

Divide-and-Conquer Recurrences — The Master Theorem

We assume a divide and conquer algorithm in which a problem with input size n is always divided into a subproblems, each with input size n/b . Here a and b are integer constants with $a \geq 1$ and $b > 1$.

We assume n is a power of b , say $n = b^k$.

Otherwise at some stage we will not be able to divide the subproblem size exactly by b .

However, the Master Theorem still holds if n is not a power of b , and the subproblem input sizes are $\lceil n/b \rceil$ or $\lfloor n/b \rfloor$

Note $k = \log_b(n)$.

The recurrence for the running time is:

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n), \quad T(1) = d.$$

Here $f(n)$ represents the divide and combine time (i.e., the non-recursive time). $f(n)$ may involve Θ , e.g., $f(n) = \Theta(n^2)$.

We define $E = \log_b(a)$.

E is called the **critical exponent**. (It strongly influences the solution.) By definition, $b^E = a$.

Note that $a^k = n^E$.

Why? $a^k = (b^E)^k = (b^k)^E = n^E$.

We can write down the total time to solve all sub-problems at a given depth in the recursion tree.

Depth of recursion	Size of sub-problems	Number of sub-problems	Total (non-recursive) time at this depth is roughly proportional to
0	n	1	$f(n)$
1	n/b	a	$af(n/b)$
2	n/b^2	a^2	$a^2 f(n/b^2)$
3	n/b^3	a^3	$a^3 f(n/b^3)$
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\vdots
$k-2$	n/b^{k-2}	a^{k-2}	$a^{k-2} f(n/b^{k-2})$
$k-1$	$n/b^{k-1} = b$	a^{k-1}	$a^{k-1} f(n/b^{k-1}) = \Theta(n^E)$
k	$n/b^k = 1$	$a^k = n^E$	$a^k d = O(n^E)$

$T(n)$ = sum of terms in rightmost column above

$$= f(n) + af(n/b) + a^2 f(n/b^2) + \dots + a^{k-1} f(n/b^{k-1}) + a^k d$$

The critical functions in determining $T(n)$ are:

- i) $f(n)$ (the non-recursive time at depth 0)
- ii) n^E (the non-recursive time at depth k , or $k-1$).

Clearly: $T(n) \geq \Theta(\max(n^E, f(n)))$.

On the other hand, if the terms in the right hand column of the table either increase as we move down, or decrease as we move down, then : $T(n) \leq \Theta(\max(n^E, f(n)) \cdot \log_b(n))$.

We will see that, if one of n^E and $f(n)$ grows much more rapidly than the other, then $T(n) \leq \Theta(\text{more rapidly growing function})$.

Master Theorem:

- | |
|--|
| 1) $f(n)$ in $O(n^{E-\epsilon})$ for fixed $\epsilon > 0$ implies $T(n) = \Theta(n^E)$. |
| 2) $f(n)$ in $\Theta(n^E)$ implies $T(n) = \Theta(n^E \log_b(n))$. |
| 3) $f(n)$ in $\Omega(n^{E+\epsilon})$ for fixed $\epsilon > 0$ implies $T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$. |

Actually, (3) requires an additional hypothesis, that typically holds.

Note none of these cases may apply. For example, if $f(n) = n^E \log_b(n)$, we are between cases (2) and (3); neither case holds.