

On Existence and Uniqueness of Classical Solutions to Euler equations in a Rotating Cylinder.

Yevgeny Goncharov*

October 30, 2002

Abstract. Practically all coordinate systems in mechanics are rotational, whether they are located on slow planets such as Earth and Jupiter or on fast planets such as Mercury or a future space station with rotationally induced gravity. Now let us consider, say, one of the most-studied three-dimensional cases of fluid flow, axially-symmetric flow in a pipe (see, e.g., Ladyzhenskaya [12]). It can be easily seen that the (Navier-Stokes or Euler) equations are essentially two-dimensional because they split into a two-dimensional analog and a one-dimensional equation which is easy to solve if we know the solution of the two-dimensional equations. The two-dimensional equations (see, e.g., Constantin and Foias [6], Ladyzhenskaya [11], Témam [22] for the Navier-Stokes and Kato [10], Lichtenstein [14], Wolibner [24], Yudovich [25] for the Euler equations) are known to have global classical solutions. If we add, as is standard in the literature, rotation along the axis of symmetry, the same conclusions are valid. However the picture changes if the axis of rotation does not coincide with the symmetry axis. The flow is then “stirred” and the splitting we mentioned above is coupled. Therefore in spite of the symmetry, i.e., the dependence on two spatial variables, the flow is essentially not two-dimensional. Actually, the name “ $2^{1/2}$ -dimensional” flow would fit better. When the velocity of rotation is small, one can hope to show that the time of existence of the solutions tends to infinity when the velocity goes to zero. In the present paper we show this to be exactly the case. Namely, we consider axially-symmetric solutions to Euler equations in a cylinder which uniformly rotates along the axis perpendicular to the symmetry axis. We show that for arbitrary large $T > 0$ the unique classical solution exists on the interval $[0, T]$ for a sufficiently small velocity of rotation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991). 76U05, 76B03, 35Q35.

Keywords. Rotating fluids, Euler equations.

*MSCS department (m/c 249), The University of Illinois at Chicago, 851 S. Morgan St., Chicago, IL 60607. Phone: (312)996-1804. E-mail:yevgeniy@math.uic.edu

1 Introduction.

The subject of rotating fluids has been attracting attention of researchers due to both practical and academic interest. Many interesting results were obtained which help the understanding of fluid dynamics. The study of rotational flow goes back to 1885 when H. Poincaré [18] obtained a system of equations by the linearization of the Euler equations about the solution which corresponds to rotation of the fluid as a rigid body around the z -axis. A systematic investigation of this new system of equations was initiated by S.L. Sobolev [21]. The case when the velocity and the pressure are dependent only on two spatial variables x and z and the fluid domain is an infinite cylinder with the axis parallel to the y axis was studied in detail in series of work pioneered by Alexandrian [1] and Zeleniak [26]. Fokin [7] recently showed that solutions of the Poincaré-Sobolev equations in this configuration has a surprisingly complex vortex structure for a system of linear equations.

The extension of Sobolev’s analysis to the fully nonlinear Navier-Stokes and Euler equations was done by Babin, Mahalov and Nicolaenko in [2], [3], [4] and [5]. They studied the fluid flow with periodic boundary conditions for strong rotation. In particular they proved the global existence of regular solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations for sufficiently fast rotations. The global regular solution was shown for some classes of solutions on thin domains ([19], [20], [8] and [23]) where the flow is considered as a perturbed 2-D flow. Mahalov [15] proved the existence of global regular solutions for helical flows in pipes with “standard” *azimuthal* rotation.

At the same time, for essentially three-dimensional Euler equations there are no global existence results. Babin, Mahalov and Nicolaenko [5] proved the existence of regular solutions to Euler equations (with periodic boundary conditions) on a time interval which gets larger for faster rotation. Marsden, Ratiu and Raugel [16] produced an analogous result for thin shells with the interval depending on thickness of the shell.

In the present paper we consider Euler equations for an ideal flow in the same configuration as in Fokin [7]¹. The cylinder axis is perpendicular to the axis of rotation², the velocity of rotation is uniform, and the solution is axially symmetric. The flow in this case is essentially three dimensional. This differs from “standard” rotation, when the axes of rotation and cylinder coincide, leading to the splitting of Euler equations into two- and one-dimensional equations.

We call a solution a *classical solution* if it, along with its derivatives that appear in the equations, is of class $C(\overline{Q}_T)$. The following result is proven for a cylinder with a simply-connected base, although with certain modifications, it

¹Though the domain Ω does not have to be convex.

²The axes does not have to intercept. A long flow tube on the polar regions of Earth and an artesian shaft are good examples of the model under consideration (where axes are intercepted) as well as the same kind of flow located on the surface of Earth along latitude lines. As we comment later, the result is easily extended to arbitrary position of the “tube”.

can be proven for a multiply connected base using the same method employed in [10]. External forces are assumed to be absent. We show, for appropriate initial conditions, that the slower the rotating velocity of the cylinder, the longer a classical solution exists. If one considers the case when rotation and cylinder axes form the angle $\alpha \in [0, \Pi/2]$, $\alpha = 0$ being the "standard" rotation and $\alpha = \Pi/2$ being the case considered here, then one can prove existence of classical solution on a longer interval for a smaller α . This interval goes to ∞ as α goes to zero. The extension of the result to the case of arbitrary positioning of the cylinder and rotation axes and the presence of external force is straightforward along the lines of this paper and is merely a notational complication.

1.1 Problem.

Let us consider our problem in a rotating Cartesian coordinate system (x, y, z) , which is solidly connected to the cylinder. Let the y - and z - axes represent the rotation and cylinder axes respectively, so that the pressure and velocity components do not depend on the variable z . In this coordinate system, the problem is as follows: let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ be a simply-connected bounded domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class $C^{2+\alpha}$. Then in $\mathcal{Q}_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$ we have to find functions u, v, w, p satisfying the equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -p_x + \lambda w \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -p_y \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} = -\lambda u \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \quad (4)$$

with the initial and boundary conditions

$$(u, v)|_{t=0} = (u_0, v_0), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \quad (5)$$

$$w|_{t=0} = w_0, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \quad (6)$$

$$(un_x + vn_y)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (7)$$

where n_x, n_y are the components of the exterior normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ is the rotation velocity.

Without rotation ($\lambda = 0$) the system of equations splits into subsystem (1), (2), (4), (5), (7) (which is the 2-D Euler equations and, as known, has a global classical solution, [10]) and into equation (3) with initial condition (6) (which can be solved in the usual way for known u and v).

For $\lambda \neq 0$ the Coriolis force appears, now the third vector component of velocity w influences the motion dramatically, the fluid is “stirred”. In this case the following theorem holds:

Theorem *Let $(u_0, v_0, w_0) \in C^{1+\theta}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}v_0 = 0$ and $(u_0n_x + v_0n_y)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, where $0 < \theta < 1$. Then a classical solution to the system (1)–(7) exists on time interval $[0, T]$, where T depends on norms of initial conditions u_0, v_0, w_0 in $C^{1+\theta}(\overline{\Omega})$ and on velocity of rotation λ . Furthermore, $T(\lambda) = \Theta(\log(1/\lambda))$, $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. The solution is unique up to an arbitrary function of t which may be added to p .*

1.2 Notation.

Instead of writing (x, y) for the coordinates of Ω we use notation $x = (x_1, x_2)$. A 2-D vector (u, v) is denoted by V . For a vector function V we introduce matrix

$$\nabla V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} u_{x_1} & u_{x_2} \\ v_{x_1} & v_{x_2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will work in the space of continuous functions $C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})$, $C([0, T]; C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega}))$, $C^{k+\varepsilon}(\overline{Q}_T)$, etc. Norm $\|\cdot\|$ of a scalar function means the usual uniform norm on $C(\overline{\Omega})$, and $\|\cdot\|_{k+\varepsilon} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|\cdot\|_{C([0, T]; C^{k+\varepsilon}(\overline{\Omega}))}$. The standard definition of Hölder semi-norms is used:

$$\langle V \rangle_\varepsilon \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|V(x) - V(y)|}{|x - y|^\varepsilon}.$$

Norm $\|\cdot\|$ of vector V and of matrix ∇V means $\|u\| + \|v\|$ and $\|u_{x_1}\| + \|u_{x_2}\| + \|v_{x_1}\| + \|v_{x_2}\|$ respectively. The same refers to the seminorms $|\cdot|$, $\langle \cdot \rangle$.

We will use L_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) to denote continuously differentiable monotonically increasing functions that are positive at 0. In our estimates, these functions define dependence of constants on the parameters of our problem.

For reader’s convenience, we state several lemmas from [10] which will be used in the this paper. In these cases, references to the corresponding lemmas are provided in parentheses.

Finally, all assumptions of the above stated theorem are assumed to be satisfied throughout the paper.

2 Outline of the proof.

After applying the “2-D” rot ($\text{rot}V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v_{x_1} - u_{x_2}$, a scalar function) to equation (1), (2), we obtain

$$\zeta_t + V \cdot \nabla \zeta = -\lambda w_{x_2} \tag{8}$$

$$\zeta = \operatorname{rot}V \quad (9)$$

$$w_t + V \cdot \nabla w = -\lambda u \quad (10)$$

Now let us suppose the velocity field, $V(x, t)$, is known and sufficiently smooth. Then we can solve the system (8), (9), (10). First, we solve the differential equation

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = V(X, t). \quad (11)$$

We denote its solution with the initial condition $X(s) = x_0$ by $X = X(t; s, x_0)$. Then the solution to (10) is $w(x, t) = w_0(X(0; t, x)) - \lambda \int_0^t u(X(s; t, x), s) ds$. We can see that $u(X(s; t, x), s) = \frac{d}{ds} X^{(1)}(s; t, x)$ by (11), where $X^{(1)}$ is the first component of X . Therefore (10) can be rewritten as

$$w(x, t) = w_0(X(0; t, x)) + \lambda(X^{(1)}(0; t, x) - x_1), \quad (12)$$

and (8), (9) as:

$$\zeta_t + V \cdot \nabla \zeta = -\lambda \nabla w_0(X(0; t, x)) \cdot X_{x_2}(0; t, x) - \lambda^2 X_{x_2}^{(1)}(0; t, x) \quad (13)$$

$$\zeta = \operatorname{rot}V. \quad (14)$$

We solve the system (13), (14) as follows. We take a scalar function $\varphi(x, t)$ from some set of functions S and construct V such that for all t we have

$$\operatorname{rot}V = \varphi, \quad \operatorname{div}V = 0, \quad V \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (15)$$

With this V we solve (13) for ζ with initial condition $\zeta|_{t=0} = a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{rot}V_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x, t) = & a(X(0; t, x)) + \lambda \int_0^t \nabla w_0(X(0; s, X(s; t, x))) \cdot X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, x)) ds + \\ & + \lambda^2 \int_0^t X_{x_2}^{(1)}(0; s, X(s; t, x)) ds \end{aligned}$$

Using $X(0; s, X(s; t, x)) = X(0; t, x)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x, t) = & a(X(0; t, x)) + \lambda \nabla w_0(X(0; t, x)) \cdot \int_0^t X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, x)) ds + \\ & + \lambda^2 \int_0^t X_{x_2}^{(1)}(0; s, X(s; t, x)) ds \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 + \zeta_3, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we implicitly introduce notations for the three terms of ζ in the expression.

So for every $\varphi \in S$ we construct ζ , i.e., we construct a map $\zeta = F(\varphi)$. Now, we choose S so that F maps S into itself and the conditions of Schauder's fixed point theorem hold. If $\varphi = \zeta = F(\varphi)$ is a fixed point, then associated functions u, v, w will be the solution to (1)–(7) along with a pressure p , existence of which is guaranteed by a lemma on orthogonal decomposition of \mathcal{L}_2 on solenoid and gradient parts.

An exact definition of S will be given later. We prove all lemmas and preliminary estimates for $\varphi \in \bigcup_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} C([0, T]; C^\varepsilon(\bar{\Omega}))$.

3 Preliminary lemmas and estimates.

Let us construct V for given φ . Let $\psi(x, t)$ be a solution to $\Delta\psi = -\varphi$, $\psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ with fixed t . Then, clearly, $V = (\psi_{x_2}, -\psi_{x_1})$ is the desired function (i.e., which satisfies equation (15)).

Lemma 1 *Map $\varphi \rightarrow V = (\psi_{x_2}, -\psi_{x_1})$ is continuous from $C([0, T]; C^\theta(\bar{\Omega}))$ to $C([0, T]; C^{1+\theta}(\bar{\Omega}))$:*

$$\|V\|_{1+\theta} \leq K\|\varphi\|_\theta$$

Proof. The conclusion follows from a well-known fact that the map $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ is continuous from $C^\theta(\bar{\Omega})$ to $C^{2+\theta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for fixed t (see [13]), where the constant K does not depend on φ . \square

Lemma 2 *(Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2; [10]) Let V be from Lemma 1. Then differential equation (11) has a unique solution $X = X(t; s, x)$, $t \in [0, T]$ for any initial condition $X(s) = x$, where $s \in [0, T]$ and $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. $X(t; s, x)$ is continuously differentiable as a function of the three variables. For fixed t and s , $X(t; s, x)$ is a one-to-one map of $\bar{\Omega}$ onto itself, where $\partial\Omega$ is mapped onto itself. The Jacobian of this map equals 1. $X(s; s, x)$ is the identity map, and $X(s; t, x)$ is the inverse of $X(t; s, x)$.*

Lemmas 1 and 2 justify the calculations in section 2.

Lemma 3 *(Lemma 2.6; [10]) Let $X(t; s, x)$ be from Lemma 2. Then*

$$|X(t; s, x) - X(\bar{t}; \bar{s}, \bar{x})| \leq L(\|\varphi\|)(|t - \bar{t}|^\alpha + |s - \bar{s}|^\alpha + |x - \bar{x}|^\alpha),$$

where $\alpha^{-1} = L(\|\varphi\|)$.

Further we need an estimate of the change of $\frac{X(t; s, x)}{x}$ with respect to x . Let us differentiate (11) with respect to x :

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\nabla X(t; s, x)) = \nabla V \cdot \nabla X(t; s, x).$$

Solving this equation for the function $\nabla X(t; s, x)$, we get

$$\nabla X(t; s, x) = \exp\left\{\int_s^t \nabla V(X(\tau; s, x), \tau) d\tau\right\}. \quad (17)$$

Lemma 4 *Let V be from Lemma 1 and $X(t; s, x)$ be from Lemma 2. Then*

- i) $|\nabla X(t; s, x) - \nabla X(\bar{t}; \bar{s}, \bar{x})| \leq TL_1(\|\nabla V\|_\theta, T)(|x - y|^\theta + |t - \bar{t}| + |s - \bar{s}|)$;
- ii) $|X(t; s, x) - X(\bar{t}; s, x)| \leq \|V\| \cdot |t - \bar{t}|$;
- iii) $|X(t; s, x) - X(t; \bar{s}, x)| \leq \|V\| e^{\|\nabla V\|T} |s - \bar{s}|$

Proof. i) It is enough to prove the estimate for the next two cases:

$$a) t = \bar{t}, s = \bar{s}, \quad b) x = \bar{x}.$$

a) By using the inequality $\|e^{A+B} - e^A\| \leq \|B\|e^{\|A\|+\|B\|}$, where A and B are matrixes ([9], p.24), and (17), we obtain the following sequence of inequalities, which gives the desired result:

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla X(t; s, x) - \nabla X(t; s, y)| &\leq e^{3\|\nabla V\| |t-s|} \int_s^t |\nabla V(X(\tau; s, x), \tau) - \\ &\quad - \nabla V(X(\tau; s, y), \tau)| d\tau \leq \\ &\leq e^{3\|\nabla V\| T} \langle \nabla V \rangle_\theta \int_s^t |X(\tau; s, x) - X(\tau; s, y)|^\theta d\tau \leq \\ &\leq e^{3\|\nabla V\| T} \langle \nabla V \rangle_\theta \|\nabla X\|^\theta \int_s^t |x - y|^\theta d\tau \leq \\ &\leq e^{(3+\theta)\|\nabla V\| T} \langle \nabla V \rangle_\theta T |x - y|^\theta. \end{aligned}$$

b) This result follows from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla X(t; s, x) - \nabla X(\bar{t}; \bar{s}, x)| &\leq e^{3\|\nabla V\| |t-s|} \left\{ \int_t^{\bar{t}} \|\nabla V(X(\tau; s, x), \tau)\| d\tau + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_s^{\bar{s}} \|\nabla V(X(\tau; s, x), \tau)\| d\tau \right\} \leq e^{3\|\nabla V\| T} \|\nabla V\| \{|t - \bar{t}| + |s - \bar{s}|\}. \end{aligned}$$

ii) We have

$$|X(\bar{t}; s, x) - X(t; s, x)| \leq \left| \int_t^{\bar{t}} V(X(\tau; s, x), \tau) d\tau \right| \leq \|V\| |s - \bar{s}|.$$

iii) Set $z = X(t; s, x)$, $\bar{z} = X(t; \bar{s}, x)$ and $x' = X(s; \bar{s}, x)$. Then we have $x' = X(s; t, \bar{z})$ and $\bar{z} = X(t; s, x')$. First, from *ii)* we have the following inequality

$$|x - x'| = |X(\bar{s}; \bar{s}, x) - X(s; \bar{s}, x)| \leq \|V\| |s - \bar{s}|.$$

Next, by (17) we have

$$|z - \bar{z}| = |X(t; s, x) - X(t; s, x')| \leq e^{\|\nabla V\| T} |x - x'|.$$

By combining these two results we get inequality *iii)*. □

Lemma 5 *Let α be as in Lemma 3 and $\delta = \alpha\theta$. Then for $\zeta = F(\varphi)$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$\|\zeta\| \leq \|a\| + \lambda T e^{\|\nabla V\|T} (\|\nabla w_0\| + \lambda) \quad (18)$$

$$|\zeta(x, t) - \zeta(y, t)| \leq \{ \langle a \rangle_\theta L^\theta (\|\varphi\|) + [\lambda T + (\lambda T)^2] L_2 (\|\nabla w_0\|_\delta, \|\nabla V\|_\delta, T) \} |x - y|^\delta \quad (19)$$

$$|\zeta(x, t) - \zeta(x, s)| \leq L_3 (\langle a \rangle_\theta, \|\nabla w_0\|_\theta, \|V\|_1, T, \lambda) |t - s|^\theta \quad (20)$$

Proof. The inequality (18) is a consequence of (16) and (17). To prove the rest of the inequalities we estimate ζ_1 , ζ_2 and ζ_3 separately for convenience.

By Hölder continuity of a we have

$$|\zeta_1(x, t) - \zeta_1(y, t)| \leq \langle a \rangle_\theta L^\theta (\|\varphi\|) |x - y|^\delta, \quad (21)$$

$$|\zeta_1(x, t) - \zeta_1(x, s)| \leq \langle a \rangle_\theta \left(\|V\| e^{\|\nabla V\|T} |t - s| \right)^\theta, \quad (22)$$

where we used Lemma 3 for the first and Lemma 4 (iii) for the second inequality.

To estimate $|\zeta_2(x, t) - \zeta_2(y, t)|$ we add and subtract

$$\lambda \nabla w_0(X(0; t, x)) \cdot \int_0^t X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, y)) ds$$

and use (17) and Lemma 4(i):

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_2(x, t) - \zeta_2(y, t)| &\leq \lambda |\nabla w_0(X(0; t, x))| \times \\ &\times \int_0^t |X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, x)) - X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, y))| ds + \\ &+ \lambda |\nabla w_0(X(0; t, x)) - \nabla w_0(X(0; t, y))| \int_0^t |X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, y))| ds \leq \\ &\leq \lambda T \|\nabla w_0\| L_1 (\|\nabla V\|_\delta, T) \int_0^t |X(s; t, x) - X(s; t, y)|^\delta ds + \\ &\quad + \lambda T e^{\|\nabla V\|T} \langle w_0 \rangle_\delta |X(0; t, x) - X(0; t, y)|^\delta \leq \\ &\leq \lambda T \{ \|\nabla w_0\| L_1 (\|\nabla V\|_\delta, T) e^{\delta \|\nabla V\|T} T + e^{(1+\delta)\|\nabla V\|T} \langle \nabla w_0 \rangle_\delta \} |x - y|^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|\zeta_2(x, t) - \zeta_2(y, t)| \leq \lambda T L_4 (\|\nabla w_0\|_\delta, \|\nabla V\|_\delta, T) |x - y|^\delta. \quad (23)$$

Similar consideration, plus Lemma 3 and 4 (iii), gives us the estimate

$$|\zeta_2(x, t) - \zeta_2(x, s)| \leq \lambda |\nabla w_0(X(0; t, x))| \int_s^t |X_{x_2}(0; \tau, X(\tau; t, x))| d\tau +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\lambda|\nabla w_0(X(0; s, x)) - \nabla w_0(X(0; t, x))| \int_0^s |X_{x_2}(0; \tau, X(\tau; s, x))| d\tau \leq \\
& \leq \lambda\|\nabla w_0\|e^{\|\nabla V\|T}|t-s| + \lambda T e^{\|\nabla V\|T} \langle \nabla w_0 \rangle_\theta \|V\|^\theta e^{\theta\|V\|T}|t-s|^\theta
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$|\zeta_2(x, t) - \zeta_2(x, s)| \leq \lambda L_5(\|\nabla w_0\|_\theta, \|\nabla V\|, T)|t-s|^\theta. \quad (24)$$

Finally, by using Lemma 4(i) and (17) again, we get the last two inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
|\zeta_3(x, t) - \zeta_3(y, t)| & \leq \lambda^2 \int_0^t |X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, x)) - X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, y))| ds \leq \\
& \leq \lambda^2 T L_1(\|\nabla V\|_\delta, T) \int_0^t |X(s; t, x) - X(s; t, y)|^\delta ds \leq \\
& \leq \lambda^2 T L_1(\|\nabla V\|_\delta, T) \|\nabla X\|^\delta T |x-y|^\delta \leq (\lambda T)^2 L_6(\|\nabla V\|_\delta, T) |x-y|^\delta; \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

$$|\zeta_3(x, t) - \zeta_3(x, s)| \leq \lambda^2 \int_s^t |X_{x_2}(0; \tau, X(\tau; t, x))| d\tau \leq \lambda^2 e^{\|\nabla V\|T} |t-s|. \quad (26)$$

The estimate (19) follows from the inequalities (21), (23) and (25). The estimate (20) follows from the inequalities (22), (24) and (26). \square

4 Application of Schauder's theorem.

Let us define the set $S_{A,B}$ as the set of functions $\varphi = \varphi(x, t)$ such that $\|\varphi\| \leq A$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_\delta \leq \frac{B}{K}, \quad |\varphi(x, t) - \varphi(x, s)| \leq L_3(\langle a \rangle_\theta, \|\nabla w_0\|_\theta, B, T, \lambda) |t-s|^\theta, \quad (27)$$

where K is the constant from Lemma 1 and $\delta = \theta/L(A)$. It is clear that $S_{A,B} \subset S'$. Moreover, as we will see, for some choice of A and B and some condition on λ, T , the set $S_{A,B}$ has the necessary for application of Schauder's theorem properties, i.e., it is convex, compact and F maps it into itself continuously.

Lemma 6 *For any a and w_0 there exist such positive A, B that F maps $S_{A,B}$ into itself for any λ and $T \leq T(\lambda)$. Where $T(\lambda) = \Theta(\log(1/\lambda))$ for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Let A and B be a solution to the system

$$A = \|a\| + \lambda T e^{BT} (\|\nabla w_0\| + \lambda) \quad (28)$$

$$B = K\{\langle a \rangle_\theta L^\theta(A) + N + A\}, \quad (29)$$

where N is some positive number. A solution to this system exists for some choice of λ and T . More precisely, rewrite the system (28),(29) as

$$A = f_1(B) \quad (30)$$

$$B = f_2(A). \quad (31)$$

The derivative of the composition $f_1(f_2(x)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x)$ equals

$$K\lambda T^2(\|\nabla w_0\| + \lambda)(\langle a \rangle_\theta \theta L^{\theta-1}(x)L'(x) + 1)e^{TK\{\langle a \rangle_\theta \theta L^\theta(x) + N + x\}} \quad (32)$$

and for sufficiently small λ and T will be less than $1 - \varepsilon$ for $x \in [0, \frac{f(0)}{\varepsilon}]$, where ε is some positive fixed real number. This guarantees the existence of a solution to the equation $x = f(x)$, because $f(0) > 0$ and $f(\frac{f(0)}{\varepsilon}) \leq f(0) + (1 - \varepsilon)\frac{f(0)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{f(0)}{\varepsilon}$. Clearly, a solution A of this equation and its associated B will be a solution to the system (28), (29). It is important here to point out the fact that either λ or T could be chosen in an arbitrary way, and the other constants have to be taken so that the condition above holds. Thus, let's fix λ and choose $T_1(\lambda)$ to guarantee the existence of A and B .

Now we let $T_2 = T_2(\lambda)$ be such that the following inequality holds

$$[\lambda T + (\lambda T)^2]L_2(\|\nabla w_0\|_\delta, B, T) \leq N. \quad (33)$$

This can be done because of the continuity of L_2 .

Taking into account that for $\varphi \in S_{A,B}$ by Lemma 1 we have $\|V\|_{1+\theta} \leq B$, for chosen A, B and arbitrary λ and $T \leq \min\{T_1(\lambda), T_2(\lambda)\}$, we obtain the following estimates for ζ :

$$\text{by (18)} \quad \|\zeta\| \leq \|a\| + \lambda T e^{BT}(\|\nabla w_0\| + \lambda) = A;$$

$$\text{by (19)} \quad |\zeta(x, t) - \zeta(y, t)| \leq \{\langle a \rangle_\theta L^\theta(A) + N\}|x - y|^\delta \leq \left(\frac{B}{K} - A\right)|x - y|^\delta,$$

$$\text{i.e. } \|\zeta\|_\delta \leq \frac{B}{K};$$

$$\text{by (20)} \quad |\zeta(x, t) - \zeta(x, s)| \leq L_3(\langle a \rangle_\theta, \|\nabla w_0\|_\theta, B, T, \lambda)|t - s|^\theta.$$

These estimates show us that F maps $S_{A,B}$ into itself.

The last statement of the lemma follows from the fact that $T_1(\lambda)$ and $T_2(\lambda)$ have leading terms of order $\log(1/\lambda)$ for $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, what is easy to get from (32) and (33). \square

Lemma 7 *Let $0 < \varepsilon < \beta < 1$, $f_n \in C^\beta(\overline{\Omega})$ be uniformly bounded in $C^\beta(\overline{\Omega})$, $\|f_n - f_0\| \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let X_n be from Lemma 2 and uniformly bounded in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, $\|X_n - X_0\| \rightarrow 0$, for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then*

$$\|f_n(X_n) - f_0(X_0)\|_{C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$|f_n(X_n(x)) - f_n(X_n(y))| \leq \langle f_n \rangle_\beta |X_n(x) - X_n(y)|^\beta \leq \langle f_n \rangle_\beta \|X_n\|_{C^1}^\beta |x - y|^\beta,$$

which gives $\|f_n(X_n)\|_{C^\beta} \leq \|f_n\| + \langle f_n \rangle_\beta \|X_n\|_{C^1}^\beta$. Consequently $f_n(X_n)$ are bounded in $C^\beta(\overline{\Omega})$. Then by using the theorem on compactness of inclusion

$C^\beta(\overline{\Omega})$ in $C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})$ (see, e.g., [13]) we obtain that there exists a subsequence $f_{n_k}(X_{n_k})$ of the sequence $f_n(X_n)$ such that $f_{n_k}(X_{n_k})$ converges in $C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})$: $f_{n_k}(X_{n_k}) \rightarrow \psi \in C^\varepsilon$. Let us show that $\psi = f_0(X_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi - f_0(X_0)\| &\leq \|\psi - f_n(X_n)\| + \|f_n(X_n) - f_n(X_0)\| + \|f_n(X_0) - f_0(X_0)\| \leq \\ &\leq \|\psi - f_n(X_n)\| + \langle f_n \rangle_\beta \|X_n - X_0\|^\beta + \|f_n - f_0\|. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. At the same time the left hand side does not depend on n , thus we have $\psi = f_0(X_0)$.

We showed that any convergent subsequence $f_n(X_n)$ in $C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})$ converges to $f_0(X_0)$, and it, clearly, means convergence of $f_n(X_n)$ to $f_0(X_0)$ in $C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})$. \square

Lemma 8 *Let $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$. Then a map F is continuous on $S_{A,B}$ in the topology $C([0, T]; C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega}))$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_n, \varphi \in S_{A,B}$ and $\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let V_n, X^n, V, X are defined as earlier for φ_n and φ respectively. Then with a help of Lemma 1 we have $\|V_n - V\|_{1+\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $X^n \rightarrow X$ uniformly with respect to t, s, x because of a well-known theorem on dependence of a solution to an ordinary differential equations on a right-hand side. By (17) we see that ∇X^n are uniformly bounded in $C([0, T]^2; C^\delta(\overline{\Omega}))$. Next, from Lemma 7 we have

$$\|\nabla V^n(X^n(t; s, x), t) - \nabla V(X(t; s, x), t)\|_{C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})} \rightarrow 0, \quad t, s \in [0, T],$$

which gives (using the matrix inequality from the proof of Lemma 4 (i), case (a))

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla X^n - \nabla X\|_{C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})} \leq \\ &\leq e^{3BT} \int_s^t \|\nabla V^n(X^n(\tau; s, x), \tau) - \nabla V(X(\tau; s, x), \tau)\|_{C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})} d\tau \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using Lemma 7 we get

$$\|X_{x_2}^n(0; s, X^n(s; t, x)) - X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, x))\|_{C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})} \rightarrow 0, \quad t, s \in [0, T].$$

Now we recall that ∇X^n and X^n are uniformly Lipschitz with respect to t and s (from Lemma 4(ii) and (iii)). It means $\nabla X^n(0; s, X^n(s; t, x))$ are uniformly Lipschitz with respect to t and s . Then

$$\|X_{x_2}^n(0; s, X^n(s; t, x)) - X_{x_2}(0; s, X(s; t, x))\|_{C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega})} \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly with respect to t and s . In other words, $\zeta_3^n \rightarrow \zeta_3$ uniformly with respect to t . This, with the help of Lemma 7 for $\nabla w_0(X^n)$, gives convergence of ζ_2^n to ζ_2 . The same Lemma for $a(X^n)$ shows convergence of $\zeta_1^n \rightarrow \zeta_1$ in $C([0, T]; C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega}))$. \square

Convexity of the set $S_{A,B}$ is ostensible. To use the fixed point theorem we have only to show its compactness in the Banach space $C([0, T]; C^\varepsilon(\overline{\Omega}))$.

Lemma 9 *Let δ be from Lemma 5 and ε be such that $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$. Then $S_{A,B}$ is compact in $C([0, T]; C^\varepsilon(\bar{\Omega}))$.*

Proof. We have $\varepsilon < \delta$ and $S_{A,B}$ is bounded in $C^\delta(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$. Recalling the fact on compact inclusion $C^{\delta'}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T) \hookrightarrow C^\delta(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$ for $\delta' > \delta$ (see [13]), we conclude that $S_{A,B}$ is a compact set in $C^\varepsilon(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$ and, therefore, in $C([0, T]; C^\varepsilon(\bar{\Omega}))$. \square

5 Existence.

Let $\varphi \in S_{A,B}$ be a fixed point of F , $\varphi = \zeta = F(\varphi)$, and let $X(t; s, x)$, $V(x, t)$, $w(x, t)$ be functions according to this φ . Because $X(t; s, x)$ is a continuously differentiable function, and $w_0 \in C^{1+\theta}(\bar{\Omega})$, from (12) we have $w \in C^1(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$. From Lemma 4(i) we have

$$|\nabla X(t; s, x) - \nabla X(\bar{t}; \bar{s}, \bar{x})| \leq L_1(B, T)(|x - y|^\delta + |t - \bar{t}| + |s - \bar{s}|)$$

and, consequently, $X(t; s, x) \in C^{1+\delta, \delta}([0, T]^2 \times \bar{\Omega})$. Then from (12), we conclude that $w \in C^{1+\delta, 0}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$. Now all the conditions of the theorem on existence of a classical solution to the 2-D Euler equations in [10] hold for $b = w$. Our map F is F from [10] and, consequently, the following lemma holds:

Lemma 10 *(Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3; [10])*

- i) $\nabla V \in C^{1+\delta', \delta'}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$ for any $\delta' < \delta$;
- ii) V_t exists and is from $C(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$;
- iii) There exists a scalar function $p \in C^{1,0}(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$ such that $\{V, p\}$ is a solution to (1), (2), (4), (5), (7).

6 Uniqueness.

Let us suppose there is another solution $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{p})$ of the equations (1)–(7), which satisfies the conditions of the theorem. After subtracting the equations with the solution (V, w, p) from the equations with the solution $(\tilde{V}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{p})$ and denoting $U = (u_1, u_2) = \tilde{V} - V$, $W = \tilde{w} - w$, $q = \tilde{p} - p$, we have:

$$U_t + (\tilde{V}\nabla)U + (U\nabla)V = -\nabla q + \lambda(W, 0) \quad (34)$$

$$W_t + \tilde{V}\nabla W = -\lambda u_1 - U\nabla w. \quad (35)$$

We take the scalar product of (34) with U . Then, noting that $(U, (\tilde{V}\nabla)U) = -((\tilde{V}\nabla)U, U) = 0$ and $(U, \nabla q) = 0$ we get:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt}(U, U) + (U, (U\nabla)V) = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u_1 W dx. \quad (36)$$

By (35) we have

$$W(x, t) = -\lambda \int_0^t u_1(\tilde{X}(s; t, x), s) ds - \int_0^t U(\tilde{X}(s; t, x), s) \nabla w(\tilde{X}(s; t, x), s) ds.$$

Let us denote these two terms by W_1 and W_2 respectively. By using this notation for W we obtain an estimate for the right part of (36):

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} W_1 u_1 dx \right| &\leq \lambda^2 \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} u(\tilde{X}(s; t, x), s) u(x, t) dx ds \right| \leq \quad (37) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \int_0^t \left\{ \int_{\Omega} U^2(y, s) dy + \int_{\Omega} U^2(x, t) dx \right\} ds \leq \frac{\lambda^2 T}{2} (U, U) + \frac{\lambda^2 t}{2} \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (U, U) \\ \left| \int_{\Omega} W_2 u_1 dx \right| &\leq \lambda \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} U(\tilde{X}(s; t, x), s) \nabla w(\tilde{X}(s; t, x), s) u_1(x, t) ds \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t \left\{ \int_{\Omega} (U(y, s) \nabla w(y, s))^2 dy + \int_{\Omega} U^2(x, t) dx \right\} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the substitution $y = \tilde{X}(s; t, x)$ and the fact that its Jacobian equals 1 from Lemma 2. It is known that $w \in C^1(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$, therefore, the last inequality can be rewritten as:

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} W_2 u_1 dx \right| \leq \frac{\lambda T}{2} K_1 \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (U, U) + \frac{\lambda T}{2} (U, U). \quad (38)$$

We have $V \in C^1(\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_T)$, therefore (36), (37) and (38) gives

$$(U, U)_t \leq K_2 (U, U) + \frac{\lambda + \lambda^2}{2} T ((U, U) + \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} (U, U)).$$

Evaluating the integral \int_s^t of this inequality and denoting $(U, U)(t) = \varphi(t)$, we obtain

$$\varphi(t) \leq \varphi(s) + K_3 \int_s^t \varphi(\tau) d\tau + K_4 |t - s| \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \varphi(\tau), \quad (39)$$

where $K_3 = K_2 + \frac{\lambda + \lambda^2}{2} T$ and $K_4 = \frac{\lambda + \lambda^2}{2} T$.

Let us define $M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{s \leq \tau \leq t} \varphi(\tau)$ and take $s = 0$ (it is known that $\varphi(0) = 0$) and $t = t_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{K_3 + K_4 + 1}$. Then (39) can be rewritten (because of monotonicity of the right part of the inequality (39) we can substitute $\varphi(t)$ by M):

$$M \leq (K_3 + K_4) t_1 M.$$

In this case, clearly, $M = 0$, i.e., $\varphi(t) = 0$ on $[0, t_0]$. Constants K_3, K_4 do not depend on t , so, repeating the arguments for $s = t_1$ and $t = 2t_1$, etc, we can

conclude that $\varphi(t) \equiv 0$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful for valuable comments and suggestions to Mihail Fokin and Susan Friedlander. Their encouragement and help made working over the manuscript a highly enjoyable experience.

References

- [1] R.A. Alexadrian, *Ob odnoi zadache Soboleva dlia special'nyh uravnenii s chastnymi proizvodnymi*, Dokl. Akademii Nauk SSSR **73**, No. 5 (1950), 631–634.
- [2] A. Babin, A. Mahalov and B. Nicolaenko, *Global splitting, integrability and regularity of 3D Euler and Navier-Stokes equations for uniformly rotating fluids*, European J. Mechanics B/Fluids **15** (1996), 291–300.
- [3] A. Babin, A. Mahalov and B. Nicolaenko, *Global regularity and integrability of 3D Euler and Navier-Stokes equations for uniformly rotating fluids*, Asymptotic Analysis **15** (1997), 102–150.
- [4] A. Babin, A. Mahalov and B. Nicolaenko, *Global regularity of 3D Navier-Stokes equations for resonant domains*, Indiana Univ. Math. J **48**, No. 3 (1999), 1133–1176.
- [5] A. Babin, A. Mahalov and B. Nicolaenko, *3D Navier-Stokes and Euler equations with initial data characterized by uniformly large vorticity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J **50**, No.1 (2001), 1–35.
- [6] P. Constantin and C. Foias, *Navier-Stokes equations*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1988.
- [7] M.V. Fokin, *Hamiltonian systems in the theory of small oscillations of a rotating ideal fluid*, Matematicheskie Trudy, Izdat. Ross. Akad. Nauk Sib. Otd. Inst. Mat. **4**, No. 2 (2001), 155–206.
- [8] D. Iftimie and G. Raugel, *Some results on the Navier-Stokes equations in thin 3D domains*, J. Differential Equations **169**, No. 2 (2001), 281–331.
- [9] Godunov S. K., *Obyknovennye differencial'nye uravneniia s postoiannymi koeficientami*, vol.1: Kraevye zadachi, Novosibirsk, NGU, 1994.
- [10] T. Kato, *On classical solutions of the two-dimensional non-stationary Euler equations*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **25** (1967), 188–200.
- [11] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *Mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*, 2nd ed, Gordon and Breach, New-York, 1969.

- [12] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, *Unique solvability in the large of three dimensional Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in the presence of axial symmetry*, Seminar in Mathematics, V.A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Leningrad, 7, Boundary value problems of Mathematical Physics and Related Aspects of Function Theory, Part 2, Edited by O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, 1970, 70–79.
- [13] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, N.N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and Quasilinear Elliptic equations*, Academic Press, New-York, 1968.
- [14] L. Lichtenstein, *Über einige Existenzprobleme der Hydrodynamik homogener unzusammendrückbarer, reibungsloser Flüssigkeiten und die Helmholtzschen Wirbelsätze*, Math. Z. 23, (1925), 89-154, 309-316, 26, (1927), 196-323, 28, (1928), 387-415, 725, 32, (1930), 608.
- [15] A. Mahalov, S. Leibovich and E.S. Titi, *Invariant helical subspaces for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. for Rational Mech. and Anal. **112** (1990), 193–222.
- [16] J.E. Marsden, T.S. Ratiu et G. Raugel, *Euler equations in a thin spherical shell*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. 321, Série I, 1995, p. 1201–1206.
- [17] J.E. Marsden, T.S. Ratiu, and G. Raugel, *The Euler Equations on Thin Domains*, *International Conference on Differential Equations*, Berlin, ed. by B. Fiedler, K. Groger and J. Sprekels, World Scientific, 2000, 1198–1203.
- [18] H. Poincaré, *Sur la précession des corps déformables*, Bull. Astronomique, **27** (1910), 321–356.
- [19] G. Raugel and G. Sell, *Navier-Stokes equations on thin 3D domains. I. Global attractors and global regularity of solutions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1993), 503–568.
- [20] G. Raugel and G.R. Sell, *Navier-Stokes equations on thin 3D domains. II. Global regularity of spatially periodic solutions*. Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications, Collège de France Seminar, Vol. XI , (1994), 205-247, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 299, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow.
- [21] S.L. Sobolev, *Ob Odnoi novoi zadache matematicheskoi fiziki*, Izvestiia Akademii Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matematicheskia **18**, No. 1 (1954), 3–50.
- [22] R. Témam, *Navier-Stokes Equations and Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference series **41**, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1983.
- [23] R. Temam and M. Ziane, *Navier-Stokes equations in three-dimensional thin domains with various boundary conditions*, Adv. Differential Equations **1** (1996), 499-546.

- [24] W. Wolibner, *Un théorème sur l'existence du mouvement plan d'un fluide parfait, homogène, incompressible, pendant un temps infiniment long*, Math. Z. **37** (1933), 698–726.
- [25] V.I. Yudovich, *Nonstationary flows of an ideal incompressible fluid*, Zh. Vych. Mat. **6** (1973), 1032–1066.
- [26] T.I. Zeleniak, *Ob obobshchennykh sobstvennykh funktsiakh operatora, svyazannogo s odnoi zadachei S.L. Soboleva*, Sib. Mat. Zh. **9**, No. 5 (1968), 1075–1092.