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Page 184, number 9. Prove (11.1.4) that if there is an injection $f: X \mapsto N_{n}$ then $X$ is finite and the cardinality of $X$ is at most $n$.

Proof. We work by induction on $n$. If $n=1$, then an injection into $N_{1}$ must be onto. So $f$ is invertible and $X$ is a finite set with cardinality $n$.

Induction Hypothesis: Suppose that for any $X$ if there is an injection $f$ from $X$ into $N_{k}$ then $X$ is finite and the cardinality of $X$ is at most $k$.

Induction step: We must prove for any $X$ if there is an injection $f$ from $X$ into $N_{k+1}$ then $X$ is finite and the cardinality of $X$ is at most $k+1$.

Case 1: $k+1$ is not in the range of $f$. Then $f$ is an injection into $N_{k}$ and the result is immediate from the induction hypothesis.

Case 2: $k+1$ is in the range of $f$. Say $f(a)=k+1$. Now let $g$ be the restriction of $f$ to $X-\{a\}$. Then $g$ is an injection of $X-\{a\}$ into $N_{k}$. So again by induction, $X-\{a\}$ is finite and $|X-\{a\}|$ is some $m \leq k$. Then by 10.2.1 (the addition principle), $X=X \cup\{a\}$ is a disjoint union of finite sets, so $X$ is finite and $|X|=m+1 \leq k+1$.

Page 184 number 10. Prove (11.1.6) that if $X$ and $Y$ are non-empty finite sets with $|X|<|Y|$, there is no surjection from $X$ onto $Y$.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that such $f$ exists. By the definition of finite there exists an $m<n$ and functions $g_{1}, g_{2}$ such that $g_{1}$ is a bijection from $N_{m}$ onto $X$ and $g_{2}$ is a bijection from $N_{m}$ onto $Y$. But then $h=g_{2}^{-1} \circ f \circ g_{1}$ is a surjection from $N_{m}$ onto $N_{n}$. Now we can find an injection $h^{\prime}: N_{n} \mapsto N_{m}$; $h^{\prime}(y)$ is defined to be the least $k<m$ such that $h(k)=y$. Now by 11.1.1 the existence of $h^{\prime}$ implies $m \leq n$. This contradiction completes the proof.

