Classifying Matchbox Manifolds

Steven Hurder

University of Illinois at Chicago www.math.uic.edu/~hurder

Joint works with Alex Clark & Olga Lukina
University of Leicester



Why Matchbox Manifolds?

 L_0 is a connected, complete Riemannian manifold, "marked" with a metric, a net, a tiling, or other local structure.

"Compactify" this data by looking for a natural continua \mathfrak{M} in which L_0 embeds as a leaf of a "foliation" and respecting this local structure.

This is a non-commutative form of the classic "hull construction" for almost periodic potentials. $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{F})$ captures the essential recurrence for L_0 .

The topological and algebraic properties of $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{F})$ are then used to study problems about the marked data for L_0 : does L_0 embed as a leaf? how to classify the marking data on L_0 ? and so forth.



Matchbox manifolds

Definition: \mathfrak{M} is a C^r -foliated space if it admits a covering by foliated coordinate charts $\mathcal{U} = \{\varphi_i \colon U_i \to [-1,1]^n \times \mathfrak{T}_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ where \mathfrak{T}_i are compact metric spaces.

The transition functions are assumed to be C^r , for $1 \le r \le \infty$, along leaves, and the derivatives depend (uniformly) continuously on the transverse parameter.

Definition: An n-dimensional matchbox manifold is a continuum \mathfrak{M} which is a smooth foliated space with codimension zero and leaf dimension n. Essentially, same concept as laminations.

 \mathfrak{T}_i are totally disconnected $\iff \mathfrak{M}$ is a matchbox manifold

Why "Matchbox"?

Term "matchbox manifold" was introduced in early 1990's in papers by Aarts, Fokkink, Hagopian and Oversteegen.

Many types of "foliated objects" - look locally:

- Foliated Manifold, if $U \cong (-1,1)^n \times \mathbb{D}^q$
- Foliated Space, if $U \cong (-1,1)^n \times \mathfrak{X}$ where \mathfrak{X} is Polish
- Menger Manifold, if $U \cong Menger n$ -cube
- *Matchbox Manifold*, if $U \cong (-1,1)^n \times \mathfrak{T}$ where \mathfrak{T} is totally disconnected.

Foliated spaces are introduced in Moore & Schochet, and good discussion is in textbook "Foliations, I" by Candel & Conlon.



Remarks

 $\mathfrak M$ is *transitive* if there exists a dense leaf. $\mathfrak M$ is *minimal* if every leaf in $\mathfrak M$ is dense.

Lemma A homeomorphism $\phi \colon \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}'$ of matchbox manifolds must map leaves to leaves \Rightarrow is a foliated homeomorphism.

Proof: Leaves of $\mathcal{F} \iff$ path components of \mathfrak{M}

- A "smooth matchbox manifold" \mathfrak{M} is analogous to a compact manifold, with the pseudogroup dynamics of the foliation \mathcal{F} on the transverse fibers \mathfrak{T}_i representing fundamental groupoid data.
- The category of matchbox manifolds has aspects of both manifolds & algebraic systems.



First examples

- $L_0 = \mathbb{R}^n$ with a quasi-periodic tiling, \mathfrak{M} is the tiling space.
- L_0 is the thickening of some graph \mathcal{G} , and \mathfrak{M} is the graph matchbox manifold obtained by the Ghys-Kenyon construction applied to \mathcal{G} (see next talk by Olga Lukina.)
- $\mathfrak{M} \subset M$ is a minimal set in a compact foliated manifold M. Assume that \mathfrak{M} is "exceptional type" which means transversally is Cantor-like. Then each leaf $L_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}$ has closure \mathfrak{M} .

For codimension-one foliations, study of exceptional minimal sets was started in 1960's with work of Sacksteder; many of the themes for their study were introduced in Hector's Thesis in 1970.



More examples – weak solenoids

Let B_ℓ be compact, orientable manifolds of dimension $n \ge 1$ for $\ell \ge 0$, with orientation-preserving covering maps

$$\stackrel{\rho_{\ell+1}}{\longrightarrow} B_{\ell} \stackrel{\rho_{\ell}}{\longrightarrow} B_{\ell-1} \stackrel{\rho_{\ell-1}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\rho_{2}}{\longrightarrow} B_{1} \stackrel{\rho_{1}}{\longrightarrow} B_{0}$$

The p_{ℓ} are the *bonding maps* for the weak solenoid

$$S = \lim_{\leftarrow} \{ p_{\ell} \colon B_{\ell} \to B_{\ell-1} \} \subset \prod_{\ell=0}^{\infty} B_{\ell}$$

Proposition: S has natural structure of a matchbox manifold, with every leaf dense.

From Vietoris solenoids to McCord solenoids

Basepoints $x_{\ell} \in B_{\ell}$ with $p_{\ell}(x_{\ell}) = x_{\ell-1}$, set $G_{\ell} = \pi_1(B_{\ell}, x_{\ell})$.

There is a descending chain of groups and injective maps

$$\stackrel{p_{\ell+1}}{\longrightarrow} G_{\ell} \stackrel{p_{\ell}}{\longrightarrow} G_{\ell-1} \stackrel{p_{\ell-1}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{p_2}{\longrightarrow} G_1 \stackrel{p_1}{\longrightarrow} G_0$$

Set $q_{\ell} = p_{\ell} \circ \cdots \circ p_1 \colon B_{\ell} \longrightarrow B_0$.

Definition: S is a McCord solenoid for some fixed $\ell_0 \geq 0$, for all $\ell \geq \ell_0$ the image $G_\ell \to H_\ell \subset G_{\ell_0}$ is a normal subgroup of G_{ℓ_0} .

Theorem [McCord 1965] Let B_0 be an oriented smooth closed manifold. Then a McCord solenoid S is an orientable, homogeneous, equicontinuous smooth matchbox manifold.



Classifying weak solenoids

A weak solenoid is determined by the base manifold B_0 and the tower equivalence of the descending chain

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv \left\{ \stackrel{\rho_{\ell+1}}{\longrightarrow} G_{\ell} \stackrel{\rho_{\ell}}{\longrightarrow} G_{\ell-1} \stackrel{\rho_{\ell-1}}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{\rho_{2}}{\longrightarrow} G_{1} \stackrel{\rho_{1}}{\longrightarrow} G_{0} \right\}$$

Theorem: [Pontragin 1934; Baer 1937] For $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the homeomorphism types of McCord solenoids is uncountable.

Theorem:[Kechris 2000; Thomas2001] For $G_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$ with $k \geq 2$, the homeomorphism types of McCord solenoids is not classifiable, in the sense of Descriptive Set Theory.

The number of such is not just huge, but indescribably large.

Problems Tools & Techniques Results

Some open problems

Problem: When does a matchbox manifold $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{F})$ embed as an invariant set, for a C^r -foliation \mathcal{F}_0 of a compact manifold M?

For a the codimension-one canonical cut and project tiling spaces of \mathbb{R}^n , the associated matchbox manifold is a minimal set for a generalized Denjoy C^1 -foliation of a torus \mathbb{T}^{n+1} .

The embedding of $\mathfrak M$ into M is up to homeomorphism of $\mathfrak M$, which is a subtle point in realizing such examples in general, for $r \geq 2$.

See "Embedding matchbox manifolds" by Clark & Hurder [2009] for a discussion of issues involved. Basically, most solenoids over tori embed, while all other cases are unknown.



Problem: Describe and/or classify the topological dynamics of particular classes of matchbox manifolds. Given restrictions on the topological dynamics, can one classify those matchbox manifolds satisfying this restriction?

- e.g, we classify the equicontinuous matchbox manifolds.
- \bullet Find relations between particular types of topological dynamics and the C^r -embedding problem, to obtain a new approach to non-realization results of Ghys [1985]; Inaba, Nishimori, Takamura and Tsuchiya [1985]; and Attie & Hurder [1996].

Problem: Find characterizations of matchbox manifolds $(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{F})$ – in terms of algebraic, dynamical or topological invariants.

- ullet Homeo $(\mathfrak{M})=$ Homeo $(\mathfrak{M},\mathcal{F})$ all homeomorphisms
- Inner $(\mathfrak{M},\mathcal{F}) = \mathsf{Homeo}(\mathcal{F})$ leaf-preserving homeomorphisms
- ullet $\mathbf{Out}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathbf{Homeo}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{F})/\mathbf{Inner}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathcal{F})$ outer automorphisms

Problem: Study $Out(\mathfrak{M})$.

 $\mathbf{Out}(\mathfrak{M})$ captures many aspects of the space \mathfrak{M} – its topological, dynamical and algebraic properties. We discuss this more.

ifolds Problems Tools & Techniques Results

Pseudogroups

Covering of $\mathfrak M$ by foliation charts \Longrightarrow transversal $\mathcal T\subset \mathfrak M$ for $\mathcal F$ Holonomy of $\mathcal F$ on $\mathcal T\Longrightarrow$ compactly generated pseudogroup $\mathcal G_{\mathcal F}$:

- lacktriangleright relatively compact open subset $\mathcal{T}_0 \subset \mathcal{T}$ meeting all leaves of \mathcal{F}
- ▶ a finite set $\Gamma = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $\langle \Gamma \rangle = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}} | \mathcal{T}_0$;
- ▶ $\underline{g_i \colon D(g_i) \to R(g_i)}$ is the restriction of $\widetilde{g}_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$, $\overline{D(g)} \subset D(\widetilde{g}_i)$.

Dynamical properties of $\mathcal F$ formulated in terms of $\mathcal G_{\mathcal F}$; e.g.,

 \mathcal{F} has no leafwise holonomy if for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$, $x \in Dom(g)$, g(x) = x implies g|V = Id for some open neighborhood $x \in V \subset \mathcal{T}$.



Topological dynamics

Definition: \mathfrak{M} is an *equicontinuous matchbox manifold* if it admits some covering by foliation charts as above, such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ so that for all $h_{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ we have

$$x, x' \in D(h_{\mathcal{I}}) \text{ with } d_{\mathcal{T}}(x, x') < \delta \implies d_{\mathcal{T}}(h_{\mathcal{I}}(x), h_{\mathcal{I}}(c')) < \epsilon$$

Theorem: [Clark-Hurder 2010] Let \mathfrak{M} be an equicontinuous matchbox manifold. Then \mathfrak{M} is minimal.



Shape theory

The *shape* of a set $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is defined by a co-final descending chain $\{U_{\ell} \mid \ell \geq 1\}$ of open neighborhoods in Banach space \mathcal{B} ,

$$U_1\supset U_2\supset\cdots\supset U_\ell\supset\cdots\supset\mathfrak{M}$$
 ; $\bigcap_{\ell=1}^\infty\ U_\ell=\mathfrak{M}$

Such a tower is called a shape approximation to \mathfrak{M} .

Homeomorphism $h \colon \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}'$ induces maps $h_{\ell,\ell'} \colon U_{\ell} \to U'_{\ell'}$ of shape approximations.

Main technical result

Theorem: Let \mathfrak{M} be a transitive matchbox manifold with no leafwise holonomy. Then \mathfrak{M} has a shape approximation such that each U_ℓ admits a quotient map $\pi_\ell \colon U_\ell \to B_\ell$ for $\ell \geq 0$ where B_ℓ is a "branched n-manifold", covered by a leaf of \mathcal{F} .

Moreover, the system of induced maps $p_\ell \colon B_\ell \to B_{\ell-1}$ yields an inverse limit space homeomorphic to \mathfrak{M} .

- \bullet For $\mathfrak M$ a tiling space on $\mathbb R^n$, this is just the presentation of $\mathfrak M$ as inverse limit in usual methods.
- For \mathfrak{M} with foliation defined by free G-action and tiling on orbits, as in Benedetti & Gambaudo, same as their result.



Remarks on general case

For general \mathfrak{M} , the problem is to find good local product structures, which are stable under transverse perturbation. The leaves are not assumed to have flat structures, so this adds an extra level of difficulty, as compared to the methods in paper of Giordano, Matui, Hiroki, Putnam, & Skau: "Orbit equivalence for Cantor minimal \mathbb{Z}^d -systems", Invent. Math. 179 (2010)

The difficulties depends on the dimension:

- For n = 1, it is trivial.
- For n = 2, given a uniformly spaced net in L_0 , the volumes of triangles in the associated Delaunay triangulation in the plane are a priori bounded by the net spacing estimates.



• For $n \ge 3$, there are no a priori estimates on simplicial volumes, and the method becomes much more involved.

All solutions require some form of positivity restriction in the choice of the leafwise nets formed by a refined transversal, to control lack of transversality arguments due to the transversal geometry being "totally disconnected".

In terms of *leaf dimensions*, we have the fundamental observation:

$$1 \ll 2 \ll 3 < n$$

Detailed proofs and explanations of this method are in:

"Homogeneous matchbox manifolds" , by C & H, arXiv:1006.5482.

"Shape of matchbox manifolds", by C, H & S, to appear 2011.

Coding

Final method, motivated by technique of E. Thomas in 1970 paper for 1-dimensional matchbox manifolds.

Theorem: Suppose that \mathcal{F} is equicontinuous without leafwise holonomy. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a decomposition into disjoint clopen sets, for $k_{\epsilon} \gg 0$,

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{T}_{k_{\epsilon}}$$

such that $diam(\mathcal{T}_i) < \epsilon$, and the sets \mathcal{T}_i are permuted by the action of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Thus, we obtain a "good coding" of the orbits of the pseudogroup $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Equicontinuous matchbox manifolds

Definition: A matchbox manifold \mathfrak{M} is *equicontinuous* if its holonomy group consist of equicontinuous local homeomorphisms.

Theorem: [C & H, 2010] Let \mathfrak{M} be a equicontinuous matchbox manifold without holonomy. Then \mathfrak{M} is minimal, and homeomorphic to a weak solenoid.

Corollary: Let \mathfrak{M} be a equicontinuous matchbox manifold. Then \mathfrak{M} is homeomorphic to the suspension of an minimal action of a countable group on a Cantor space \mathbb{K} .

"Homogeneous matchbox manifolds" , by Clark & Hurder, 32 pages with 34 page appendix. arXiv:1006.5482.



Homogeneous matchbox manifolds

Definition: A matchbox manifold \mathfrak{M} is *homogeneous* if the group of homeomorphisms of \mathfrak{M} acts transitively.

Theorem: [C & H, 2010] Let \mathfrak{M} be a homogeneous matchbox manifold. Then \mathfrak{M} is equicontinuous, minimal, without holonomy; and \mathfrak{M} is homeomorphic to a McCord solenoid.

Solves generalization of conjecture by Bing [1960], previous cases: Thomas [1973]; Aarts, Hagopian, Oversteegen [1991]; Clark [2002].

Corollary: Let $\mathfrak M$ be a homogeneous matchbox manifold. Then $\mathfrak M$ is homeomorphic to the suspension of an minimal action of a countable group on a Cantor group $\mathbb K$.



Leeuwenbrug Program

Question: To what extent is an element of $Homeo(\mathfrak{M})$ determined by its restriction to a complete transversal \mathcal{T} to \mathcal{F} ?

Question': Let $\mathfrak{M},\mathfrak{M}'$ be matchbox manifolds of leaf dimension n, with transversals \mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}' and associated pseudogroups $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{G}'_{\mathcal{F}'}$. Given a homeomorphism $h\colon \mathcal{T}\to \mathcal{T}'$ which intertwines actions of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\mathcal{G}'_{\mathcal{F}'}$, when does there exists a homeomorphism $H\colon \mathfrak{M}\to \mathfrak{M}'$ which induces h?

Theorem: True for n = 1, i.e., for oriented flows.

J.M. Aarts and M. Martens, "Flows on one-dimensional spaces", Fund. Math., 131:3958, 1988.



co-Hopfian

Example of Alex Clark shows this is false for n = 2!

False even for solenoids built over a surface B_0 of higher genus.

The problem comes up from the fact that covers of the base B_0 need not be homeomorphic to the base.

Definition: A group Γ is *co-Hopfian* if every injective map $j \colon \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is surjective.

Definition: A compact manifold B is *co-Hopfian* if every self-covering $\pi: B \to B$ is a diffeomorphism.

For example, the torus \mathbb{T}^n is not co-Hopfian, while a surface B with genus at least 2 is co-Hopfian.



ψ -expansive matchbox manifolds

We want a version of this for solenoids and matchbox manifolds.

Definition: \mathfrak{M} is ψ -expansive if there exists a transversal $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathfrak{M}$, such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a homeomorphism $\psi \colon \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}$ such that $\psi(\mathcal{T}) \subset \mathcal{T}$ with diameter at most ϵ .

For example, if $\mathfrak M$ is the tiling space of a substitution dynamical system, then it is ψ -expansive.

Theorem: [C,H & L, 2011] Let $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M}'$ be equicontinuous without holonomy, and assume that both \mathfrak{M} and \mathfrak{M}' are ψ -expansive.

Suppose that we are given fibrations $\pi\colon \mathfrak{M}\to B_0$ and $\pi'\colon \mathfrak{M}'\to B_0'$, and a homeomorphism $h_0\colon B_0\to B_0'$, and there exists a conjugation of their holonomy pseudogroups as above.

Then h_0 extends to a homeomorphism $H: \mathfrak{M} \to \mathfrak{M}'$ inducing h_0 .

Problem: Understand equivalence between matchbox manifolds in terms of their holonomy pseudogroups, and other invariants of this. Long way to go.

Jean, ¡ feliz cumpleaños!

