

# Stable Cantor dynamics

Steve Hurder, University of Illinois at Chicago

Joint work with

Olga Lukina, University of Vienna

Three approaches to the same subject:

- Equicontinuous Cantor actions  
Dynamical systems approach
- Group actions on rooted trees  
Geometric group theory approach
- Clopen subset chains for profinite groups  
Descriptive set theory approach

Each approach has its own language.

The approach of Lukina & myself is a sort of “creole”, combining language and techniques from each of these three areas.

## Cantor actions

- $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group
- $\mathfrak{X}$  is a Cantor space
- $\Phi: \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is a minimal continuous action
- A Cantor action  $\Phi: \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is equicontinuous if for some metric  $d_{\mathfrak{X}}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$d_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y) < \delta \implies d_{\mathfrak{X}}(\Phi(g)(x), \Phi(g)(y)) < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } g \in \Gamma.$$

When  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  then a minimal equicontinuous Cantor action  $\Phi: \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is conjugate to a classical odometer.

For a general group  $\Gamma$ , the action is called a (sub) odometer in

- [Cortez & Petite, *J. London Math Soc.*, 2008]
- [Cortez & Medynets, *J. London Math Soc.*, 2016]
- [Li, *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems*, 2018]

General approach via group chains:  $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_\ell \mid \ell \geq 0\}$

$\Gamma_{\ell+1} \subset \Gamma_\ell$  is proper inclusion with finite index.

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0 \supset \Gamma_1 \supset \Gamma_2 \supset \Gamma_3 \cdots$$

Each quotient  $X_\ell = \Gamma/\Gamma_\ell$  is a finite set with left  $\Gamma$ -action.

The Cantor space is

$$\mathfrak{X} = \varprojlim \{\Gamma_0/\Gamma_{\ell+1} \longrightarrow \Gamma_0/\Gamma_\ell\} \subset \prod_{\ell > 0} X_\ell$$

Induces left  $\Gamma$ -action  $\Phi$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  which is minimal and equicontinuous.

**Problem:** How to organize and classify Cantor actions?

Topology of Cantor space  $\mathfrak{X}$  is generated by clopen subsets:  
 $U$  is closed and open. Non-empty clopen  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$  is adapted if the  
 return times to  $U$  form a subgroup

$$\Gamma_U = \{g \in \Gamma \mid \Phi(g)(U) = U\} \subset \Gamma$$

**Definition:**  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  a Cantor action. A properly descending chain  
 of clopen sets  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\ell \subset \mathfrak{X} \mid \ell \geq 0\}$  is said to be an  
adapted neighborhood basis at  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  for the action  $\Phi$ , if  
 $x \in U_{\ell+1} \subset U_\ell$  for all  $\ell \geq 0$  with  $\bigcap_{\ell > 0} U_\ell = \{x\}$ , and each  $U_\ell$  is  
 adapted to the action  $\Phi$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  be a Cantor action. Given  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ , there  
 exists an adapted neighborhood basis  $\mathcal{U}$  at  $x$  for the action  $\Phi$ .

The defining group chain is given by  $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_\ell \equiv \Gamma_{U_\ell} \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ .

## Isomorphism (Rigidity Theory)

**Definition:** Cantor actions  $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \Gamma_1, \Phi_1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{X}_2, \Gamma_2, \Phi_2)$  are said to be isomorphic if there is a homeomorphism  $h: \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$  and group isomorphism  $\Theta: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  so that

$$\Phi_1(g) = h^{-1} \circ \Phi_1(\Theta(g)) \circ h \in \mathbf{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X}_1) \text{ for all } g \in \Gamma_1 . \quad (1)$$

## Return equivalence (Dynamical systems)

**Definition:** Equicontinuous Cantor actions  $\Phi_1: \Gamma_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\Phi_2: \Gamma_2 \times \mathfrak{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$  are return equivalent if there exist adapted clopen subsets  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $V \subset \mathfrak{X}_2$ , such that the restricted actions  $\Phi_{1,U}: \Gamma_{1,U} \times U \rightarrow U$  and  $\Phi_{2,V}: \Gamma_{2,V} \times V \rightarrow V$  are isomorphic.

This is weaker than isomorphism, even for  $U = \mathfrak{X}$ .

It loses information about the kernel of the action map

$$\Phi_0: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$$



## Continuous orbit equivalence ( $C^*$ -algebras)

**Definition:** Let  $(\mathfrak{X}_1, \Gamma_1, \Phi_1)$  and  $(\mathfrak{X}_2, \Gamma_2, \Phi_2)$  be Cantor actions. A continuous orbit equivalence between the actions is a homeomorphism  $h: \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$  which is an orbit equivalence, and satisfies the locally constant properties:

1. for each  $x \in \mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $g \in \Gamma_1$ , there exists  $\alpha(g, x) \in \Gamma_2$  and an open set  $x \in U_x \subset \mathfrak{X}_1$  s.t.  $\Phi_2(\alpha(g, x)) \circ h|_{U_x} = h \circ \Phi_1(g)|_{U_x}$ ;
2. for each  $y \in \mathfrak{X}_2$  and  $k \in \Gamma_2$ , there exists  $\beta(k, y) \in \Gamma_1$  and an open set  $y \in V_y \subset \mathfrak{X}_2$  s.t.  $\Phi_1(\beta(k, y)) \circ h|_{V_y} = h \circ \Phi_2(k)|_{V_y}$ .

The functions  $\alpha: \Gamma_1 \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  and  $\beta: \Gamma_2 \times \mathfrak{X}_2 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$  are continuous, as the groups  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  have the discrete topology, but need not be cocycles over the actions.

- Renault showed that COE is basic notion for isomorphism of cross-product  $C^*$ -algebras with Cartan subalgebra.

## Diagonal Odometers

- $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^k$  for  $k \geq 1$
- Choose sequence of integer vectors  $\vec{n}^\ell = (n_1^\ell, \dots, n_k^\ell)$ ,  $n_i^\ell > 1$
- Set  $m_i^\ell = n_1^\ell \cdot n_2^\ell \cdots n_k^\ell$
- $\Gamma_\ell = \{(m_1^\ell n_1, \dots, m_k^\ell n_k) \mid (n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k\}$

## Random Odometers

- $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^k$  for  $k \geq 1$
- Choose sequence of integer matrices  $A_\ell \in \mathbf{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\det A_\ell > 1$
- $\Gamma_\ell = \{A_\ell A_{\ell-1} \cdots A_1 \cdot \vec{n} \mid \vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^k\}$

In both cases, the inverse limit  $\mathfrak{X}$  is profinite group.

For  $k = 1, 2$  classified by Giordano, Putnam & Skau [2017]

## Renormalizable

A countable group  $\Gamma$  is finitely non-co-Hopfian if there exists a self-embedding  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  whose image is a proper subgroup of finite index.  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is called a renormalization of  $\Gamma$

If  $\Gamma$  admits a renormalization, then it is said to be renormalizable.

The renormalization group chain  $\mathcal{G}_\varphi = \{\Gamma_\ell = \varphi^\ell(\Gamma) \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ .

Yields equicontinuous Cantor action  $\Phi_\varphi: \Gamma \times X_\varphi \rightarrow X_\varphi$

$\mathcal{G}_\varphi$  is a scale for  $\Gamma$  if  $K(\mathcal{G}_\varphi) \equiv \bigcap_{\ell > 0} \Gamma_\ell$  is a finite group.

**Conjecture:** [Benjamini, Nekrashevych & Pete] If  $\Gamma$  admits a renormalization which defines a scale, then  $\Gamma$  is virtually nilpotent.

## Heisenberg group:

$$\Gamma = \left\{ [x, y, z] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

### Example 1:

- Let  $m > 1$ . Define  $\varphi_1[x, y, z] = [mx, my, m^2z]$
- $X_{\varphi_1}$  is a profinite Heisenberg group,
- $\Phi_{\varphi_1}$  is left multiplication by  $\Gamma$ .

### Example 2:

- $p, q > 1$  distinct primes. Define  $\varphi_2[x, y, z] = [px, qy, pqz]$
- $X_{\varphi_2} \cong \{[x, y, z] \mid x \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p, y \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_q, z \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_{pq}\}$ .
- $\Phi_{\varphi_2}$  is left multiplication by  $\Gamma$ .

- Equicontinuous Cantor action  $\Phi: \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , same as homomorphism  $\Phi_0: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$
- $\widehat{\Gamma} = \overline{\Phi_0(\Gamma)} \subset \mathbf{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$  - closure in uniform topology
- $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is separable profinite group, compact and totally disconnected
- $\widehat{\Phi}: \widehat{\Gamma} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is transitive equicontinuous action
- For  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ , define  $\mathcal{D} = \{\widehat{g} \in \widehat{\Gamma} \mid \widehat{\Phi}(\widehat{g})(x) = x\}$
- $\mathcal{D}$  is called the discriminant of the action  $\Phi$
- Isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{D}$  is independent of choice of  $x$  and invariant of isomorphism of actions.

- If  $\mathcal{D}$  is the trivial group, then  $\mathfrak{X} \cong \widehat{\Gamma}$  is a profinite group, and the action of  $\Phi$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  is given by left multiplication on  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ .
- For  $\Gamma$  abelian,  $\mathcal{D}$  is always trivial.

Dynamics of action are studied using structures of profinite groups. If action is effective then it is fixed-point free.

- There are fixed-point free actions for which  $\mathcal{D}$  is non-trivial.
- Study properties of equicontinuous actions with  $\mathcal{D}$  non-trivial.
- $\mathcal{D}$  acts via adjoint on  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ :  $\mathbf{Ad}(\widehat{h})(\widehat{g}) = \widehat{h}^{-1} \widehat{g} \widehat{h}$ ,  $\widehat{h} \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\widehat{g} \in \widehat{\Gamma}$

**Problem:** Study properties of adjoint  $\mathbf{Ad}: \mathcal{D} \times \widehat{\Gamma} \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}$ .

For an equicontinuous Cantor action  $\Phi: \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$

1. (Dyer Thesis 2016)  $\mathcal{D}$  can be a Cantor group for a Cantor action  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  when  $\Gamma$  is 3-dimensional Heisenberg group.
2. ([DHL2016]) Every finite group and every separable profinite group can be realized as  $\mathcal{D}$  for a Cantor action by a torsion-free, finite index subgroup of  $\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $n \geq 3$ .
3. ([Lukina2018a, Lukina2018b])  $\mathcal{D}$  can be wide-ranging for arboreal representations of absolute Galois groups of number fields and function fields.

Cantor action  $\Phi: \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$

- is effective, or *faithful*, if  $\Phi_0: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Homeo}(\mathfrak{X})$  has trivial kernel.
- is free if for all  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $g \in \Gamma$ ,  $g \cdot x = x$  implies that  $g = e$
- isotropy group of  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  is  $\Gamma_x = \{g \in \Gamma \mid g \cdot x = x\}$
- $\text{Fix}(g) = \{x \in \mathfrak{X} \mid g \cdot x = x\}$ , and isotropy set

$$\text{Iso}(\Phi) = \{x \in \mathfrak{X} \mid \exists g \in \Gamma, g \neq id, g \cdot x = x\} = \bigcup_{e \neq g \in \Gamma} \text{Fix}(g)$$

- is topologically free if  $\text{Iso}(\Phi)$  is meager in  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

If  $\text{Iso}(\Phi)$  meager, then  $\text{Iso}(\Phi)$  has empty interior.



## Quasi-analytic (General topological actions)

**Definition:** An action  $\Phi: H \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , where

- $H$  is a topological group and
- $\mathfrak{X}$  is a Cantor space

is quasi-analytic if for each clopen set  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$ ,  $g \in H$

- if  $\Phi(g)(U) = U$  and the restriction  $\Phi(g)|_U$  is the identity map on  $U$ , then  $\Phi(g)$  acts as the identity on all of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

For  $H$  a countable group, this is equivalent to topologically free.

## Locally quasi-analytic (Equicontinuous Cantor actions)

**Definition:** An action  $\Phi: H \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , where

- $H$  is a topological group and
- $\mathfrak{X}$  is a Cantor space

is locally quasi-analytic if there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

- for any adapted set  $U \subset \mathfrak{X}$  with  $\text{diam}(U) < \epsilon$ ,
- for any adapted subset  $V \subset U$ ,
- $g \in H$  satisfies  $\Phi(g)(V) = V$  and the restriction  $\Phi(g)|_V$  is the identity map on  $V$ , then  $\Phi(g)$  acts as the identity on all of  $U$ .

**Definition:** An equicontinuous Cantor action  $\Phi: H \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is stable if the associated profinite action  $\widehat{\Phi}: \widehat{\Gamma} \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is locally quasi-analytic. The action is said to be wild otherwise.

Equicontinuous Cantor action  $\Phi: \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  defined by group chain  $\mathcal{G} = \{\Gamma_\ell \mid \ell \geq 0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X} = \varprojlim \{\Gamma_0/\Gamma_{\ell+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_0/\Gamma_\ell\}$ .

For  $k \geq 0$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} U_k &= \{(g_0, g_1, g_2, \dots) \in \mathfrak{X} \mid g_i = e \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq k\} \\ &= \varprojlim \{p_{\ell+1}: \Gamma_k/\Gamma_{\ell+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_k/\Gamma_\ell \mid \ell \geq k\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a clopen subset of  $X$  adapted to the action  $\Phi$ , with stabilizer subgroup  $\Gamma_{U_k} = \Gamma_k$ . Define

$$\hat{U}_k = \{\hat{g} \in \hat{\Gamma} \mid \hat{\Phi}(\hat{g})(U_k) = U_k\}$$

which is a clopen set in  $\hat{\Gamma}$ , and  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{\ell > 0} \hat{U}_\ell$ .

$$\mathcal{K}^{(k)} = \ker \left\{ \widehat{\Phi}^{(k)} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{(k)} \subset \mathbf{Homeo}(U_k) \right\}$$
$$C(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{K}^{(0)} \subset \mathcal{K}^{(1)} \subset \mathcal{K}^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{K}^{(3)} \subset \dots$$

**Proposition:**  $\Phi$  is stable iff the chain has an upper bound;  
i.e. there exists  $k_0 \geq 0$  such that  $k > k_0$  implies  $\mathcal{K}^{(k)} = \mathcal{K}^{(k_0)}$ .

**Definition:** If  $\Phi$  is stable, then  $\mathcal{D}^s \equiv \widehat{\Phi}^{(k)}(\mathcal{D}) \cong \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{K}_k$  for  $k \geq k_0$ .  
This is called the stable discriminant for the action.

**Definition:** An equicontinuous Cantor action  $\Phi: \Gamma \times \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is wild if the chain  $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{K}_2 \subset \dots\}$  does not stabilize.

- The examples of group actions on trees generated by automata studied by Nekrashevych, Bartholdi, Grigorchuk *et al* typically induce wild actions on the boundary of the trees.
- A torsion-free, finite index subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $n \geq 3$  has uncountably many wild equicontinuous Cantor actions which are pairwise not return equivalent - see [HL2017].

Idea is to use isomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})} \cong \mathbf{SL}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \cong \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbf{SL}(n, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$$

- The dynamical properties of equicontinuous Cantor actions are a lot more mysterious than one might expect.
- Analyze the cases:
  - ★ action is stable;
  - ★  $\Gamma$  is virtually nilpotent;
  - ★  $\Gamma$  is renormalizable.
- Classification of wild actions is mostly unknown. Closely related to presence of non-Hausdorff elements for the action of  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

## Stable actions

**Theorem [HL2018]:** The stable property is invariant under continuous orbit equivalence.

**Theorem [HL2019b]:** Let  $(\mathfrak{X}, \Gamma, \Phi)$  be a nilpotent Cantor action. Then the action is stable.

**Theorem [HLvW2020]:** The Cantor action  $\Phi_\varphi: \Gamma \times X_\varphi \rightarrow X_\varphi$  associated to a renormalization  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  is quasi-analytic. Hence, if the action  $\Phi_\varphi$  is also effective, then it is topologically free.

## Rigidity

**Theorem [HL2018,HL2019b]:** Let  $\Phi_1: \Gamma_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_1$  be a stable equicontinuous Cantor action. Let  $\Phi_2: \Gamma_2 \times \mathfrak{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$  be a Cantor action which is continuously orbit equivalent to  $\Phi_1$ .

Then the action  $\Phi_2$  is equicontinuous and stable, and the actions  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are return equivalent.

- The dynamics of a stable equicontinuous Cantor action is essentially preserved by continuous orbit equivalence.



## Nilpotent actions

A finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group  $\Gamma$  has many special properties:

- ★  $\Gamma$  is Noetherian (ascending group chains stabilize)
- ★ The profinite completion  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is nilpotent and torsion-free.

**Theorem [HL2019b]:** Let  $\Phi_1: \Gamma_1 \times \mathfrak{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_1$  be an equicontinuous Cantor action with  $\Gamma$  virtually nilpotent. Suppose that the action is continuously orbit equivalent to a Cantor action  $\Phi_2: \Gamma_2 \times \mathfrak{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_2$ . Then

- The actions  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  are return equivalent.
- If the action  $\Phi_2$  is effective, then  $\Gamma_2$  is virtually nilpotent.
- The stable discriminants of the two actions are isomorphic.

## Renormalizable groups

Recall that  $\Gamma$  is renormalizable if there exists a self-embedding  $\varphi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  whose image is a proper subgroup of finite index.

This induces a very strong type of self-symmetry for the action  $\Phi_\varphi: \Gamma \times X_\varphi \rightarrow X_\varphi$ .

**Theorem [HLvL2020]:** Let  $\varphi$  be a renormalization of the finitely generated group  $\Gamma$ . Suppose that

$$K(\mathcal{G}_\varphi) = \bigcap_{l>0} \varphi^l(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}_\varphi = \bigcap_{n>0} \widehat{\varphi}_0^n(\widehat{\Gamma}_\varphi) \subset \widehat{\Gamma}_\varphi$$

are both finite groups, then

- $\Gamma$  is virtually nilpotent,
- If both groups are trivial, then  $\Gamma$  is nilpotent.

Key point in the proof is to use results by Colin Reid on self-embeddings of profinite groups:

*Endomorphisms of profinite groups*, **Groups Geom. Dyn.**, 8:553–564, 2014.

Extensive literature of profinite subgroups with self-embeddings.

One expects there are many more ideas in these works to exploit.

**Question:** What are the implications of the above results for the  $C^*$ -algebras associated to equicontinuous Cantor actions?

## References

- [DHL2015] J. Dyer, S. Hurder and O. Lukina, *The discriminant invariant of Cantor group actions*, **Topology and Its Applications**, 208 (2017), 64–92; arXiv:1509.06227.
- [DHL2016] J. Dyer, S. Hurder and O. Lukina, *Molino theory for matchbox manifolds*, **Pac. Jour. Math.**, 289 (2017), 91–151; arXiv:1610.03896.
- [HL2017] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, *Wild solenoids*, **Trans. A.M.S.**, 371 (2019), 4493–4533; arXiv:1702.03032
- [HL2018] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, *Orbit equivalence and classification of weak solenoids*, **Indiana Univ. Math. J.**, to appear; arXiv:1803.02098.
- [HL2019a] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, *Limit group invariants for non-free Cantor actions*, **Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems**, to appear; arXiv:1904.11072.
- [HL2019b] S. Hurder and O. Lukina, *Classification of nilpotent Cantor actions*, **under revision**; arXiv:1905.07740.
- [HLvL2020] S. Hurder, O. Lukina and W. Van Limbeek, *Cantor dynamics of renormalizable groups*, **submitted**; arXiv:2002.01565.
- [Lukina2018a] O. Lukina, *Arboreal Cantor actions*, **J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)**, 99:678–706, 2019; arXiv:1801.01440.
- [Lukina2018b] O. Lukina, *Galois groups and Cantor actions*, submitted; 2018, arXiv:1809.08475.

Thank you for your attention!