in the closure of L'.

Theorem 1 is complementary to a result of Zimmer (Theorem 5.5 of [20]; see also Corollary 4.3 of [10]): If $\mathcal{F}$ is amenable, then there exists a measurable framing s of $Q \to M$ such that for *almost every* leaf L, there is a closed amenable subgroup $G_L \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ for which the linear holonomy along L, with respect to s, takes values in G_L. For example, $\mathcal{F}$ will be amenable if almost every leaf has subexponential growth.

Note that the set of leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ with non-trivial linear holonomy has measure zero (Lemma 7.2 of [10]), so Zimmer's theorem does not imply our Theorem 1. With the stronger hypothesis that every leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ has nonexponential growth, Theorem 1 implies that for every leaf L, there exists a framing s_L of $Q \mid L \to L$ for which the linear holonomy along L, with respect to s_L, takes values in an amenable subgroup G_L. It is an open problem to find sufficient conditions on the dynamics of $\mathcal{F}$ that imply $Q \to M$ has a measurable framing s, with respect to which every leaf has amenable linear holonomy.

This work arose out of the study [9], and was motivated by an attempt to generalize to all codimensions the results of Duminy [2] relating the Godbillon-Vey class in codimension-one with leaf dynamics. For a further discussion, see [10].

We now give an idea of the proofs. Theorem 3 is based on the observation that the well-known explicit Lie algebra forms, representing the generators of $H^*(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n)$, are exact when restricted to the Lie algebra of a maximal amenable subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. This is proven in §3. The heart of this paper is the proof of

Theorem 2. It is useful to compare Theorem 2 with Tits' Theorem [19]: a non-amenable linear group Γ contains a free non-abelian subgroup on two generators. From this it is easy to see that the linear action of Γ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ has orbits of exponential growth. Two problems arise when one tries to use this to show the pseudogroup $\mathcal{G}$ has orbits of exponential growth. First, control must be maintained over the domains of the appropriate holonomy maps from $\mathcal{G}$. This is achieved by finding an element $\gamma_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}$ with non-trivial contracting stable manifold, and then applying our elements from $\mathcal{G}$ to some power of γ_0^{-1}. The second, more delicate problem is to control how well the orbit under $\mathcal{G}$ of a given point is "shadowed" by the corresponding orbits under Γ. This latter problem occupies §5, and is where the C^2-assumption on $\mathcal{G}$ is needed. It is doubtful that Theorem 2 holds if we are just given that $\mathcal{G}$ is C^1. Finally, we remark that the proof of Theorem 2 is reminiscent of the proof given in [5] of a special case of Tits' Theorem.

The author is grateful to D. Ellis and R. Szczarba for several helpful discussions on this work, and for their encouragement. Thanks are due to W. Thurston for his remarks on the local structure of group actions, to C. C. Moore for discussions on the classification of amenable subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, and to E. Ghys for bringing our attention to the paper by de la Harpe.

The support of the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute is gratefully acknowledged.

§2. Growth types and leaf classes

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote a fixed codimension n, transversally C^2 foliation on a manifold M, L a fixed leaf of $\mathcal{F}$, and h a Riemannian metric on M. Given a basepoint $x \in L$, let $B(x, r) \subset L$ denote the ball of radius r in the submanifold metric on L. The metric h induces a volume element on L, and $\mathrm{vol}\{B(x, r)\}$ will denote the total volume of $B(x, r)$. The growth function of L is $G(x, h, r) = \mathrm{vol}\{B(x, r)\}$.

With respect to the choice of x and h, the growth type of L is said to be:

subexponential if $\limsup_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r} \log G(x, h, r) = 0$

nonexponential if $c_L \equiv \liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{1}{r} \log G(x, h, r) = 0$

exponential if $c_L > 0$.

If M is compact, then the growth type of L is independent of the choices of x and h, [6], [16], and thus is an invariant of the way L is embedded in M.

The growth rate of a finitely generated group H is defined in a similar way (cf. [14]). Let $\{g_1, \ldots, g_s\}$ be a reflexive generating set for H; reflexive means that some g_i is the identity element, and for each i, $g_i^{-1} = g_j$ for some j. The word metric on H is then defined by

$$|g| \leq p \text{ if } g = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_p} \quad \text{for some integers } 1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_p \leq s.$$

Set $H_p = \{g \in H \text{ with } |g| \leq p\}$. Let $\#S$ denote the cardinality of a set S. We say H has subexponential growth if

$$c_H \equiv \limsup_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{p} \log \# H_p = \liminf_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{p} \log \# H_p$$

is zero, and exponential growth if $c_H > 0$.

For a countable pseudogroup $\mathcal{G}$ of local diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$, all of which are defined at and fix $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the orbit growth type of $\mathcal{G}$ as in Plante [16]. First, assume $\mathcal{G}$ is finitely generated with reflexive generating set $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s\}$. For $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ with y in the domain of γ, we say $|\gamma y|_y \leq p$ if there are integers $1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_p \leq s$ with γ_{i_k} defined at $\gamma_{i_{k-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{i_1}(y)$ and $\gamma(y) = \gamma_{i_p} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{i_1}(y)$. Then set

$$\text{Orbit } (y, \mathcal{G}, p) = \{\gamma y \text{ such that } \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \text{ with } |\gamma y|_y \leq p\}$$

$$c(y, \mathcal{G}) = \liminf_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{p} \log \# \text{Orbit } (y, \mathcal{G}, p).$$

We say $\mathcal{G}$ has exponential orbit growth at y if $c(y, \mathcal{G}) > 0$ and nonexponential otherwise. For a non-finitely generated groupoid $\mathcal{G}$, we say it has exponential orbit growth at y if this is true for some finitely generated subpseudogroup $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$.

Given a regular foliation chart $\phi : U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\phi(x) = 0$ (cf. §4 of [16]), a closed path ξ in L based at x determines a holonomy map $\gamma_\xi : (V, 0) \to (W, 0)$ for some open neighborhoods V and W of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, [3], [6], [16]. Given a finitely generated subgroup $H \subset \pi_1(L, x)$, choose closed paths $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d\}$ representing a generating set of H, let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the pseudogroup generated by the elements $\{\gamma_{\xi_1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\xi_d}\}$. We extend the generating set to a reflexive set $\{\gamma_{\xi_1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\xi_d}\}$. We extend the generating set to a reflexive set $\{\gamma_{\xi_1}, \ldots, \gamma_{\xi_s}\}$, and let V be an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ on which all of the γ_{ξ_i} are defined. The following result is then implicit in §4 of [16]; see also Chapter IX of [6]:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $y \in V$ and suppose $\mathcal{G}$ has exponential orbit growth at y. Then for all Riemannian metrics on M, the leaf L' of $\mathcal{F}$ through y has exponential growth.*

It is clear that Theorem 1 follows from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.

Given a foliation chart $\phi: U \to \mathbb{R}^m$ centered at x, the linear holonomy map of L is given by $dh: \pi_1(L, x) \to GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, where for $\mathfrak{a} \in \pi_1(L, x)$ choose a closed path ξ in L representing $\mathfrak{a}$, let γ_ξ denote the holonomy map associated to ξ, then set $dh(\mathfrak{a}) = J_0\gamma_\xi$, the Jacobian matrix at 0. The image $\Gamma = \Gamma_L$ of dh is the linear holonomy group of L with respect to the chart (U, ϕ). For a different choice of foliation chart centered at x, the map dh is changed by conjugating with some element of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Thus the conjugacy class of Γ in $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is an invariant of the germ of $\mathcal{F}$ along L.

The leaf classes of L are obtained by considering the pullback via dh of the continuous cohomology of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$. Recall from Haefliger [4] or Stasheff [18] that the continuous cohomology $H_c^*(G)$ of a topological group G is the cohomology of the cochain complex of real valued group cochains on the discrete group G^δ which are continuous with respect to the topology on G. The basic result is:

THEOREM 2.2 (van Est [4]). *Let G be a Lie group, and let $K \subset G$ be a maximal compact subgroup with G/K contractible. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K) \cong H_c^*(G)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G and $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ is the relative Lie algebra cohomology.

For $G = GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, it is well known that

$$H_c^*(GL(n, \mathbb{R})) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n) \cong \Lambda(y_1, y_3, \ldots, y_{n'}) \tag{2.3}$$

where y_i is a closed O_n-basic form on $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ of degree $2i-1$, and n' is the largest odd integer less than $(n+1)$, (cf. Chapter 5 of [13].) Given an index $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ with $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_r \leq n'$ we write $y_I = y_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge y_{i_r}$. The proof of Theorem 3 will depend upon the identification in (2.3) of $H_c^*(GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$, and the naturality in the conclusion of van Est's theorem.

Define the characteristic map χ_L as the composition

$$\chi_L : H^*(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n) \cong H_c^*(GL(n, \mathbb{R})) \xrightarrow{dh^*} H^*(\pi_1(L, x)) \longrightarrow H^*(L)$$

where we use that $\pi_1(L, x)$ is discrete so that

$$H_c^*(\pi_1(L, x)) \cong H^*(B\pi_1(L, x)) \to H^*(L),$$

where the second map is induced from the natural map $L \to B\pi_1(L, x)$. For a more detailed discussion of the leaf classes, see Kamber–Tondeur [12], Chapter 6 of [13] or Shulman–Tischler [17].

§3. Structure of the linear holonomy group

In this section we analyze how the structure of a countable subgroup $\Gamma \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is related to the map $H^*_c(GL(N, \mathbb{R})) \to H^*(\Gamma)$. Theorem 3 will follow from this, and we also establish some preliminary results needed for the proof of Theorem 2.

Consider $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ as the real points of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and let G denote the algebraic closure of Γ in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. The identity component G_0 of G has finite index, and passing to the subgroup $\Gamma \cap G_0$ does not affect the statements or conclusions of Theorems 2 and 3. Thus, we can assume G is connected.

Let $G^1 = [G, G]$ be the commutator subgroup of G, and set $G^{k+1} = [G^k, G^k]$. Similarly define $\Gamma^{k+1} = [\Gamma^k, \Gamma^k]$.

LEMMA 3.1. *G^k is closed and connected for all k.*

Proof. See §17.2 of [8], for example. □

We denote the algebraic closure of a group $H \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ by $\bar{H}$.

LEMMA 3.2. *The algebraic closure $\overline{\Gamma^k} = G^k$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\overline{\Gamma^k} \subset G^k$ is immediate, so it suffices to show $G^k \subseteq \overline{\Gamma^k}$. By definition $\bar{\Gamma} = G$, and we proceed by induction: assume $\overline{\Gamma^l} = G^l$ for $l < k$. Consider the commutator map

$$c: GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$$

with $c(g, h) = [g, h]$. This is algebraic, so $H = c^{-1}(\overline{\Gamma^k})$ is algebraically closed. Clearly, $\Gamma^{k-1} \times \Gamma^{k-1} \subseteq H$ so $\overline{\Gamma^{k-1} \times \Gamma^{k-1}} \subset H$. Now $\overline{\Gamma^{k-1} \times \Gamma^{k-1}}$ is a group containing $\overline{\Gamma^{k-1}} \times e$ and $e \times \overline{\Gamma^{k-1}}$, so by induction $G^{k-1} \times G^{k-1} \subset \overline{\Gamma^{k-1}} \times \overline{\Gamma^{k-1}} \subset \overline{\Gamma^{k-1} \times \Gamma^{k-1}} \subset H$. Since G^k is generated as a group by the image $c(G^{k-1} \times G^{k-1})$, we are done. □

As each G^k is connected, there exists a least integer N such that $G^k = G^{k+1}$ for all $k \geqslant N$. The key to the proof of Theorem 2 is to understand the properties of Γ^N, which we now study.

DEFINITION 3.3 [20]. A topological group H is *amenable* if every continuous affine action of H on a compact convex separable set has a fixed point.

A connected amenable Lie group is a compact extension of a solvable group. For $H \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ amenable, Moore proves in [15] that H is conjugate to a subgroup of one of 2^n standard maximal amenable algebraic subgroups.

DEFINITION 3.4. A subgroup $H \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is *distal* if for each $g \in H$, all eigenvalues of g have unit length.

PROPOSITION 3.4 (Conze–Guivarc'h [1]). *A distal subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is amenable.*

For the linear group Γ we now observe:

LEMMA 3.6. *If Γ^k is distal for any $k > 0$, then G is amenable.*

Proof. Suppose that Γ^k is distal. Then Γ^k is amenable, so by Moore [15] its algebraic closure G^k is also amenable. This implies G is amenable, for G is obtained from G^k by a finite number of abelian extensions. □

COROLLARY 3.7. *If Γ is not amenable, then G^N is not trivial, and for all $k > 0$ the group Γ^k is not distal.*

This corollary is the starting point for the proof of Theorem 2 in the next section. We now prove Theorem 3. First, note that the inclusion induced map $H_c^*(GL(n, \mathbb{C})) \to H_c^*(GL(n, \mathbb{R}))$ is onto, since $H^*(\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{C}, U_n) \to H^*(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n)$ is onto (e.g., see Chapter 7 of [13]). By the remarks of §2, Theorem 3 then follows from:

PROPOSITION 3.8. *Let $i : \Gamma \to GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the inclusion, and suppose that Γ is amenable. Then*

$$i^* : H_c^m(GL(n, \mathbb{C})) \to H^m(\Gamma)$$

is zero for all $m > 1$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a maximal amenable subgroup containing Γ. From [15] we know there is a basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and integers $\{n_1, \ldots, n_d\}$ with $n_1 + \cdots + n_d = n$ such that with respect to this basis, Λ has the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} R^+U_{n_1} & * & \cdot & \cdot & * & * \\ 0 & * & \cdot & \cdot & * & * \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & R^+U_{n_d} \end{bmatrix}$$

Here, $R^+U_{n_i}$ denotes the positive reals product with the unitary group of dimension n_i. Let $U_n \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be the unitary subgroup with respect the basis $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$.

The map i^* factors through the map $H_c^*(GL(n, \mathbb{C})) \to H_c^*(\Lambda)$, so it will suffice to show this latter map is trivial in degrees greater than one. Let λ be the Lie algebra of Λ, and let $U \subset \Lambda$ be a maximal compact subgroup with $U = \Lambda \cap U_n = U_{n_1} \times \cdots \times U_{n_d}$. By the van Est Theorem, it suffices to show that for Lie algebra cohomology,

$$j^*: H^m(\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{C}, U_n) \to H^m(\lambda, U)$$

is zero when $m > 1$.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ be the solvable radical of λ, let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the nilradical and $\tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$ the subspace of the complex diagonal matrices with $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}$. The intersection $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} \cap \mathfrak{u}$ consists of purely imaginary diagonal matrices, so we consider $\mathfrak{t} = \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}/(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} \cap \mathfrak{u})$ as those matrices in $\mathfrak{t}$ with real diagonal entries. Similarly define $\mathfrak{d} = \tilde{\mathfrak{d}}/(\tilde{\mathfrak{t}} \cap \mathfrak{u})$ so that $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{d}$. As $\mathfrak{t}$ is normal in λ, if follows from the definition of relative Lie algebra cohomology that

$$H^*(\lambda, U) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{t}^U) \cong H^*(\mathfrak{t})^U,$$

where superscript U means the $Ad(U)$-invariant subspace. The adjoint action of U on $\mathfrak{t}$, λ and $\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{C}$ are all compatible, so we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^m(\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{C}, U_n) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^m(\lambda, U) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^m(\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{C})^{U_n} & \xrightarrow{r^*} & H^m(\mathfrak{t})^U \end{array}$$

We will show $r^* = 0$ for $m > 1$.

Recall from (p. 116 of [13]) that the generator $y_i \in H^{2i-1}(\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{C})$ is represented by the *ad* $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$-invariant form on $\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{C}$,

$$y_i = k_i \operatorname{tr}(\Theta \wedge \underbrace{[\Theta, \Theta] \wedge \cdots \wedge [\Theta, \Theta]}_{(i-1)\text{-factors}})$$

where Θ is the Maurer–Cartan form and k_i is a scalar. The algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak{t}$ as an *associative* algebra, and $[\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{t}] \subset \mathfrak{n}$ so for $i > 1$ the form $r^*(y_i)$ on $\mathfrak{t}$ is obtained by taking the traces of elements of $\mathfrak{n}$, which all have trace zero. Thus, $r^*(y_i) = 0$. As the $\{y_i\}$ generate the algebra $H^*(\mathfrak{gl}_n\mathbb{C})$, we are done. □

As a corollary of the above proof, we have the general fact about Lie algebra cohomology which is useful in other contexts as well.

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let G be an amenable subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and maximal compact subgroup $K = G \cap O_n$. Then $H^m(\mathfrak{gl}_n, O_n) \to H^m(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ is the zero map for all $m > 1$. In particular, the restriction of the forms y_i to $\mathfrak{g}$ are exact for all $i > 1$ and odd.*

§4. Action of Γ on an attracting subspace

Let $\Gamma \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a non-amenable countable subgroup, $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ its connected algebraic closure and N the integer defined in §3 for which $G^N = G^{N+1}$. By Corollary 3.7 the group Γ^{N+1} is not distal, so there exists $f \in \Gamma^{N+1}$ with an eigenvalue of modulus greater than one. Let $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_s$ be the eigenvalues of f and set

$$\mu = \max\{|\mu_1|, |\mu_1^{-1}|, \ldots, |\mu_s|, |\mu_s^{-1}|\}.$$

By reordering the μ_i and replacing f with f^{-1} if necessary, one can assume

$$\mu = |\mu_1| = \cdots = |\mu_r| > \lambda = |\mu_{r+1}| \geqslant \cdots \geqslant |\mu_s|.$$

Let $\{v(i, j) \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant s;\ 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r(i)\}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ in which f has Jordan form:

$$fv(i, 1) = \mu_i \cdot v(i, 1)$$
$$fv(i, j) = \mu_i[v(i, j) + v(i, j-1)] \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r(i). \tag{4.1}$$

We also require that $v(i, 1) = \overline{v(j, 1)}$ if $\mu_i = \overline{\mu_j}$, where $\overline{}$ denotes complex conjugate. Set

$$V(i) = \text{Span}\,\{v(i, j) \mid 1 \leqslant j \leqslant r(i)\}.$$

Note that $V(i)$ is stable under f, and there is a superdiagonal nilpotent matrix $N(i)$ so that

$$f \mid V(i) = \mu_i[Id + N(i)].$$

Let $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus_{i=1}^{r} V(i)$ and $W_{\mathbb{C}} = \oplus_{i=r+1}^{s} V(i)$ so that $\mathbb{C}^n = V_{\mathbb{C}} \oplus W_{\mathbb{C}}$. Since f is real, both $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $W_{\mathbb{C}}$ are the complexifications of the real subspaces $V = V_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{R}^n$ and $W = W_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{R}^n$.

Endow $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the Hermitian metric for which the vectors $\{v(i,j)\}$ are orthonormal. Let $|v|$ denote the length of $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and for $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ we set

$$|A| = \sup_{|v|=1} |Av|.$$

Define $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\} \to S^{n-1}$ by $\pi(v) = v/|v|$. For a subspace $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let Z^1 denote the set of unit vectors in Z.

Note that (4.1) implies for all $k > 0$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$,

$$[f \mid V(i)]^k = \mu_i^k \left[Id + \binom{k}{1} N(i) + \cdots + \binom{k}{n} N(i)^n \right] \tag{4.2}$$

where $N(i)^j = 0$ for $j \geqslant r(i)$. Let $q(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{k}{j}$, a polynomial of degree $(n-1)$ in k. Then (4.2) and our choice of metric yields:

LEMMA 4.3

a) *For* $v \in V$,

$$|v| \, \mu^k \leqslant |f^k(v)| \leqslant \mu^k q(k) \, |v|.$$

b) *For* $w \in W$,

$$|w| \, |\mu_s|^k \leqslant |f^k(w)| \leqslant \lambda^k q(k) \, |w|. \quad \square$$

Define the arctangent function $a : \mathbb{R}^n - W \to R^+$ between V and W by the rule:

For $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $y = v + w$, $v \in V$, $w \in W$, $0 \neq v$,

$$a(y) = \frac{|w|}{|v|}.$$

LEMMA 4.4. *For all* $y \in \mathbb{R}^n - W$ *and* $k > 0$,

$$\left(\frac{|\mu_s|}{\mu}\right)^k \frac{1}{q(k)} a(y) \leqslant a(f^k(y)) \leqslant \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^k q(k) a(y).$$

Proof. For $y = v + w$, $f^k(y) = v_k + w_k$ where $w_k = f^k w \in W$ and $v_k = f^k v \in V$. Thus, $a(f^k(y)) = |w_k|/|v_k|$ and Lemma 4.3 yields the estimate. $\square$

The last result needed for the constructions in §5 asserts that Γ^N contains enough elements to map all of the strong expanding manifold V of f into the domain $\mathbb{R}^n-(V\cup W)$. We remark that if it were possible to find a single $g\in\Gamma$ for which $gV\cap V=\{0\}$ and $gV\cap W=\{0\}$, then a much simplified proof of Theorem 2 would be possible along the lines of [5]. As it is, we make do with the following:

PROPOSITION 4.5. *Let $v\in V$ be a non-zero vector. Then there exists $g\in\Gamma^N$ such that*

$$gv\notin V \text{ and } v\cdot gv\neq 0 \tag{4.6}$$

and hence $gv\notin W$.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that for all $g\in\Gamma^N$, either $gv\in V$ or $v\cdot gv=0$. These are algebraic conditions on Γ, so by Lemma 3.2 they also hold for all $g\in G^N$. Now G^N is irreducible as it is a connected algebraic group, so either $gv\in V$ for all $g\in G^N$, or $v\cdot gv=0$ for all $g\in G^N$. Clearly we must have the first case, so $G^N\cdot v\subset V$. Let $\tilde V$ denote the span of G^Nv. Then $\tilde V$ is a subspace of V stable under Γ^N, hence $f\mid\tilde V$ is in the commutator group of $\Gamma^N\mid\tilde V$. But the determinant of $f\mid\tilde V$ is $\mu^{\dim\tilde V}>1$, which contradicts $f\mid\tilde V$ being a product of commutators. □

The condition (4.6) is open for $v\in V$, so given any $v\in V^1$ and $g_v\in\Gamma^N$ satisfying (4.6), there is a $\delta(v)>0$ so that for the *closed* $2\delta(v)$-ball $B(v,2\delta(v))$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at v, we have (4.6) is satisfied for g_v and all $y\in B(v,2\delta(v))$. Since V^1 is compact, we can choose a finite set $\{g_1,\dots,g_d\}\subset\Gamma^N$ and radii $\{\delta_1,\dots,\delta_d\}$ so that the balls $B_i=B(v_i,\delta_i)\cap V^1$ cover V^1, and (4.6) is satisfied for each g_i with $y\in B(v_i,2\delta_i)$. Note this implies that for $1\le i\le d$, the arctangent a is defined and bounded away from zero on the set $g_iB(v_i,2\delta_i)$.

Finally, replacing f with a positive multiple if necessary, we can assume that $\mu>3$, and for all $1\le i\le d$ both $\mu>|g_i|$ and $\mu>|g_i^{-1}|$. By our choice of metric on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and (4.1), we also have both $|f|<2\mu$ and $|f^{-1}|<2\mu$.

§5. Exponential growth on the expanding manifold.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the groupoid given in Theorem 2 and Γ the linear group of Jacobians at 0. Assume that Γ is not amenable. Let $f\in\Gamma^{N+1}$ and $\{g_1,\dots,g_d\}\subset\Gamma^N$ be chosen as in §4. Choose $\gamma\in\mathcal{G}$ with $J_0\gamma=f$, and for each $1\le i\le d$ choose $\gamma_i\in\mathcal{G}$ with $J_0\gamma_i=g_i$. For notational convenience, set $\gamma_0=\gamma$. Let $D\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ be an open neighborhood of 0 on which all of the γ_i are defined. Let $\mathcal{G}_0$ denote the subgroupoid of $\mathcal{G}$ generated by the set $\{\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_d\}$. We will show $\mathcal{G}_0$ has a continuum of orbits with exponential growth.

By the stable manifold theorem (cf. [11]) applied to γ^{-1}, there is a connected submanifold $S \subset D$ with $0 \in S$, the tangent space T_0S at 0 is equal V, and γ^{-1} is uniformly contracting on S. In particular, $\gamma^{-1}S \subset S$. By a change of coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we can assume S is an open neighborhood of 0 in V.

Before entering into the details of the proof of Theorem 2, a brief overview of the argument may help the reader. We first define an open cone $C \subset S$ whose points satisfy $\lim_{k\to\infty} \pi(\gamma^{-k}y) \in V^1$ and $|\gamma^{-k}y| < \mu^{-k/2}$. For an appropriate constant e_0, we set $y_p = \gamma^{-pe_0}y$ for a given $y \in C$. For each $p > 0$ we construct a subset $\mathcal{R}_p \subset \mathcal{G}_0$ consisting of 2^p words of length $\leq m_0 \cdot p$, such that the linear parts of the words in $\mathcal{R}_p$ move y_p to 2^p distinct points. We furthermore obtain an exponentially decreasing lower bound on the distance between these 2^p points. Using Taylor's theorem for C^2-maps, and for e_0 sufficiently large so that y_p is sufficiently small, we conclude that $\mathcal{R}_p \cdot y_p$ consists of 2^p distinct points. The last remark is that in constructing $\mathcal{R}_p$, we use a version of the "ping-pong" lemma of [5]. In our version, the orbits are repeatedly returned to the attractor V by applying high powers of f, and are then scattered back into $\mathbb{R}^n - (V \cup W)$ by the elements of $\{g_1, \ldots, g_d\}$. Thus, all of the orbits we build concentrate on the subspace V, and one does not have the bilateral symmetry inherent in the method of Tits. Instead of 2 players, one can think of this as an instructor with many students.

Recall that for a C^2-diffeomorphism ϕ with $\phi(0) = 0$, Taylor's Theorem gives an estimate on the spherical error between ϕ and $J_0\phi$, and the estimate is linear in y:

For all $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, there exists $k(\phi, \varepsilon) > 0$ so that

$$\frac{|\phi y - J_0\phi y|}{|y|} < k(\phi, \epsilon) \cdot |y| \quad \text{for all } |y| < \epsilon. \tag{5.1}$$

As an immediate consequence we have:

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{R} = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_p\}$ be a set of local C^2-diffeomorphisms of an open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $\phi_i(0) = 0$ for all i. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small so that there exists constants $k(\phi_i, \epsilon)$ for which* (5.1) *holds. Then for $K = \max_{i \leq i \leq p} k(\phi_i, \epsilon)$ and $y \in U$ with $|y| < \epsilon$, suppose that*

$$|J_0\phi_i y - J_0\phi_j y| > 2 \cdot K \cdot |y|^2 \quad \textit{for all} \quad i \neq j.$$

Then the set $\mathcal{R} \cdot y = \{\phi_i y \mid 1 \leq i \leq p\}$ consist of p distinct points. □

LEMMA 5.3. *There exists $\delta > 0$ and an integer $b > 0$ such that $|\gamma^{-b}y| < \mu^{-b/2}|y|$ for all $y \in S$ with $|y| < \delta$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 there exists an integer $b>0$ for which $|f^{-b} \mid V|<\mu^{-3b/4}$. Choose $\delta>0$ sufficiently small so that

$$\delta \cdot k(\epsilon, \gamma^{-b})<\{\mu^{-b/2}-\mu^{-3b/4}\}$$

where ϵ is such that (5.1) holds for γ^{-b}, and $\delta<\epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\gamma^{-b}y| &\leq |\gamma^{-b}y-f^{-b}y|+|f^{-b}y|\\ &\leq |y|^2 \cdot k(\epsilon, \gamma^{-b})+\mu^{-3b/4}|y|\\ &\leq \mu^{-b/2}|y|. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

For b, δ as in (5.3) we replace f, γ and μ with f^b, γ^b and μ^b, so we can assume:

$$|\gamma^{-p}y|<\mu^{-p/2}|y| \quad \text{for all} \quad p>0,\ y \in S,\ |y|<\delta \tag{5.4}$$

Choose $\epsilon>0$ to satisfy $\epsilon<\delta$, $\epsilon<\mu^{-1}$ and there exists a constant K_0 so that for all $\phi \in \{\gamma, \gamma^{-1}, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d\}$, condition (5.1) holds for all $|y|<\epsilon$ and $k(\phi, \epsilon)=K_0$. Then set

$$C=\{y \in S \mid 0<|y|<\epsilon\}$$

These remarks are then summarized by

COROLLARY 5.5. *$\gamma^{-1}C \subset C$, and for all $p>0$ and $y \in C$,*

$$|\gamma^{-p}y|<\mu^{-p/2}\cdot\epsilon. \quad \square$$

Set $K=\max\{K_0, 2\mu\}$ and $\epsilon_p=\min\{\epsilon, K^{-p}\}$. For a word $\phi=\phi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \phi_p$ of length $\leq p$ with each $\phi_i \in \{\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_d\}$, we estimate the constant $k(\phi, \epsilon_p)$ required for (5.1):

LEMMA 5.6. *For ϕ, K and ϵ_p as above*

$$|\phi y-J_0\phi y|<K^{2p}|y|^2 \quad \textit{for} \quad |y|<\epsilon_p \tag{5.7}$$

Thus, $K(\phi, \epsilon_p) \leq K^{2p}$.

Proof. For $p=1$, (5.7) follows from the definition of K. Assume (5.7) holds for

ϕ of length $(p-1)$, and set $\tilde{\phi}_2=\phi_2\circ\cdots\circ\phi_p$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi y-J_0\phi y|&=|\phi_1\circ\tilde{\phi}_2y-J_0\phi_1\circ J_0\tilde{\phi}_2y|\\&\leq|y|^2\cdot\{|J_0\phi_1|\cdot K^{2p-2}+|J_0\tilde{\phi}_2|^2\cdot K\\&\quad+2\,|J_0\tilde{\phi}_2|\,|y|\,K^{2p-1}+|y|^2\,K^{4p-3}\}.\end{aligned}$$

From $|J_0\phi_1|\leq K$, $|J_0\tilde{\phi}_2|<K^{p-1}$ and $|y|<K^{-p}$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi y-J_0\phi y|&<|y|^2\{K^{2p-1}+K^{2p-1}+2K^{2p-2}+K^{2p-3}\}\\&=|y|^2\cdot K^{2p-1}\left\{2+\frac{2}{K^2}+\frac{1}{K^3}\right\}\\&\leq|y|^2\cdot K^{2p}\end{aligned}$$

since $K>\mu>3$. □

LEMMA 5.8. *For* $g\in\{f,f^{-1},g_1,\ldots,g_d\}$ *and all* $u_1,u_2\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $|gu_1|>\frac{1}{2\mu}|u_1|$ *and* $|gu_1-gu_2|>\frac{1}{2\mu}|u_1-u_2|$.

Proof. $|g|<2\mu$, so $|gw|<2\mu\cdot|w|$ and hence for $w=g^{-1}u_1$ or $w=g^{-1}(u_1-u_2)$ we get the estimate. □

Recall that $\{B_i=B(v_i,\delta_i)\mid 1\leq i\leq d\}$ is the covering of V^1 by closed balls in V defined at the end of §4. By compactness of the sets $g_iB_i(v_i,2\delta_i)$ and the continuity of the arctangent function a on them, there exists constants $0<c_1<c_2$ for which $c_1<a(g_iy)<c_2$ for all $1\leq i\leq d$ and $y\in B(v_i,2\delta_i)$.

Set $X=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid |x|=1$ and $c_1\leq a(x)\leq c_2\}$.

For $\delta>0$, set

$$\begin{aligned}A(\delta)&=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid |x|=1\quad\text{and}\quad a(x)<\delta\}\\A_i(\delta)&=\{x\in A(\delta)\mid x=v+w,\ v\in B_i,\ w\in W\}\end{aligned}$$

Note the sets $\{A_1(\delta),\ldots,A_d(\delta)\}$ cover $A(\delta)$. Choose $\delta_0>0$ sufficiently small so that for all $1\leq i\leq d$, $g_iA_i(2\delta_0)\subset X$. Lemma 4.4 implies there exists an integer e for which $f^p(X)\subset A(\delta_0)$ for all $p\geq e$. Set $m_0=2d\cdot e+1$, and define

$$c_1=\underset{\substack{y,z\in X\\1\leq i<j\leq 2d}}{\text{infimum}}|\pi f^{i\cdot e}y-\pi f^{j\cdot e}z|.$$

Choose $e_0 > 1$ so that for all $p \geq 1$,

$$\mu^{(2m_0 - e_0/2)p} < \frac{c_1}{2K^{2p} \cdot \epsilon \cdot 2^{2 \cdot p \cdot m_0}} \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$\mu^{e_0} > K^4. \tag{5.10}$$

For all non-zero $y \in C$ we now show the groupoid $\mathcal{R}_0$ has exponential orbit growth on y. Fix a choice of $0 \neq y \in C$. For $p > 0$ set $y_p = \gamma^{-p \cdot e_0} y$. By Lemma 5.5 and (5.10) we have $|y_p| < K^{-2p}\epsilon < \epsilon_p$, and then (5.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 2\,|y_p|^2\, K^{2p} &\leq 2K^{2p} \cdot |y_p| \cdot \mu^{-p \cdot e_0/2} \cdot \epsilon \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{(2\mu)^{2p \cdot m_0}}\, |y_p|. \end{aligned}$$

We can now define the set $\mathcal{R}_p$, which consists of 2^p words of length $\leq p \cdot m_0$ in $\mathcal{R}_0$. The set $\mathcal{R}_p$ will be chosen so that for all $\phi \neq \psi \in \mathcal{R}_p$,

$$|J_0 \phi y_p - J_0 \psi y_p| > \frac{c_1}{(2\mu)^{2p \cdot m_0}}\, |y_p|. \tag{5.12}$$

By Lemma 5.2 and (5.11), the set $\mathcal{R}_p y_p = \{\phi y_p \mid \phi \in \mathcal{R}_p\}$ consists of 2^p distinct points. Thus, $\mathcal{R}_p \cdot \gamma^{-pe_0}$ consists of words of length $\leq (m_0 + e_0)p$, and applied to y yields 2^p distinct orbits. Since $y_p \to 0$, this will finish the proof of Theorem 2.

Fix p, choose i_0 with $\pi y_p \in B(i_0)$, and consider the $2d$ points

$$F_1 = \{\pi f^{e \cdot k} g_{i_0} y_p \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2d\} \subset A(\delta_0).$$

There exists an integer i_1 with $1 \leq i_1 \leq d$ for which $Q_1 = F_1 \cap A_{i_1}$ contains at least 2 points.

Now proceed inductively, and suppose i_{q-1}, F_{q-1} and Q_{q-1} have been chosen with $Q_{q-1} = F_{q-1} \cap A_{i_{q-1}}$ and $\# Q_{q-1} \geq 2^{q-1}$. The set

$$F_q = \{\pi f^{e \cdot k} g_{i_{q-1}} Q_{q-1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2d\} \subset A(\delta_0)$$

consists of at least $2d \cdot 2^{q-1}$ points, since

$$g_{i_{q-1}} A_{i_{q-1}} \subset X \quad \text{and} \quad f^{e \cdot k}(X) \cap f^{e \cdot j}(X) = \emptyset \quad \text{for} \quad j \neq k.$$

Therefore, there exists i_q with $Q_q = F_q \cap A_{i_q}$ containing at least 2^q points. This completes the inductive step.

Let F_p be the set obtained in this inductive fashion; let $\mathcal{R}_p$ be the set of words in $\{\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_d\}$ corresponding to the words in $\{f, g_1, \ldots, g_d\}$ which are applied to y_p to obtain the points in F_p. A typical element of $\mathcal{R}_p$ has the form

$$\phi = \gamma^{e \cdot k_p} \circ \gamma_{i_{p-1}} \circ \gamma^{e \cdot k_{p-1}} \circ \gamma_{i_{p-2}} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{i_0}$$

for some integers $1 \leq k_1, \ldots, k_p \leq 2d$. The length of ϕ is at most $p \cdot m_0$ with respect to the set $\{\gamma_0, \ldots, \gamma_p\}$, and $\mathcal{R}_p y_p$ consists of at least $2d \cdot 2^{p-1} \geq 2^p$ points, once we have established the estimate (5.12).

Let $\phi \neq \psi \in \mathcal{R}_p$ and let $g = J_0\phi$, $h = J_0\psi$ be their linear parts. There are integers $1 \leq k_1, \ldots, k_p \leq 2d$ and $1 \leq j_1, \ldots, j_p \leq 2d$ for which

$$g = f^{e \cdot j_p} g_{i_{p-1}} \cdots f^{e \cdot j_1} g_{i_0}$$
$$h = f^{e \cdot k_p} g_{i_{p-1}} \cdots f^{e \cdot k_1} g_{i_0}$$

Let q be the largest integer such that $j_{q-1} \neq k_{q-1}$. Set

$$\xi = f^{e \cdot k_p} g_{i_{p-1}} \cdots f^{e \cdot k_q} g_{i_{q-1}}$$
$$g' = \xi^{-1} g, \; h' = \xi^{-1} h$$

Apply Lemma 5.8 at most $q \cdot m_0$ times to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |gy_p - hy_p| &= |\xi(g'y_p - h'y_p)| \\ &\geq (2\mu)^{-qm_0} |g'y_p - h'y_p|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, g' and h' have length $\leq pm_0$, so Lemma 5.8 again yields

$$\min \{|g'y_p|, |h'y_p|\} \geq (2\mu)^{-pm_0} |y_p|.$$

Hence,

$$|g'y_p - h'y_p| \geq (2\mu)^{-pm_0} |y_p| \cdot |\pi g'y_p - \pi h'y_p| \geq (2\mu)^{-pm_0} \cdot |y_p| \cdot c_1$$

and so

$$|gy_p - hy_p| \geq (2\mu)^{-2pm_0} |y_p| \cdot c_1. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

[1] J.-P. Conze and Y. Guivarc'h, *Remarques sur la distalité dans les espaces vectoriels*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris t. *278* (1974), 1083–1086.
[2] G. Duminy, *L'invariant de Godbillon-Vey d'un feuilletage se localise dans les feuilles ressort*, preprint, Univ. de Lille (1982).
[3] A. Haefliger, *Structure feuilletées et cohomologie à valeur dans un faisceau de groupoides*, Comment. Math. Helv. *32* (1958), 248–329.
[4] ——, *Differentiable Cohomology*, Course given at C.I.M.E. (1976).
[5] P. de la Harpe, *Free groups in linear groups*, L'Enseignement Mathèmatique *29* (1983), 129–144.
[6] G. Hector and U. Hirsch, *Introduction to the Geometry of Foliations, A and B*, Aspects in Mathematics volumes 1 (1981) and 3 (1983), Friedr. Vieweg und Sohn.
[7] M. Hirsch and W. Thurston, *Foliated bundles, invariant measures and flat bundles*, Annals of Math. *101* (1975), 369–390.
[8] J. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.
[9] S. Hurder, *Global invariants for measured foliations*, Transactions A.M.S. *280* (1983), 367–391.
[10] S. Hurder and A. Katok, *Ergodic theory and Weil measures of foliations*, preprint (1984), Math. Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, California.
[11] M. C. Irwin, *A new proof of the pseudo-stable manifold theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. *21* (1980), 557–566.
[12] F. Kamber and P. Tondeur, *Flat Manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Math. 67, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1968.
[13] ——, *Foliated bundles and characteristic classes*, Lecture Notes in Math. 493, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1975.
[14] J. Milnor, *A note on curvature and fundamental group*, J. Diff. Geom. *2* (1968), 1–7.
[15] C. C. Moore, *Amenable subgroups of semi-simple groups and proximal flows*, Israel J. Math. *34* (1979), 121–138.
[16] J. Plante, *Foliations with measure preserving holonomy*, Annals of Math. *102* (1975), 327–361.
[17] H. Shulman and D. Tischler, *Leaf invariants for foliations and the van Est isomorphism*, J. Diff. Geom. *11* (1976), 535–546.
[18] J. Stasheff, *Continuous cohomology of groups and classifying spaces*, Bulletin A.M.S. *84* (1978), 513–530.
[19] J. Tits, *Free subgroups in linear groups*, J. of Algebra *20* (1972), 250–270.
[20] R. J. Zimmer, *Induced and amenable ergodic actions of Lie groups*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. *11* (1978), 407–428.

Mathematical Science, Research Institute 2223 Fulton St., Berkeley CA 94720 USA

Received December 27, 1983/December 14, 1984