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- $f(x, y):=F(x, y, 1), f$ monic in $y$.

$$
R:=\{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid f(t, y) \text { has no multiple root }\}
$$

- Take a loop $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{C} \backslash R$ based at 0 . The motion $\left\{L_{t}\right\}$ defined by $\alpha$ deform $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}$ to $a_{1}^{\alpha}, \ldots, a_{d}^{\alpha}$. In $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C\right)$ :

$$
a_{j}=a_{j}^{\alpha}
$$

Van Kampen proves these relations are enough.
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The group $\pi_{1}(\mathbb{C} \backslash R)$ is generated by elements $\alpha \gamma \alpha^{-1}$, where $\gamma$ runs a small circle around a point of $R$.
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## Libgober II

Libgober proves that braid monodromy and braid representations can provide new invariants of irreducible components of equisingular families of curves (unexplored! up to Burau representation).

## Topology

V. Kulikov and M. Teicher prove that braid monodromy determines the topology for nodal and cuspidal curves (using explict description of centralizer of braids). Carmona proves it in general (using Neumann plumbing calculus on Waldhausen graph manifolds).
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## First results

- Zariski: Alexander polynomial is trivial if $C$ is irreducible with degree a prime power.

■ Zariski, for nodal and cuspidal curves: nodal points do not contribute to Alexander polynomial. Position of cusps is important. For hexacuspidal sextics, $\Delta_{C}$ equals either 1 or $t^{2}-t+1$.
■ Formulæ to compute $\Delta_{C}$ in general by Libgober, Esnault, Loeser-Vaquié, A.
■ Libgober: $\Delta_{C}$ determined by Burau representation and braid monodromy.
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## Remark
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## Remark

If $C=f^{-1}(0)$ is smooth and $K_{C}^{\infty}$ is isotopic to $K_{f^{-1}(t)}^{\infty}, t$ small, then $K_{C}^{\infty}$ determines the topology of $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, C\right)$ (Neumann) and $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash C\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (Kaliman).
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## Remark

As in the case of Alexander polynomial, nodal points are irrelevant.

## Example (A., Cogolludo, Tokunaga)

Let $C_{1}$ be a smooth conic and $C_{2}$ be an irreducible quartic, such that $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ intersect tangentially at four points. Let $C:=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$; then $V_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C\right)\right)$ is trivial if $C_{2}$ is smooth but it has a non trivial point if $C_{2}$ has 3 nodes.
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## Definition

A dominant algebraic morphism $\rho: Y \rightarrow X$ defines an orbifold morphism $Y \rightarrow X_{\varphi}$ if for all $p \in X$, the divisor $\rho^{*}(p)$ is an $n$-multiple.
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## Example

If $C$ is a reduced curve with equation $f_{2}^{3}-f_{3}^{2}=0, f_{j}$ homogeneous of degree $j$, then the mapping $\mathbb{P}^{2} \backslash C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{1\}$, given by $x \mapsto \frac{f_{2}^{3}(x)}{f_{3}^{2}}$, defines an orbifold morphism for $\varphi(0)=3$ and $\varphi(\infty)=2$.
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## Example

$G:=\pi_{1}^{\mathrm{orb}}\left(\mathbb{C}_{2,3}\right)=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}, H=\mathbb{Z} / 6 \mathbb{Z}, T_{H}=\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{*} \mid \zeta^{6}=1\right\}$ and $V_{1}(G)$ consists of $\left\{\exp \left( \pm \frac{2 i \pi}{6}\right)\right\}$.
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## Quasiprojective groups

Let $G$ be the fundamental group of a quasiprojective manifold $X$.

## Theorem (Arapura)

Let $\Sigma$ be an irreducible component of $V_{1}(G)$. Then,

- If $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma>0$ then there exists a surjective morphism $\rho: X \rightarrow C, C$ algebraic curve, and a torsion element $\sigma$ such that $\Sigma=\sigma \rho^{*}\left(H^{1}\left(C ; \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)\right)$.
- If $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=0$ then $\Sigma$ is unitary.
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## Remark

Finite cyclic coverings determine $V_{1}(G)$

## Example

Let $C_{1}$ be a quintic curve having three $\mathbb{A}_{4}$ singular points. Degtyarev proved that its fundamental group is finite and non abelian of order 320. Let $C$ be the union of $C_{1}$ and a tangent line to a singular point. Then, the Alexander polynomial of $C$ is $t^{4}-t^{3}+t^{2}-t+1$ but the points in the characteristic variety cannot come from a morphism onto an orbifold.
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$\Sigma$ irreducible component of $V_{1}(G), \operatorname{dim} \Sigma>2 \Rightarrow$ the subgroup parallel to $\Sigma$ is also contained in $V_{1}(G)$. Also true if $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma=2$ and $G$ rational.

## Corollary

$\Sigma$ irreducible component of $V_{1}(G), \operatorname{dim} \Sigma=1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{1} \notin \Sigma$.
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## Theorem

Let $G_{p, q, r}$ the Artin group associated to a triangle with sides $p, q, r$

- If $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r} \geq 1$ then there exists an affine curve $C_{p, q, r}$ such that $G_{p, q, r}=\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash C_{p, q, r}\right)$
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## Happy Birthday, Anatoly

