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#### Abstract

Multivariable Alexander invariants of algebraic links calculated in terms of algebro-geometric invariants (polytopes and ideals of quasiadjunction). The relations with log-canonical divisors, the multiplier ideals and a semicontinuity property of polytopes of quasiadjunction are discussed.


## 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with two interrelated issues. The first is an algebraic calculation of multivariable Alexander invariants of the fundamental groups of links of plane curves singularities $f_{1}(x, y) \cdots f_{r}(x, y)$ with several branches or more precisely the corresponding characteristic varieties. The second is the effect of Hodge theory on the structure of these invariants.

Characteristic varieties are attached to a topological space $X$ whose fundamental group admits a surjection on a free abelian group $\mathbf{Z}^{r}$. They can be defined as follows (cf. [12]). To a surjection onto $\mathbf{Z}^{r}$ corresponds the abelian cover $\tilde{X}$ for which $\mathbf{Z}^{r}$ is the group of deck transformations. Consider $H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C})$ as a module over the group ring of $\mathbf{Z}^{r}$ and let $\Phi$ be the map in a presentation $\mathbf{C}\left[\mathbf{Z}^{r}\right]^{m} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbf{C}\left[\mathbf{Z}^{r}\right]^{n} \longrightarrow$ $H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow 0$ of $\mathbf{C}\left[\mathbf{Z}^{r}\right]$-module $H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C})$ by generators and relations. The $i$-th Fitting ideal of $H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C})$ is the ideal generated by the minors of order $n-i+1$ in the matrix $\Phi$. The ring $\mathbf{C}\left[\mathbf{Z}^{r}\right]$ can be viewed as the ring of regular functions on the torus $\mathbf{C}^{* r}$ and the $i$-th characteristic variety of $X$ is defined as the zero set in this torus of the $i$-th Fitting ideals of the module $H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C})$.

In the case when $X$ is the complement to a link $L$ with $r$-components the group $H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}^{r}$. The first Fitting ideal is a product of a power of the maximal ideal $\mathcal{M}$ of the point in $\mathbf{C}^{* r}$ corresponding to the identity element of the torus and a principal ideal generated by a polynomial $\Delta\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ (cf. [3]). The latter is called the Alexander polynomial of $L$. In particular, the first characteristic variety has codimension 1 in $\mathbf{C}^{r}$. For $i>1$ the characteristic varieties may have a higher codimension. For any positive $r^{\prime}<r$ and surjection $H_{1}\left(S^{3}-\right.$ $L, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{r^{\prime}}$, one has the Alexander polynomial of $r^{\prime}$ variables and characteristic
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varieties in $\mathbf{C}^{* r^{\prime}}$ which can be found from those of $r$ variables (cf. [27]). The one variable Alexander polynomial can be obtained from this construction applied to the surjection $H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ given by evaluating the total linking number of loops with $L$.

If $r=1$, all Fitting ideals are principal and their generators yield a sequence of polynomials $\Delta_{i} \in \mathbf{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ (defined up to a unit of the latter) such that $\Delta_{i+1} \mid \Delta_{i}$. In the case when $L$ is the link of a singularity $f=0$, both the algebraic calculation of Alexander invariants and their relation to the Hodge theory are well known. Indeed, the corresponding infinite cover of $S^{3}-L$ is cyclic, it can be identified with the Milnor fiber of the singularity, and in this identification the deck transformation is the monodromy operator of the singularity. The group $H^{1}$ of the Milnor fiber supports a mixed Hodge structure with weights 0,1 and 2 , with the identification $N$ : $W_{2} / W_{1} \rightarrow W_{0}$ given by the logarithm of an appropriate power of the monodromy (cf. [24]). All Hodge groups are invariant under the action of the semisimple part of the latter. Let $h_{\zeta}^{p, q}$ (cf. [24]) be the dimension of the eigenspace of this semisimple part acting on the space $H^{p, q}$. These numbers determine the Jordan form of the monodromy as follows. The size of the Jordan blocks of the monodromy does not exceed 2 and the number of blocks corresponding to an eigenvalue $\zeta$ of size $1 \times 1$ (resp. $2 \times 2$ ) is equal to $h_{\zeta}^{1,0}+h_{\zeta}^{0,1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.h_{\zeta}^{0,0}\right)$. As a consequence:

$$
\Delta_{i}=\prod_{(\zeta)}(t-\zeta)^{a_{\zeta, i}}
$$

where

$$
a_{\zeta, i}= \begin{cases}h_{\zeta}^{1,0}+h_{\zeta}^{0,1}+2 h_{\zeta}^{0,0}-2(i-1) & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq h_{\zeta}^{0,0} \\ h_{\zeta}^{1,0}+h_{\zeta}^{0,1}-\left(i-1-h_{\zeta}^{0,0}\right) & \text { if } h_{\zeta}^{0,0}<i \leq h_{\zeta}^{0,0}+h_{\zeta}^{1,0}+h_{\zeta}^{0,1} \\ 0 & \text { if } i>h_{\zeta}^{0,0}+h_{\zeta}^{1,0}+h_{\zeta}^{0,1}\end{cases}
$$

All $\Delta_{i}$ can be calculated algebraically in terms of a resolution of the singularity. For $\Delta_{1}$ this follows from A'Campo's formula for the $\zeta$-function of the monodromy (cf. [2]) and for $\Delta_{i}$ and $i \geq 2$ from Steenbrink's calculation of the Hodge numbers of Mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the Milnor fiber (cf. [24]).

Multivariable Alexander polynomials were studied extensively from a topological point of view. They can be found either from a presentation of the fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-L\right)$ using Fox calculus or using an iterative procedure based on the fact that algebraic links are iterated torus links (cf. [26,5], cf. also [20] where an upper bound for the set of zeros of the multivariable Alexander polynomial was obtained algebraically).

In this paper we describe an algebraic procedure for calculating the characteristic varieties. In fact we study a finer than characteristic variety invariant of a singularity having a given algebraic link. This invariant is a collection of polytopes in $\mathbf{R}^{r}$. These polytopes are equivalent to the local polytopes of quasiadjunction introduced in [15] but are more convenient in the local case. We show that the characteristic varieties are algebraic closures of the images of the faces of these polytopes of quasiadjunction under exponential map: $\exp : \mathbf{R}^{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{* r}$. In the case $r=1$, polytopes of quasiadjunction are segments having 1 as the right end and faces
of quasiadjunction are points in $[0,1]$ which are the left ends of these segments. These points are elements of the Arnold-Steenbrink spectrum of the singularity. (The analogy is going further: we prove in 4.1 a semicontinuity property of faces of quasiadjunction extending one of well known semicontinuity properties of spectra ([25], [28]). Description of characteristic varieties via faces of quasiadjunction is obtained by expressing the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of the abelian covers of $S^{3}$ branched over the link of singularity in terms of certain ideals in the local ring of singularity (ideals of quasiadjunction). These ideals are the key ingredient in the description of the characteristic varieties of the fundamental groups of the complements to curves in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ (cf. $\left.[13,15]\right)$ and are generalizations of the ideals of quasiadjunction in $r=1$ case (cf. [11,17]). The ideals of quasiadjunction (in both $r=1$ and $r>1$ ) cases are closely related to more recently introduced multiplier ideals (cf. [19] and Remark 2.6). On the other hand, following an idea of J. Kollar (cf. [10]), we show how log-canonical thresholds of certain divisors can be found in terms of polytopes of quasiadjunction studied here and in [15] (cf. Sect. (4.2)).

In section 4 we point out the effect of the Hodge theory on the homology of the infinite abelian covers of the complements to links. Note that these homology groups typically are infinite dimensional. We show that the intersections of the torus of unitary characters of $\mathbf{Z}^{r}$ with irreducible components of the space of characters appearing in the representation of $\mathbf{Z}^{r}$ on $H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C})$ have natural decomposition into a union of two connected subsets compatible with the Hodge decomposition of the finite abelian covers and illustrate such decomposition by explicit examples. I thank J. Cogolludo for sharing with me his results on Fox calculus calculations in example 2.

Finally note, that polytopes and ideals of quasiadjunction considered here have a natural generalization for arbitrary hypersurface singularities with applications generalizing [14]. We shall return to this elsewhere.

## 2. Invariants of singularities

### 2.1. Characteristic varieties of algebraic links

Let $X$, as in Introduction (cf. also $[12,15,20]$ ), be a finite CW complex such that $H_{1}(X, \mathbf{Z})=\mathbf{Z}^{r}$. Let $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}$ be a system of generators of the latter. The homology $H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C})$ of the universal abelian cover $\tilde{X}$ has a structure of $\Lambda=\mathbf{C}\left[H_{1}(X, \mathbf{Z})\right]=$ $\mathbf{C}\left[t_{1}, t_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, t_{r}, t_{r}^{-1}\right]$-module. Let $F_{i}(X)$ be the $i$-th Fitting ideal of $H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C})$ considered as a $\Lambda$-module, i.e. the ideal generated by $(n-i+1) \times(n-i+1)$ minors of the matrix of a map $\Phi: \Lambda^{m} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n}$ such that $\operatorname{Coker} \Phi=H_{1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbf{C})$.

We shall view $\mathbf{C}\left[t_{1}, t_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, t_{r}, t_{r}^{-1}\right]$ as a ring of regular functions on a torus $\mathbf{C}^{* r}$ so that the set of zeros of an ideal $F_{i}(X)$ is a subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^{* r}$ denoted $V_{i}(X)$. The maximal possible $i$ is called the depth of $V_{i}$. A translated subgroup of $\mathbf{C}^{* r}$ is an irreducible component of an intersection of codimension one submanifolds given by $t_{1}^{l_{1}} \cdots t_{r}^{l_{r}}=\lambda\left(l_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}\right)$. The following is a local analog of results of [1].
Proposition 2.1. The characteristic varieties of algebraic links are unions of translated subgroups.

Proof. Recall that an algebraic link can be obtained from a trivial knot by iteration of cablings $X_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{N}$ where $X_{1}$ is a complement to a small tube about the unknot, and the complement $X_{n}$ to a link $L_{n}$ is obtained from the complement $X_{n-1}$ to the link $L_{n-1}$ via replacing $X_{n-1}$ by a space $X_{n-1} \cup_{\partial T_{n}} Y_{n-1}$. Here $Y_{n-1}$ is one of two standard model spaces: the complement in a torus $T_{n}$ either to a torus knot or to the union of the axis of the torus and the torus knot (cf. [26]). The union is taken by identifying the boundary of a tube about $L_{n-1}{\underset{\tilde{X}}{n}}^{\text {with }} \partial T_{n}$. It follows from [26] that for the homology of the universal abelian cover $\tilde{X}_{n}$ we have:

$$
H_{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n}\right)=H_{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n-1}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(\tilde{Y}_{n-1}\right)
$$

(cf. p. 118 and p. 119 in [26] for two possible cases of cablings). We can assume by induction that the characteristic varieties of $\tilde{X}_{n-1}$ and $\tilde{Y}_{n-1}$ are the unions of translated subgroups. We have $V_{k}\left(X_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(\Lambda^{k} H_{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n}\right)\right)$ (cf. [15]). $\Lambda^{k} H_{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n}\right)$ has a filtration with successive factors $\Lambda^{i} H_{1}\left(\tilde{X}_{n-1}\right) \otimes \Lambda^{k-i} H_{1}\left(\tilde{Y}_{n-1}\right)$. Hence, by [22], $V_{k}\left(\tilde{X}_{n}\right)=\cap_{i} V_{i}\left(\tilde{X}_{n-1}\right) \cup V_{k-i}\left(\tilde{Y}_{n-1}\right)$. In particular, if $V_{i}\left(\tilde{X}_{n-1}\right)$ and $V_{k-i}\left(\tilde{Y}_{n-1}\right)$ are unions of translated subgroups, then so is $V_{k}\left(\tilde{X}_{n}\right)$.

### 2.2. Ideals of log-quasiadjunction

Let $B$ be a small ball about the origin $O$ in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ and let $C$ be a germ of a plane curve having at $O$ singularity with $r$ branches. Let $f_{1}(x, y) \cdots f_{r}(x, y)=0$ be a local equation of this curve (each $f_{i}$ is assumed irreducible). An abelian cover of type ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ ) of $\partial B$ (resp. $B$ ) is the branched cover of $\partial B$ (resp. $B$ ) corresponding to a homomorphism $\pi_{1}(\partial B-\partial B \cap C) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / m_{1} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} / m_{r} \mathbf{Z}$ (resp. the cone over the abelian cover of $\partial B$ ). Such cover of $\partial B$ is the link of complete intersection surface singularity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}: \quad z_{1}^{m_{1}}=f_{1}(x, y), \ldots, z_{r}^{m_{r}}=f_{r}(x, y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covering map is given by $p:\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}, x, y\right) \rightarrow(x, y)$.
An ideal of quasiadjunction of type $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)(c f .[13,15])$ is the ideal in the local ring of the singularity of $C$ (i.e. $O \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$ ) consisting of germs $\phi$ such that the 2-form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\phi}=\frac{\phi z_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots z_{r}^{j_{r}} d x \wedge d y}{z_{1}^{m_{1}-1} \cdots z_{r}^{m_{r}-1}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

extends to a holomorphic form on a resolution of the singularity of the abelian cover of a ball $B$ of type ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ ), i.e. a resolution of (1) (we suppress dependence of $\omega_{\phi}$ on $\left.j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r}, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$. In other words, $\phi z_{1}^{j_{1}} \cdots z_{r}^{j_{r}}$ belongs to the adjoint ideal of (1) (cf. [18]). In particular the condition on $\phi$ is independent of resolution. We always shall assume that $0 \leq j_{1}<m_{1}, \ldots, 0 \leq j_{r}<m_{r}$.
An ideal of log-quasiadjunction (resp. an ideal of weight one log-quasiadjunction) of type $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ is the ideal in the same local ring consisting of germs $\phi$ such that $\omega_{\phi}$ extends to a log-form (resp. weight one log-form) on a resolution of the singularity of the same abelian cover. Recall (cf. [4]) that a holomorphic

2-form is weight one log-form if it is a combination of forms having poles of order at most one on each component of the exceptional divisor and not having poles of order one on a pair of intersecting components. These ideals are also independent of a resolution. This follows from the following. Let $\omega$ be a holomorphic $n$-form on a complex space $X \subset \mathbf{C}^{N}, \operatorname{dim} X=n$ with isolated singularity at $x \in X$ and let $B_{r}$ be a ball about $x$ in $\mathbf{C}^{N}$ having a radius $r . \omega$ extends to a form of weight $k$ on a resolution of $X$, for which the exceptional divisor has at worst normal crossings, if and only if for sufficiently small $R \gg r>0$ one has

$$
\int_{B_{R}-B_{r}} \omega \wedge \bar{\omega}<C|\log r|^{k}
$$

In particular for $k=0$ one obtains Lemma 1.3 (ii) from [18]. The general case follows, for example, by interpreting the above integral as an integral over the neighborhood of the exceptional locus in a resolution of $x \in X$ and reducing it to the integral over the boundary of $B_{R}-B_{r}$. Local calculations near intersection of $k$ components show that the contribution $\int_{r \leq z_{1} \leq 1, \ldots, r \leq z_{k} \leq 1,0 \leq z_{k+1} \leq 1,0 \leq z_{n} \leq 1} \frac{d z_{1} \cdots d z_{n} \wedge d \overline{z_{1}} \cdots d \bar{z}_{n}}{z_{1} \overline{z_{1}} \cdots z_{n} \overline{z_{n}}}<$ $C|\log r|^{k}$ which yields the estimate as above (similarly to [18]). This characterization gives independence of a particular resolution for both the ideals of logquasiadjunction and the ideals of weight one log-quasiadjunction.

It is shown in [15] that an ideal of quasiadjunction $\mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ is determined by the vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{j_{r}+1}{m_{r}}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is also the case for the ideals of log-quasiadjunction and weight one logquasiadjunction. Indeed, these ideals can be described as follows. For a given embedded resolution $\pi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ of the germ $f_{1} \cdots f_{r}=0$ with the exceptional curves $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{k}, \ldots, E_{s}$ let $a_{k, i}$ (resp. $c_{k}$, resp. $\left.e_{k}(\phi)\right)$ be the multiplicity of the pull back on $V$ of $f_{i}(i=1, \ldots, r)($ resp. $d x \wedge d y$, resp. $\phi)$ along $E_{k}$. Then $\phi$ belongs to the ideal of quasiadjunction of type $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ if and only if for any $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k, 1} \frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}+\cdots+a_{k, r} \frac{j_{r}+1}{m_{r}}>a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k, r}-e_{k}(\phi)-c_{k}-1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [15]). Similar calculation shows that a germ $\phi$ belongs to the ideal of logquasiadjunction corresponding to $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ if and only if the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k, 1} \frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}+\cdots+a_{k, r} \frac{j_{r}+1}{m_{r}} \geq a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k, r}-e_{k}(\phi)-c_{k}-1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for any $k$. Moreover, a germ $\phi$ belongs to the ideal of weight one log-quasiadjunction if and only if this germ is a linear combination of germs $\phi$ satisfying inequality (5) for any collection of $k$ 's such that corresponding components do not intersect and satisfying the inequality (4) for $k$ outside of this collection. We shall denote the ideal of quasiadjunction (resp. log-quasiadjunction,
resp. weight one log-quasiadjunction) corresponding to $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ as $\quad \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \quad$ (resp. $\quad \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$, $\left.\operatorname{resp} . \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)\right)$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \\
& \quad \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that both (4) and (5) follow from the following calculation (cf. [15], Sect. 2 for complete details). One can use the normalization of the fiber product $\widetilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}=$ $V \times{ }_{\mathbf{C}^{2}} V_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ as a resolution of singularity (1) in the category of manifolds with quotient singularities (cf. [16]). We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}} & \stackrel{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{\pi} \downarrow} \quad  \tag{6}\\
& \pi \downarrow \\
V_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}} & \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{C}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

The preimage of the exceptional divisor of $V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ in $\widetilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ forms a divisor with normal crossings (cf. [24]), though the preimage of each component is reducible in general (in which case irreducible components above each exceptional curve do not intersect ${ }^{1}$ ). The order of the vanishing of $\omega_{\phi}$ on $\widetilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ along $E_{k}$ is equal to:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma_{i=1}^{i=r} & \left(j_{i}-m_{i}+1\right) \frac{m_{1} \cdots \hat{m}_{i} \cdots m_{r} \cdot a_{k, i}}{g_{k, 1} \cdots g_{k, r} s_{k}}+\frac{m_{1} \cdots m_{r} \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{E_{k}}\left(\pi^{*}(\phi)\right)}{g_{k, 1} \cdots g_{k, r} \cdot s_{k}}  \tag{7}\\
& +\frac{c_{k} \cdot m_{1} \cdots m_{r}}{g_{k, 1} \cdots g_{k, r} \cdot s_{k}}+\frac{m_{1} \cdots m_{r}}{g_{k, 1} \cdots g_{k, r} \cdot s_{k}}-1,
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{k, i}=$ g.c.d. $\left(m_{i}, a_{k, i}\right)$ and $s_{k}=$ g.c.d. $\left(\ldots, \frac{m_{i}}{g_{k, i}}, \ldots\right)$.
A consequence of (7) is that $\omega_{\phi}$ has an order of pole equal to one (resp. zero) along the component $E_{k}$ of the above resolution if and only if for such $\phi$ one has equality in (5) (resp. (4) is satisfied).

Proposition 2.2.1. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ be an ideal of log-quasiadjunction. There is a unique polytope $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that a vector $\left(\frac{\left(j_{1}+1\right.}{m_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{j_{r}+1}{m_{r}}\right) \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ contains $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} .{ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ If the Galois group $G$ of $\tilde{p}$ is abelian (as we always assume here) and, in particular, is the quotient of $H_{1}(B-C \cap B, \mathbf{Z})$, then the Galois group of $\tilde{p}^{-1}\left(E_{i}\right) \rightarrow E_{i}$ is $G /\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ where for an exceptional curve $E_{k}, \gamma_{k}$ is the image in the Galois group of the homology class of the boundary of a small disk transversal to $E_{k}$ in $V$. The components of $\tilde{p}^{-1}\left(E_{i}\right)$ correspond to the elements of $G /\left(\gamma_{i}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}, \ldots\right)$ where $l$ runs through indices of all exceptional curves intersecting $E_{i}$, while $\tilde{p}_{i}$ restricted on each component has $\left(\gamma_{i}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}, \ldots\right) /\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ as the Galois group. The points $\tilde{p}^{-1}\left(E_{i} \cap E_{j}\right)$ correspond to the elements of $G /\left(\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right)$ and the points of $\tilde{p}^{-1}\left(E_{i} \cap E_{j}\right)$ belonging to a fixed component correspond to cosets in $\left(\gamma_{i}, \ldots, \gamma_{l}, \ldots\right) /\left(\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right)$.
${ }^{2}$ i.e. a subset in $\mathbf{R}^{r}$ given by a set of linear inequalities $L_{s} \geq k_{s}$. We say that an affine hyperplane in $\mathbf{R}^{r}$ supports a codimension one face of a polytope if the intersection of this
2. The set of vectors (3) for which $\mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \neq \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid\right.$ $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ ) is a dense subset in the boundary of the polytope having as its closure a union of faces of such a polytope. The closure of the set of vectors (3) for which $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \neq \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ is also a union of certain faces of such a polytope.
3. The ideal $\mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ and $\left.\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)\right)$ is independent of the array $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid\right.$ $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ ) as long as the vector (3) varies within the interior of the same face of quasiadjunction.

We shall call the above faces thefaces of quasiadjunction (resp. weight one faces of quasiadjunction). $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$ will denote $\mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ with corresponding vector (3) belonging to the interior of a face of quasiadjunction $\Sigma$ (similarly for $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime \prime}$ ).

Proof. First let us describe the inequalities defining the polytope corresponding to an ideal of log-quasiadjunction $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ with the property described in 1 . For any ideal $\mathcal{B}$ in the local ring of a singular point let $e_{k}(\mathcal{B})=\min _{\phi \in \mathcal{B}} \operatorname{ord}_{E_{k}}\left(\pi^{*}(\phi)\right)$. Note that $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ (resp. $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ ) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{E_{k}}\left(\pi^{*}(\phi)\right) \geq e_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(resp. $\left.\operatorname{ord}_{E_{k}}\left(\pi^{*}(\phi)\right) \geq e_{k}(\mathcal{A})\right)$ for all $k$ since if $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ it certainly satisfies (8) for each $k$ and vice versa if $\phi$ satisfies (8) for all $k$ it also satisfies (5) for any $k$ and hence $\phi$ belongs to ideal $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$. The same works for $\mathcal{A}$. We claim that $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with property $l$ is the subset of the unit cube which consists of solutions of the system of inequalities in $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k, 1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{k, r} x_{r} \geq a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k, r}-e_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)-c_{k}-1 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to derive $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ for (3) satisfying (9) note that if the array $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ satisfies (9) for all $k$ and if $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$, i.e. we have $e_{k}(\phi) \geq e_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ then we also have (5) for all $k$ and hence $\phi \in$ $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$. Vice versa, if $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ contains $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ then for any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ and $k$ we have (5) and hence $\min _{\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}} e_{k}(\phi)$ satisfies the same inequality. This proves the first part of the proposition.

For the second part, let us notice that the boundary of the set of solutions of the system (9) is the set of vectors (3) satisfying (9) for a proper subset $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ of the set of exceptional curves $\mathcal{S}$ and the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k, 1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{k, r} x_{r}>a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k, r}-e_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)-c_{k}-1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

hyperplane with the boundary of the polytope has dimension $r-1$. A face of a polytope is the intersection of a supporting face of the polytope with the boundary. A codimension one face of a polytope in $\mathbf{R}^{r}$ is a polytope of dimension $r-1$. By induction one obtains faces of arbitrary codimension for original polytope (for $r=3$ those are called edges and vertices). The boundary of the polytope is the union of its faces.
for $k \in \mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. For an array $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$, with the corresponding vector (3) in the boundary of $\mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, let $E_{k}$ be a component with $k \in \mathcal{S}-\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. If $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ is a germ such that $e_{k}(\phi)$ yields equality in (5), then the form $\omega_{\phi}$ has pole of order exactly one along $E_{k}$. Hence $\phi \notin \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$. Vice versa, if $\mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \neq \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ then there exists a form $\omega_{\phi}$ having a pole of order exactly one along a component $E_{k}$. Hence, since $e_{k}(\phi)=\min \left\{\operatorname{ord}_{E_{k}} \psi \mid \psi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)\right\}$, the corresponding vector (3) belongs to the boundary of the polytope of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$.

The vectors (3) corresponding to arrays having distinct ideals $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ belong to faces which are not in the intersection of a pair of codimension one faces corresponding to intersecting exceptional curves (i.e. vectors (3) for which one has equality in (9) for a pair of indices such that $\left.E_{k} \cap E_{l} \neq \emptyset\right)$.
Finally, 3 follows since the inequalities imposed by (3) on $\operatorname{ord}_{E_{k}} \phi$ and defining the ideals of quasiadjunction are the same for vectors in the interior of each face of quasiadjunction.

Proposition 2.3. Any ideal of quasiadjunction is an ideal of log-quasiadjunction (but for a different array $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ ) and vice versa.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ be an ideal of quasiadjunction and let $\left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{r}^{\prime} \mid m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ be an array such that the corresponding vector (3) belongs to the boundary of the set of solutions of the system of inequalities (for any $k \in \mathcal{S}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k, 1} x_{1}+\ldots .+a_{k, r} x_{r} \geq a_{k, 1}+\ldots+a_{k, r}-e_{k}(\mathcal{A})-c_{k}-1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{r}^{\prime} \mid m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right)$. Indeed if $\phi \in \mathcal{A}$ then $\operatorname{ord}_{E_{k}}(\phi) \geq$ $e_{k}(\mathcal{A})$ together with (11) yields $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{r}^{\prime} \mid m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right)$. To get the opposite inclusion $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{r}^{\prime} \mid m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ notice that the vector ( 3 ) corresponding to $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ is in the interior of the set of solutions of (11) since this vector cannot satisfy equality in the system (11) because otherwise for $\phi$ such that $e_{k}(\phi)=e_{k}(\mathcal{A})$ we shall have $a_{k, 1} \frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}+\cdots+a_{k, r} \frac{j_{r}+1}{m_{r}}=a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k, r}-e_{k}(\phi)-c_{k}-1$ contradicting to $\phi \in \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$. Therefore for $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{r}^{\prime} \mid m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ we shall have: $e_{k}(\phi) \geq-a_{k, 1} \frac{j_{1}^{\prime}+1}{m_{1}^{\prime}}-\cdots-a_{k, r} \frac{j_{r}^{\prime}+1}{m_{r}^{\prime}}+a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k, r}-c_{k}-1>$ $-a_{k, 1} \frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}-\cdots-a_{k, r} \frac{j_{r}+1}{m_{r}}+a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k, r}-c_{k}-1$ i.e. $\phi \in \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid\right.$ $\left.m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$.

Now let us show that any ideal of log-quasiadjunction, say $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{r}^{\prime} \mid\right.$ $\left.m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right)$, is an ideal of quasiadjunction. Let us choose the array $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid\right.$ $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ ) so that for the corresponding vector (3) none of intervals $-a_{k, 1} \frac{j_{1}^{\prime}+1}{m_{1}^{\prime}}-$ $\cdots-a_{k, r} \frac{j_{r}^{\prime}+1}{m_{r}^{\prime}}+a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k, r}-c_{k}-1>x>-a_{k, 1} \frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}-\cdots-a_{k, r} \frac{j_{r}+1}{m_{r}}+a_{k, 1}+$ $\cdots+a_{k, r}-c_{k}-1$ contains an integer for all $k$. Then $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{r}^{\prime} \mid m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ is equivalent to $\phi \in \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$.

The following description of the ideals of quasiadjunction is useful for explicit calculations of the polytopes introduced above and their faces.

Proposition 2.4. Let $\pi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ be a composition of blow ups with the exceptional set $E_{1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{k}$ such that $\pi\left(\bigcup E_{i}\right)$ is the origin $O$. For a sequence of positive integers $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}$ let $I\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)=\left\{\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{O} \operatorname{ord}_{E_{i}} \pi^{*}(\phi) \geq \alpha_{i}, i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, k\}$.

1. There are germs $\psi_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{O}$ such that $I\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right)$ consists of $\phi$ 's such that the intersection index of $\phi=0$ and $\psi=0$ is not less than $\alpha_{k}$.
2. For $e_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in (8) we have the identity $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}=I\left(e_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right), \ldots, e_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$. Let for such $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ we have $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ and the faces of the polytope of quasiadjunction containing corresponding vector (3) are the faces corresponding to all exceptional curves $E_{k}$ where $k \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid\right.$ $\left.m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)=I\left(\ldots, e_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\epsilon_{k}, \ldots\right)$ where $\epsilon_{k}=1$ for $k \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ and $\epsilon_{k}=0$ otherwise.
3. $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ is the ideal generated by the ideals $I\left(e_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)+\epsilon_{k}\right)$ corresponding all possible arrays $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{k}\right)$ where $\epsilon_{i}=$ 1 if $i \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is such that there exists $j \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ with $E_{i} \cap E_{j} \neq 0$ and $\epsilon_{i}=0$ otherwise.

Proof. As $\psi_{i}$ one can take the local equation of the image of a transversal to $E_{i}$ in its generic point. The rest follows from (8) and the definitions.

Remark 2.5. The polytopes of quasiadjunction in this paper are somewhat different than the local polytope of quasiadjunction of [15]. The latter polytopes are defined as the equivalence classes of vectors (3) when two vectors are considered equivalent if and only if the corresponding ideals of quasiadjunction are the same. The interior of a polytope $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ is the union of the local polytopes of quasiadjunction from [15]. Vice versa, the convex polytopes in this section determine the local polytopes of quasiadjunction in [15].

Remark 2.6. Recall that for a $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor $D$ on a non singular manifold $X$ its multiplier ideal $\mathcal{J}(D)$ (cf. ([19]) can be defined as follows. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be an embedded resolution of $D$ and $f^{*}(D)=-E$. Then $\mathcal{J}(D)=f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(K_{Y}-f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)-\right.\right.$ $\lfloor E\rfloor)$ ) where $\lfloor E\rfloor$ is round-down of a $\mathbf{Q}$-divisor. In this terminology one can define the ideals of quasiadjunction as follows. For an array $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right),\left(\gamma_{i} \in \mathbf{Q}\right)$ let $D_{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}}$ be given by equation $f_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \cdots f_{r}^{\gamma_{r}}$. Then $\mathcal{J}\left(D_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{r}}\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid\right.$ $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ ) where $\gamma_{i}=1-\frac{j_{i}+1}{m_{i}}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. This follows immediately from (4).
2.3. Mixed Hodge structure of the cohomology of links of singularities and on the local cohomology

Here we shall summarize several well known facts used in the next section. Cohomology of the link $L$ of an isolated singularity $x$ of a complex space $X(\operatorname{dim} X=n)$ can be given a Mixed Hodge structure, for example using canonical identification $H^{k}(L)=H_{\{x\}}^{*}(X)$ with the local cohomology and using the construction of mixed Hodge structure on the latter due to Steenbrink [23]. The Hodge numbers:
$h^{k p q}(L)=\operatorname{dim} G r_{F}^{p} G r_{p+q}^{W} H^{k}(L)$ have the following symmetry properties (cf. [9, 23]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{k p q}=h^{2 n-k-1, n-p, n-q} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E$ is the exceptional divisor for a resolution, then for $k<n$ one has (cf. [9])

$$
\begin{gather*}
h^{k p q}(L)=h^{k p q}(E) \quad \text { if } p+q<k \\
h^{k p q}(L)=h^{k p q}(E)-h^{2 n-k, n-p, n-q}(E) \quad \text { if } p+q=k  \tag{13}\\
h^{k p q}(L)=0 \quad \text { if } p+q>k
\end{gather*}
$$

The mixed Hodge structure on cohomology of a link is related to the mixed Hodge structure on vanishing cohomology of the Milnor fiber $B$ via the exact sequence (corresponding to the exact sequence of a pair):

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow H^{n-1}(L) \rightarrow H_{c}^{n}(B) \rightarrow H^{n}(B) \rightarrow H^{n}(L) \rightarrow 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures (cf. [24] and (2.3) in [23]).
Steenbrink also put Mixed Hodge structure on the local cohomology $H_{E}^{*}(\tilde{X})$ ([23]) where $\tilde{X}$ is a resolution of $X$. In the case $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbf{C}} \tilde{X}=2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{E}^{*}(\tilde{X})=\operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{4-*}(E), \mathbf{Q}(-2)\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{Q}(-2)$ is Tate Hodge of type $(2,2)$. Since the Hodge and weight filtrations on $H^{1}(E)$ have form:

$$
H^{1}(E)=W_{1} \supset W_{0} \supset 0, \quad H^{1}(E)=F^{0} \supset F^{1} \supset F^{2}=0
$$

we have on $H_{E}^{3}(\tilde{X})$

$$
H_{E}^{3}(\tilde{X})=W_{4} \supset W_{3} \supset W_{2}=0, H_{E}^{3}(\tilde{X})=F^{1} \supset F^{2} \supset F^{3}=0
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{1} H^{1}(L)=F^{1} H^{1}(E)=F^{2} H_{E}^{3}(\tilde{X}) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can use the following complex for description of this mixed Hodge structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow A_{E}^{2}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow A_{E}^{3}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{E}^{2}(\tilde{X})=\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}(\log E) / \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{1}, A_{E}^{3}(\tilde{X})=\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{2}(\log E) / \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{2}
$$

with filtrations given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{2} A_{E}^{p}(\tilde{X})= & 0 \text { for } p<3, F^{2} A_{E}^{p}(\tilde{X})=A_{E}^{p}(\tilde{X}) \text { for } p \geq 3 \\
& W_{3} A_{E}^{3}(\tilde{X})=W_{1} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{2}(\log E) / \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $H^{3}(E)=0$, the relations (13) and (15) yield that the complex (17) completely determines $h^{1 p q}$ (and hence all Hodge numbers $h^{k p q}$ by (12)).

## 3. Characteristic varieties and polytopes of quasiadjunction

### 3.1. Main theorem

We shall view the unit cube $\mathcal{U}$, considered in the last section and containing the polytopes of quasiadjunction, as the fundamental domain for the Galois group $H^{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ of the universal abelian cover $H^{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{R}\right)$ of the group $H^{1}\left(S^{3}-\right.$ $L, \mathbf{R} / \mathbf{Z})$ of the unitary characters of $H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ (i.e. the maximal compact subgroup of $\left.\operatorname{Char}\left(H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)=H^{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{C}^{*}\right)\right)$. exp : $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \operatorname{Char}\left(H_{1}\left(S^{3}-\right.\right.$ $L, \mathbf{Z})$ ) will denote the restriction of $H^{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{R}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{R} / \mathbf{Z}\right)$ on $\mathcal{U}$.

For any sub-link $\tilde{L}$ of $L$, i.e. a link formed by components of $L$, we have surjection $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-L\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-\tilde{L}\right)$ induced by inclusion. Hence Char $H_{1}\left(S^{3}-\right.$ $\tilde{L}, \mathbf{Z})$ is a sub-torus of $\operatorname{Char} H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ ) (in coordinates in the latter torus corresponding to the components of $L$ it is given by equations of the form $t_{\alpha}=1$ where subscripts correspond to components of $L$ absent in $\tilde{L}$ ). Moreover, since the homology of the universal abelian cover $H_{1}\left(\widetilde{S^{3}-L}\right)$ surjects onto $H_{1}\left(\widetilde{S^{3}-\tilde{L}}\right)$, it follows that $V_{i}\left(S^{3}-\tilde{L}\right)$ belongs to a component of $V_{i}\left(S^{3}-L\right)$ (cf. 1.2.1 in [15]). We shall call a character of $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-L\right)$ (or a connected component of $V_{i}\left(S^{3}-L\right)$ ) essential if it does not belong to a subtorus Char $H^{1}\left(S^{3}-\tilde{L}\right)$ for any sublink $\tilde{L}$ of $L$.

Let $L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ be the link of singularity (1) or equivalently the cover of $S^{3}$ branched over the link $L$ and having a quotient $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}=\mathbf{Z} / m_{1} \mathbf{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Z} / m_{1} \mathbf{Z}$ of $H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ as its Galois group. We shall view Char $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ as a subgroup of Char $H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$. The group $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ acting on $H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$ preserves both Hodge and weight filtrations.
Theorem 3.1. An essential character $\chi \in \operatorname{Char}\left(H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)\right)$ is a character of the representation of $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ acting on $F^{1}\left(H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)\right)$ if and only if it factors through the Galois group $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ and belongs to the image of a face of quasiadjunction under the exponential map.

The multiplicity of $\chi$ in this representation of the Galois group is equal to $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$ where $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime \prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$ ) is the ideal of log-quasiadjunction (resp. ideal of quasiadjunction) corresponding to a vector (3) belonging to the face of quasiadjunction $\Sigma$.

A character $\chi$ is a character of the representation of the Galois group of the cover on $W_{0}\left(H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)\right)$ if and only if it factors through the Galois group $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ and it belongs to the image under the exponential map of a weight one face of quasiadjunction.

Proof. 1.log-2-forms on $V_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}-p$.Let $\tilde{p}: \tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}} \rightarrow V_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ be a resolution such that the exceptional locus is a divisor $\tilde{E}=\bigcup \tilde{E}_{i}$ on $\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ with normal crossings (e.g. (6)). The group $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ acts on both sheaves: $\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}(\log E)$ and $\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}$.

We are going to identify the eigenspace of $\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}(\log E) / \Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}$ corresponding to the character $\chi$, which is the exponent of a vector (3) belonging to a face of quasiadjunction $\Sigma$, with the quotient of ideals $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$.
 $E=V_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}-p$ since it is a residue of a holomorphic $(r+2)$-form on $\mathbf{C}^{r+2}-$ $V_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$. It is an eigenform corresponding to the character $\chi$ such that $\chi\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=$ $\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} \frac{m_{i}-j_{i}-1}{m_{i}}\right)$ (here $\gamma_{i} \in H^{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ are the generators corresponding to the components of the link $L$ ).

Vice versa, any 2-form, holomorphic on $V_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}-p$, is a residue of $r+2$-form given by $\frac{\phi\left(z_{1}, \ldots,, r_{r}, x, y\right) d z_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d z_{r} \wedge d x \wedge d y}{\left(z_{1}^{m_{1}}-f_{1}(x, y)\right) \cdots\left(z_{r}^{m r}-f_{r}(x, y)\right)}$ where $\phi$ is a polynomial. Decomposition of $\phi$ into a sum of monomials corresponds to the decomposition of the form into sum over characters.

Secondly a form $\frac{z_{1}^{j_{1} \ldots z_{r}^{j_{r}} \phi d x \wedge d y}}{z_{1}^{m_{1}-1} \ldots z_{r}^{m_{r}-1}}$ is log-2-form (resp. holomorphic 2-form) if and only if $\phi(x, y)$ is in the ideal of log-quasiadjunction (resp. quasiadjunction) corresponding to $\left(\frac{j_{1}}{m_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{j_{r}}{m_{r}}\right.$ ). These ideals do not coincide if and only if (3) belongs to a face of quasiadjunction.
2. Hodge and weight filtration on cohomology of link. Now we want to identify $\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}^{2}}^{2}(\log E) / \Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}^{2}}^{2}$ with $F^{1} H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$. (16) yields that the latter is isomorphic to $F^{2} H_{E}^{3}\left(\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$. Using description of the Hodge filtration on $H_{E}^{3}\left(\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$ from (2.3) it can be identified with the hypercohomology of the complex $0 \rightarrow A_{E}^{3}\left(\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ i.e. with $H^{0}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}(\log E) / \Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}\right)$. Since by the Grauert-Riemenschneider theorem $H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}\right)=0$ we see that the latter space is isomorphic to $H^{0}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}(\log E)\right) / H^{0}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}\right)$ and the claim follows.
3. Conclusion of the proof. Similarly to the above, it follows that a character $\chi$ is a character of the representation of $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ on $W_{0} H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$ if it is a character of this group acting on $W_{2} / W_{1}\left(\Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}}^{2}(\log \tilde{E}) / \Omega_{\tilde{V}_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}^{2}}^{2}\right)($ cf. (2.3)). In other words $\chi=\exp \left(\frac{2 \pi i j_{1}}{m_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{2 \pi i j_{r}}{m_{r}}\right)$ where $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right) \neq$ $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ i.e. $\left(\frac{j_{1}}{m_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{j_{r}}{m_{r}}\right)$ belongs to a face of weight one $\log$ quasiadjunction. Moreover, the dimension of the $\chi$-eigenspace of the action of the Galois group of the cover on $W_{0} H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$ is equal to $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime}$ where $\Sigma$ is the face of weight one log-quasiadjunction to which the $\chi$ belongs.

### 3.2. Essential components of characteristic varieties

Theorem 3.1 allows one to describe essential components of characteristic varieties. Indeed, each component of $V_{i}\left(S^{3}-L\right)$ is a torus translated by a point of a finite order in $\mathrm{Char} H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ (cf. 2.1) and each such sub-torus is Zariski closure of the set of points of finite order in it. It follows from [21] that an essential character of finite order belongs to $V_{i}\left(S^{3}-L\right)$ if and only if it is a character of $H_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}$ on $H_{1}\left(L_{\left.m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)}\right)$ for some array $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$. A character $\chi$ appears as either a character on $W_{0} H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$, in which case according to 3.1 it is an exponent of a vector in a face of quasiadjunction, or $\chi$ is a character on $W_{1} / W_{0} H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$, in
which case either $\chi$ or $\bar{\chi}$ is a character of the Galois group acting on $H^{1,0}\left(W_{1} / W_{0}\right)$. In each of the cases, the multiplicity of the character is $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}$ where $\Sigma$ is the face of quasiadjunction to exponent of which $\chi$ belongs. If $\mathcal{L}_{\chi}$ will denote the local system on $S^{3}-L$ corresponding to the character $\chi$ then this multiplicity is equal to to $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\chi}\right)$, as follows from arguments in [21]. On the other hand, $\operatorname{dim} H^{1}\left(\mathcal{L}_{\chi}\right)$ is the depth of the characteristic variety to which $\chi$ belongs. We obtain therefore:
Proposition 3.2. For $\xi=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right) \in \mathcal{U}$ let $\bar{\xi}=\left(1-x_{1}, \ldots, 1-x_{r}\right)$. For a face of quasiadjunction $\Sigma$ let $\tilde{\Sigma}=\Sigma$ or $\tilde{\Sigma}=\Sigma \cup \bar{\Sigma}$ depending on whether $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}=0$ or not. Then the Zariski closure of $\exp (\tilde{\Sigma})$ is a component of the characteristic variety of depth $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}+\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\Sigma}}^{\prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\Sigma}}+\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}^{\prime}$. Vice versa, any essential component of $V_{i}\left(S^{3}-L\right)$ has such a form.

### 3.3. Quasiadjunction and higher Alexander polynomials

We shall show that in the case $r=1$, information from the faces and ideals of quasiadjunction determines all Hodge numbers $h_{\zeta}^{p, q}$ of the Milnor fiber of $f=0$ considered in the introduction and in particular all Alexander polynomials $\Delta_{i}$ (cf. Introduction). The idea of relating the constants of quasiadjunction to the Hodge theory appeared first in [17] where the case of the first Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{1}$ was studied.

For $r=1$ each polytope of quasiadjunction is a segment $[\kappa, 1] . \kappa$ is called (cf. [11]) the constant of quasiadjunction (resp. log-quasiadjunction and weight one quasiadjunction).
Proposition 3.3. 1. $\zeta$ is an eigenvalue of the monodromy acting on the Milnor fiber of $f$ if and only if $\zeta$ or $\bar{\zeta}$ is equal to $\exp 2 \pi \kappa_{l}$ for some constant of quasiadjunction $\kappa_{l}$.
2. If $\zeta=\exp 2 \pi \kappa_{l}$ and $\kappa_{l}$ is a weight one constant quasiadjunction then $h_{\zeta}^{0,0}=$ $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_{l}}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_{l}}^{\prime}$ and $h_{\zeta}^{1,0}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_{l}}^{\prime} / \mathcal{A}_{\kappa_{l}}$. In particular $h_{\zeta}^{0,0}=0$, unless the corresponding $\kappa_{l}$ is a weight one constant of quasiadjunction.
Proof. $\zeta \neq 1$ such that $\zeta^{m}=1$ is an eigenvalue of the monodromy of the Milnor fiber of $f$ if an only if it is an eigenvalue of the Galois group of acting on $H^{1}\left(L_{m}\right)=$ $H_{\left\{\text {Sing } V_{m}\right\}}^{1}\left(V_{m}\right)$ where $V_{m}$ is given by $z^{m}=f($ cf. (1)). On the other hand, the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow H_{\left\{\operatorname{Sing} V_{m}\right\}}^{1}\left(V_{m}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2}\left(M\left(V_{m}\right)\right) \stackrel{j}{\rightarrow} H^{2}\left(M\left(V_{m}\right)\right) \rightarrow
$$

(cf. (14)) in which $M\left(V_{m}\right)$ is the Milnor fiber of $V_{m}$ shows that the Hodge structure on $H^{1}\left(L_{m}\right)$ is isomorphic to the Hodge structure induced from $H_{c}^{2}\left(M\left(V_{m}\right)\right)$ on $\operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{c}-I\right)$. Indeed $\operatorname{ker} j=\operatorname{ker} T_{c}-I$ since $T_{c}-I=\operatorname{Var} \circ j$ (cf. [23], (2.4); recall also that Var : $H^{2}\left(M\left(V_{m}\right)\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2}\left(M\left(V_{m}\right)\right)$ is an isomorphism as a consequence for example of a well known relation between the Seifert form and variation operator).

The result follows since the Hodge structure on $H_{c}^{2}\left(M\left(V_{m}\right)\right)$ is determined by the Hodge structure on the Milnor fiber of $f=0$ via a Thom-Sebastiani type theorem (cf. [24]).

## 4. Properties and applications of polytopes of quasiadjunction

### 4.1. Semicontinuity

Theorem 4.1. 1. Let $C_{t}$ be a family of plane curve singularities in a ball $B$ with $r$ branches such that the limit curve has $r$ branches as well. Then the number of components of $V_{1}\left(C_{t}\right)$ does not exceed the number of components of $V_{1}\left(C_{0}\right)$.
2. The total volume of all codimension one faces of quasiadjunction is semicontinuous, provided that the volume of each face is calculated with respect to the measure on the hyperplane containing this face in which the measure of the simplex containing no integral points is equal to $\frac{1}{(r-1)!}$.

Proof. First notice that the intersection form on $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ of the smoothing of the complete intersection surface singularity which is an abelian cover of $B$ branched over $C_{t}$ (i.e the singularity (1)) embeds into the intersection form of the singularity which is the abelian cover of the same type branched over $C_{0}$. On the other hand, $b_{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$ is the dimension of the radical of this intersection form. In particular we have $b_{1}\left(L_{n, \ldots, n}\left(C_{t}\right)\right) \leq b_{1}\left(L_{n, \ldots, n}\left(C_{0}\right)\right)$. On the other hand $b_{1}\left(L_{n, \ldots, n}\right)=k n^{r-1}+\alpha$. $n^{r-1}+\cdots$ for almost all $n$ as follows from [21] where $k$ is the number of components in $V_{1}(C)$ since the number of points of order $n$ on a torus of dimension $l$ translated by a point of finite order is $n^{l}$ for almost all $n$. Hence $k=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_{1}\left(L_{n}, \ldots, n\right)}{n^{r-1}}$ which yields the first part.

Similarly, asymptotically the number of the points in the lattice $\left(\frac{k}{n}, \ldots, \frac{k}{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{U}$ which belong to codimension one faces of quasiadjunction is the total volume of the faces. On the other hand this number of the points is $\operatorname{dim} F^{1} H_{1}^{2}(M)$ i.e. the dimension of the Hodge filtration $F^{1}$ on the subspace of the cohomology of the Milnor fiber consisting of monodromy invariants. Similarly to [25] for the Milnor fibers $M_{t}$ and $M_{0}$ of the smoothings of abelian covers of $B$ having type $(n, \ldots, n)$ and branched over $C_{t}$ and $C_{0}$ respectively we have: $\operatorname{dim} F^{1} H_{1}^{2}\left(M_{t}\right)-$ $\operatorname{dim} F^{1} H_{1}^{2}\left(M_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dim} F^{1} \mathbf{H}_{1}(R \Phi)$ which yields the second part.

### 4.2. Log canonical divisors

Recall ([10]) that a pair $(X, D)$ where $X$ is normal and $D$ is a $\mathbf{R}$-divisor such that $K_{X}+D$ is $\mathbf{R}$-Cartier is called log-canonical at $x \in X$ if for any birational morphism $f: Y \rightarrow X$, with $Y$ normal, in the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{Y}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}+D\right)+\sum_{E} a(E, X, D) E \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each irreducible $E$ having center at $x$ one has $a(E, X, D) \geq-1$. This coefficient is called discrepancy of divisor $D$ on $X$ along $E$.

Proposition 4.2. The local ring $\mathcal{O}_{O}$ of a singularity $f_{1} \cdots f_{r}=0$ at the origin $O$ of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ considered as the ideal in itself is an ideal of log-quasiadjunction. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the corresponding polytope of log-quasiadjunction. Let $D_{i}$ be the divisor in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ with the local equation $f_{i}=0$ near the origin.

Then for $\left\{\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{r}\right)\right\} \in \mathbf{R}^{r}$ the divisor $\gamma_{1} D_{1}+\cdots+\gamma_{r} D_{r}$ is log-canonical at $(0,0) \in \mathbf{C}^{2}$ if and only if $\left(\gamma_{1}+1, \ldots, \gamma_{r}+1\right)$ belongs to the polytope $\mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Let us consider the polytope given by inequalities (11) in which one puts $e_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k, 1} x_{1}+\cdots+a_{k, r} x_{r} \geq a_{k, 1}+\cdots+a_{k_{r}}-c_{k}-1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ be such that the corresponding vector (3) belongs to the boundary of this polytope. Then $1 \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ and hence $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{r} \mid m_{1} \nmid, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ is the local ring of the origin.

If $\pi: V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{2}$ is an embedded resolution $\pi^{*}\left(f_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \cdots f_{r}^{\gamma_{r}} d x \wedge d y\right)$ has as the order of vanishing along $E_{k}$ :

$$
a_{k, 1} \gamma_{1}+\cdots+a_{k, r} \gamma_{r}+c_{k}
$$

i.e. the discrepancy along each $E_{k}$ is not less than -1 if and only if $\left(\gamma_{1}+1, \ldots, \gamma_{r}+\right.$ 1) satisfies (19).

Remark 4.3. If $r=1$ the polytope of quasiadjunction $\mathcal{P}$ described above has as its face (i.e. the end) the constant of quasiadjunction (cf.[11] for a definition) $\kappa_{1}$ and one obtains that the log-canonical threshold $c_{0}(f)$ of $f=0$ satisfies: $c_{0}(f)+1=\kappa_{1}$. This result is due to J. Kollar (cf. ([10]) Prop. 9.8).

Remark 4.4. Mixed Hodge structure on cohomology of universal abelian covers. It seems at the moment very little is known about the Hodge theory on cohomology of complex manifolds which are not finite CW-complexes. Theorem 3.1 gives some hints what one may and may not expect. It yields a decomposition of the torus of unitary characters into a union of connected subsets (faces of quasiadjunction or their conjugates) in which the characters appearing on certain Hodge and weight type on a finite level are dense. These subsets are not algebraic subvarieties. In particular one cannot expect filtrations on the cohomology of infinite abelian covers with Galois-invariant Hodge and weight filtrations. Results of [15] yield decomposition of the torus of unitary characters of the fundamental group of the complement to an affine algebraic curve similar to the one given by Theorem 3.1 and reflecting the Hodge theory on $H^{1}$ of the infinite abelian cover of this complement.

## 5. Examples

### 5.1. Links of Ordinary singularities

Let us consider the link of the singular point of $L_{1} \cdots L_{r}=0$ where $L_{i}$ are distinct linear forms on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ (the Hopf link with $r$ components). The faces of quasiadjunction are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{l}: \quad x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}=l, \quad(l=1, \ldots, r-2) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows directly since a resolution is achieved by single blow up and the multiplicity of $L_{i}$ on the exceptional curve is equal to 1 (i.e. the coefficients $a_{k, i}$ in (5) are all equal to 1 ). Moreover, if $\mathcal{M}$ is the maximal ideal in the local ring of the origin, then the ideal of quasiadjunction $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_{l}}$ corresponding to the face (20) is $\mathcal{M}^{r-1-l}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_{l}}^{\prime}=\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma_{l}}^{\prime \prime}=\mathcal{M}^{r-2-l}$. We have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{M}^{l-1} / \mathcal{M}^{l}=l$. Hence, if $\chi$ is a character of the fundamental group having multi-order $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$ and given on the generators $\gamma_{i} \in H_{1}\left(S^{3}-L, \mathbf{Z}\right)$ corresponding to the components of $L$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(\gamma_{i}\right)=\exp \left(2 \pi \sqrt{-1} x_{i}\right) \quad \text { where } \Sigma x_{i}=l \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the dimension of the eigenspace $H^{1,0}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)_{\chi}$ is $r-1-l$. The conjugate character $\bar{\chi}$ has the same multiplicity $r-1-l$ on $H^{0,1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$. Therefore the character in (21) has on $H^{0,1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$ multiplicity $l-1$ and its multiplicity on $H^{1}\left(L_{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}}\right)$ is equal to $r-2$. In particular, the characteristic variety has only one essential component $V_{r-2}$. This is well known from the calculations using the Fox calculus (cf. [12] for $r=3$ ).

### 5.2. Singularity $\left(x^{2}+y^{5}\right)\left(y^{2}+x^{5}\right)($ cf. also [6])

A standard sequence of blow ups lead to the resolution $V$ for this singularity pictured on Fig. $1\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right.$ are the exceptional curves in the last blow up and $B_{1}, B_{2}$ are the branches of the singularity).

We have $e_{E_{1}}(x)=5, e_{E_{1}}(y)=2, e_{E_{2}}(x)=2, e_{E_{2}}(y)=5$ and hence $e_{E_{1}}\left(x^{2}+\right.$ $\left.y^{5}\right)=10, e_{E_{1}}\left(y^{2}+x^{5}\right)=4, e_{E_{2}}\left(x^{2}+y^{5}\right)=4, e_{E_{2}}\left(y^{2}+x^{5}\right)=10$. Moreover, $e_{E_{1}}(d x \wedge d y)=e_{E_{2}}(d x \wedge d y)=6$ (the orders of all functions and forms on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ meant to be calculated on the chosen resolution $V$ ). As in (1), $V_{m_{1}, m_{2}}$ is the abelian branched cover: $z_{1}^{m_{1}}=x^{2}+y^{5}, z_{2}^{m_{2}}=x^{5}+y^{2}$. A form $\omega_{\phi}$ given by (2) admits a holomorphic extension over the preimages of the curves $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ on the normalization $\widetilde{V}_{m_{1}, m_{2}}$ of $V \times_{\mathbf{C}^{2}} V_{m_{1}, m_{2}}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& 10\left(\frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}-1\right)+4\left(\frac{j_{2}+1}{m_{2}}-1\right)+e_{E_{1}}(\phi)+7 \geq 0  \tag{22}\\
& 4\left(\frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}-1\right)+10\left(\frac{j_{2}+1}{m_{2}}-1\right)+e_{E_{2}}(\phi)+7 \geq 0 \\
& E_{1}+\quad \\
& \hline
\end{align*}
$$

Fig. 1. Resolution of $\left(x^{2}+y^{5}\right)\left(x^{5}+y^{2}\right)$


Fig. 2. Faces of quasiadjunction for $\left(x^{2}+y^{5}\right)\left(x^{5}+y^{2}\right)$
(cf. (5)). Similar inequalities should be written for other exceptional curves but calculation shows that the inequalities expressing the condition that $\omega_{\phi}$ extends over the preimages of remaining exceptional curves in Fig. 1 follow from (22).

The quotient $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, j_{2} \mid m_{1}, m_{2}\right) / \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, j_{2} \mid m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists a function $\phi$ for which (22) is satisfied and such that a left hand side in at least one of inequalities (22) is zero. Since the possibilities for $e_{E_{i}}(\phi)$ are $0,2,4,5,6,7, \ldots$, the faces of quasiadjunction are subsets of the lines:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 x_{1}+4 x_{2}=7,5,3,2,1 \\
& 4 x_{1}+10 x_{2}=7,5,3,2,1
\end{aligned}
$$

The value $e_{E_{1}}(\phi)=5$ (i.e. $\phi=x$ ) for $i=1$ does not yield a face of quasiadjunction since it implies that $e_{E_{2}}(\phi)=2$ and no pair $x_{1}=\frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}, x_{2}=\frac{j_{2}+1}{m_{2}}$ in the unit square satisfies inequalities (22) with such $e_{E_{i}}(\phi)$. Similarly, $e_{E_{2}}(\phi)=5$ also does not yield a face of quasiadjunction.

Next let us consider pairs $\left(\frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}, \frac{j_{2}+1}{m_{2}}\right)$ satisfying $10 x_{1}+4 x_{2}=7$. It follows from the first inequality in (22) that $\phi$ is a non zero in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\left(j_{1}, j_{2} \mid m_{1}, m_{2}\right) / \mathcal{A}\left(j_{1}, j_{2} \mid\right.$ $m_{1}, m_{2}$ ) only if $e_{E_{1}}(\phi)=0$ and hence the lowest order term of $\phi$ is a constant (i.e. this is the only case when $\omega_{\phi}$ has a pole of order 1 along $E_{1}$ ). Hence the second inequality in (22) is $4 x_{1}+10 x_{2} \geq 7$ and hence only "the half" of the segment $10 x_{1}+4 x_{2}=7$ in the unit square is the face of quasiadjunction. Similarly "the half" of the segment $4 x_{1}+10 x_{2}=7$ is also the face of quasiadjunction.

On the other hand for a pair $\left(\frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}, \frac{j_{2}+1}{m_{2}}\right)$ on the segment $10 x_{1}+4 x_{2}=5$, assuming that $\omega_{\phi}$ has a pole of order one along $E_{1}$, the first inequality (22) yields that the lowest order term of $\phi$ is $a y, a \in \mathbf{C}^{*}$ and the second one in (22) is satisfied for any pair $\left(\frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}, \frac{j_{1}+1}{m_{1}}\right)$ on $10 x_{1}+4 x_{2}=5$ in the unit square. Therefore the segment $10 x_{1}+4 x_{2}=5$ is a face of quasiadjunction. Similar calculations show that we obtain the diagram of faces of quasiadjunction given on Fig. 2. Moreover,
the points $B, C, D, E, F$ are the only ones for which one has $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}=2$ (for the remaining points on the faces of quasiadjunction this dimension is 1 ).

Exponential map takes the union of the faces of quasiadjunction and their conjugates into the union of of translated subgroups $t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{5}+1=0$ and $t_{1}^{5} t_{2}^{2}+1=0$ (and coincides with the union of translated maximal compact subgroups of the latter). $V_{2}$ consists of exponents of the points of the following types:
(a) Points where $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}=2$ (corresponding characters appear on holomorphic part); these are the points $B, C, D, E, F$.
(b) Conjugates of the points in (a) (corresponding characters appear on anti-holomorphic part).
(c) Points belonging to faces of quasiadjunction and having conjugates on faces of quasiadjunction as well; corresponding characters appear with multiplicty one on both the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts; these are points $H, G, K, L, M, N(N$ is the conjugate of $M$.
(d) Conjugates of the points in (c).

We obtain that $V_{2}$ consists of all (twenty) points of intersection of two translates $t_{1}^{2} t_{2}^{5}+1=0$ and $t_{1}^{5} t_{2}^{2}+1=0$ except the point $(-1,-1)$.

The eigenspace in $H^{1}(L)$ corresponding to the character $\exp (2 \pi i A)=$ $(-1,-1)$ consists of the weight zero classes in $H^{1}(L)_{(-1,-1)}$ since in this case $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} / \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is generated by 1 and $\omega_{1}$ has poles of order 1 along $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ i.e. the weight of $\omega_{1}$ is two.
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