

## On the Fundamental Group of the Space of Cubic Surfaces

Anatoly Libgober

The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, U.S.A.

The space of complex cubic surfaces is isomorphic to projective space  $V_{19}$  of dimension 19, by the correspondence which relates to each surface the set of coefficients of the defining equation. We denote by  $\Delta$  the hypersurface in  $V_{19}$  which consists of points corresponding to the singular surfaces. It was proved by J.A. Todd that there exists a homomorphism  $t$  of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(V_{19} - \Delta, p_0)$  onto the Weyl group  $W(E_6)$  of system of roots of type  $E_6$ . This homomorphism is actually just the one which relates to each loop the permutation of 27 straight lines induced by moving of the surface corresponding to  $p_0$  around the loop.

The purpose of this paper is to describe the “upper boundary” for  $\pi_1(V_{19} - \Delta, p_0)$ . Namely, we prove the following

**Theorem.** *There exists a homomorphism  $h$  of the Brieskorn braid group  $B(E_6)$  corresponding to the system of roots of type  $E_6$  onto  $\pi_1(V_{19} - \Delta, p_0)$  such that the following diagram is comutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B(E_6) & & \\
 \downarrow h & \searrow p & \\
 \pi_1(V_{19} - \Delta, p_0) & \xrightarrow{t} & W(E_6)
 \end{array}$$

where  $p$  is the canonical projection of the braid group onto the Weyl group.

The main point of our proof is the observation that the intersection form in 2-dimensional homology of a cubic surface restricted to the orthogonal complement to the class of a plane section can be identified with the intersection form of the smoothing of a certain  $E_6$ -singularity (see [5] where this approach was used for studying decompositions of high-dimensional hypersurfaces into connected sums). It gives the explanation of the connection between the group  $W(E_6)$  and cubic surfaces from the point of view of singularities (compare [6]).

1) First note that the intersection form of the smoothing of the singularity (see [1] for definitions)

$$Z_1^3 + Z_2^2 + Z_2 Z_3^2 = 0 \tag{1}$$

is one associated to the Dynkin diagram of type  $E_6$ . Indeed,  $Z_1^3 + Z_2^2 + Z_2 Z_3^2 = Z_1^3 + (Z_2 + \frac{1}{2}Z_3^2)^2 - \frac{1}{4}Z_3^4$  and therefore an invertible change of variables shows that this singularity is equivalent to the singularity  $u^3 + v^2 + w^4 = 0$  for which the intersection form computed in [3].

2) Let us consider the semiuniversal deformation of the singularity (1). Recall that for an arbitrary isolated singularity  $f(Z_1 \dots Z_n)$  it can be described as follows. Let  $1, g_1, \dots, g_k$  be a basis of the artinian ring  $R = \mathbb{C}\{Z_1 \dots Z_n\}/(f, \partial f/\partial Z_1 \dots \partial f/\partial Z_n)$  and  $F(Z, t) = f(Z) + \sum_{i=1}^k g_i(Z) t_i$ . Then the germ of the map  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1 \times \mathbb{C}^k$  defined by the formula  $(Z, t) \rightsquigarrow (F(Z, t), t)$  is the germ of a semiuniversal deformation of the singularity  $f$ .

Easy computation shows that for the singularity  $Z_1^3 + Z_2^2 + Z_2 Z_3^2 = 0$  the monomials  $1, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_1 Z_2, Z_1 Z_3$  form a basis of the ring  $R$ , and therefore the germ of the semiuniversal deformation for the singularity (1) is  $(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) \rightarrow (Z_1^3 + Z_2^2 + Z_2 Z_3^2 + t_1 Z_1 + t_2 Z_2 + t_3 Z_3 + t_4 Z_1 Z_2 + t_5 Z_1 Z_3, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$ .

3) It was pointed out by E. Brieskorn that for simple singularities the subset of the base of a semiuniversal deformation over which the deformation is non-singular fibration (i.e. complement to the bifurcation variety) can be identified with the set of regular semisimple conjugacy classes in an appropriate simple Lie group. According to [2] the fundamental group of the set of regular semisimple classes is the Brieskorn braid group, i.e. it admits the set of generators which correspond one-to-one to a system of simple roots with the relations

$$\underbrace{\alpha \beta \alpha \dots}_{m_{\alpha\beta}} = \underbrace{\beta \alpha \beta}_{m_{\alpha\beta}}$$

where  $m_{\alpha\beta}$  is the Coxeter matrix.

4) Note that the set  $\mathbb{C}^6 - \Delta$  of the points  $(t_1 \dots t_6)$  for which the affine surface

$$F(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, t_1 \dots t_5) = Z_1^3 + Z_2^2 + Z_2 Z_3^2 + t_1 Z_1 + t_2 Z_2 + t_3 Z_3 + t_4 Z_1 Z_2 + t_5 Z_1 Z_3 = t_6 \tag{2}$$

is non-singular is a deformation retract of the complement to the bifurcation variety of the singularity (1) because the polynomial  $F(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, t_1 \dots t_5)$  is weighted homogeneous (of the weight  $(4, 6, 3, 8, 6, 9, 2, 5)$  and degree 12), i.e. admits  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action. Therefore  $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^6 - \Delta, p_0)$  is isomorphic to Brieskorn braid group of type  $E_6$ .

Let  $V_6$  denote the 6-dimensional linear system of cubic surfaces

$$A_0(Z_1^3 + Z_2^2 + Z_2 Z_3^2) + A_1 Z_0^3 + A_2 Z_0^2 Z_1 + A_3 Z_0^2 Z_2 + A_4 Z_0^2 Z_3 + A_5 Z_0 Z_1 Z_2 + A_6 Z_0 Z_1 Z_3 = 0 \quad (3)$$

which are the projective closure of the surfaces (2).

We claim that  $\mathbb{C}^6 - \Delta = V_6 - \Delta$ . Indeed, direct computation shows that  $V_6(A_0 \dots A_6)$  is singular only if  $A_0 = 0$  and if the singularity belongs to the set  $Z_0 \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ . In particular,  $\pi_1(V_6 - \Delta) \simeq B(E_6)$ .

*Remark.*  $V_6$  is not in general position with respect to  $\Delta$  and therefore we cannot apply Zariski's theorem [4].

5) Now let us consider the following families  $V_7, V_{10}$  and  $V_{11}$  of cubic surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}P^3$ . Let  $V_7$  be the family

$$A_0(Z_1^3 + Z_2 Z_3^2) + B Z_2^2 Z_0 + A_1 Z_0^3 + A_2 Z_2 Z_0^2 + A_3 Z_3 Z_0^2 + A_4 Z_1 Z_0^2 + A_5 Z_0 Z_1 Z_2 + A_6 Z_0 Z_1 Z_3 = 0. \quad (4)$$

Let  $V_{10}$  be the family

$$A_0(Z_1^3 + Z_2 Z_3^2) + Z_0 P_2(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = 0 \quad (5)$$

where  $P_2$  is a general homogeneous polynomial of degree 2.

Let  $V_{11}$  be the family

$$A_0 Z_1^3 + B_0 Z_2 Z_3^2 + Z_0 P_2(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3) = 0 \quad (6)$$

where  $P_2(Z_0, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3)$  is as above.

We claim that

$$\pi_1(V_6 - \Delta) = \pi_1(V_7 - \Delta) = \pi_1(V_{10} - \Delta) = \pi_1(V_{11} - \Delta).$$

Indeed,  $V_6$  is the element of the pencil  $V_6^{(u,v)}$  of hyperplanes in  $V_7$  defined by the equation  $A_0 u = B v$ , which corresponds to  $u = v$ . Evidently  $V_6^{(u,v)} - \Delta$  is isomorphic to  $V_6 - \Delta$  for each  $(u, v)$  where  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ . (This isomorphism is defined by the change of variables  $Z'_0 = \frac{u}{v} Z_0$ .) Therefore,  $V_6$  is a general hyperplane with respect to  $\Delta$ , and by Zariski's theorem ([4])

$$\pi_1(V_6 - \Delta) \simeq \pi_1(V_7 - \Delta).$$

Similar arguments show that

$$\pi_1(V_{11} - \Delta) \simeq \pi_1(V_{10} - \Delta).$$

Now the group  $\mathbb{C}^3$  acts on  $V_{10}$  in the following way

$$(m, n, k): (Z_1 \rightarrow Z_1 + m Z_0, Z_2 \rightarrow Z_2 + n Z_0, Z_3 \rightarrow Z_3 + n Z_0, Z_0 \rightarrow Z_0).$$

Direct computation shows that  $V_{10} - \Delta/\mathbb{C}^3$  is isomorphic to  $V_7 - \Delta$  (i.e. each non-singular surface of form (5) can in a unique way be reduced to the “normal form” (4)). Therefore,  $\pi_1(V_{10} - \Delta) \simeq \pi_1(V_7 - \Delta)$  and our claim is proved.

6) Recall that to each non-singular cubic surface one can associate a parabolic curve ([7]), i.e. the set of the planes intersection of which with the cubic surface  $V$  is a cubic curve with at least a cusp. It is known that the parabolic curve of a non-singular cubic surface is in general non-singular and admits ordinary singularities exactly for surfaces with Eckardt points ([7], §70).

Now let us consider the space  $T$  of the planes in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$  which are endowed by the following configuration.

- (a) two non-coincident straight lines  $l_1$  and  $l_2$ .
- (b) the points  $a_1$  on  $l_1$  and  $a_2$  on  $l_2$  such that  $a_1 \neq a_2 \neq l_1 \cap l_2$ .

It is easy to check that the space of planes with such configuration is simply connected and that  $\pi_2(T) = \mathbb{Z}^3$ . Indeed,  $PGL(3, \mathbb{C})$  acts transitively on  $T$  and the stabilizer of each point is the image of the subgroup of the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & & \\ & & a_{33} & \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

in  $PGL(3, \mathbb{C})$  under the canonical projection  $GL(4, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow PGL(3, \mathbb{C})$ . Our assertion follows from the well known computation of the homotopy groups of  $PGL(3, \mathbb{C})$ .

7) Let us consider the subvariety  $S$  of  $T \times (V_{10} - \Delta)$  which consists of the pairs  $(t, V)$  where  $t \in T$  and  $V$  is the non-singular cubic surface such that

- (a)  $V \cap t$  is a cubic curve with a cusp at the point  $a_1 \in t$ ,
- (b)  $l_1$  is the double tangent at this cusp,
- (c)  $a_2$  is the unique inflexion point of the cubic curve  $V \cap t$  and  $l_2$  is the tangent at the point  $a_2$ .

Let us consider the projection  $S \rightarrow T$ . All the fibres are isomorphic, because  $PGL(3, \mathbb{C})$  acts transitively on  $T$ . The fibre over the plane  $Z_0 = 0$  endowed with the configuration

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= \{Z_0 = 0, Z_3 = 0\}, & l_2 &= \{Z_0 = 0, Z_1 = 0\}, \\ a_1 &= \{Z_3 = 0, Z_1 = 0, Z_0 = 0\}, & a_2 &= \{Z_1 = 0, Z_2 = 0, Z_0 = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is isomorphic to  $V_{11} - \Delta$ . Hence from the exact homotopy sequence of the fibration it follows that  $\pi_1(S)$  is a factor of  $\pi_1(V_{11} - \Delta)$ , i.e.,  $B(E_6)$ .

8) Now let us consider the projection  $S \rightarrow V_{10} - \Delta$ . The fibre over  $V$  is actually a parabolic curve of  $V$ . Therefore, over a dense open set of  $V_{10} - \Delta$  this mapping is a locally trivial bundle.

Hence the induced map  $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow \pi_1(V_{10} - \Delta)$  is onto.

9) The composition of the homomorphism  $h: B(E_6) \rightarrow \pi_1(V_{19} - \Delta, p_0)$  and the Todd homomorphism  $t$  gives the map  $B(E_6) \rightarrow W(E_6)$ . On the other hand, there is the canonical projection  $p: B(E_6) \rightarrow W(E_6)$  ([9], 1.2), which in terms of the presentation 3) can be described as the map which takes the square of each generator to the identity.

Now we are going to show that  $t \cdot h$  actually coincide with  $p$ .

According to [2] the generators of  $B(E_6)$  are represented by the loop which surrounds a non-singular point of the discriminant variety of the space of regular orbits. The non-singular points of the discriminant in the space of cubic surfaces corresponds to the cubic surfaces with a single ordinary singularity ([7]). Going around such a point induces a permutation of some Schläfli double six on the cubic surface which corresponds to  $p_0$  ([8]). Therefore, the square of each generator of  $B(E_6)$  induces the identity permutation of 27 lines.

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

It is a pleasure to thank R. Randell who read the manuscript.

## References

1. Brieskorn, E.: Singular elements of semi-simple algebraic groups. Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice 1970) vol. 2, pp. 279–284. Paris: Gauthier-Villars 1971
2. Brieskorn, E.: Die Fundamentalgruppe des Raumes der regulären Orbits einer endlichen komplexen Spiegelungsgruppe. Invent. Math. **12**, 57–61 (1971)
3. Gabrielov, A.: Intersection matrices of certain singularities. Functional Anal. Appl. **7**, 182–193 (1973)
4. Lê Dung Trang: Une application du theoreme de Zariski. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **273**, 57 (1972)
5. Libgober, A.: On the topology of some even-dimensional algebraic hypersurfaces. Preprint
6. Manin, Y.I.: Cubic forms. Amsterdam-London: North-Holland 1974
7. Segre, B.: The Non-singular cubic surfaces. Oxford: Clarendon Press 1942
8. Todd, J.A.: On the Topology of Certain Algebraic Threefold Loci. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. ser. 2, **4**, 175–184 (1935)
9. Brieskorn, E., Saito, K.: Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen. Invent. Math. **17**, 245–271 (1972)

Received February 17, 1978